By the Same Author

GOD, MAN, AND EPIC POETRY 2 Vols.

ENGLAND UNDER VICTORIA

MONEY, MORALS, AND MANNERS

TOWARDS THE TWENTIETH CENTURY Essays in the Spiritual History of the Nineteenth

THE DIFFUSION OF ENGLISH CULTURE OUTSIDE ENGLAND

ENGLISH LITERATURE AND IDEAS IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

An Inquiry into Present Difficulties and Future Prospects

by

H. V. ROUTH M.A, DLIT., FR.SL



METHUEN & CO. LTD. LONDON 36 Essex Street, Strand, W.C.2



THIS BOOK IS PRODUCED IN COMPLETE CONFORMITY WITH THE AUTHORIZED ECONOMY STANDARD

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

FOREWORD

THE purpose of this Inquiry needs a word of explanation. It does not present a record of every contemporary author worth reading. An adequate discussion of so many names would exceed the limits of any single volume, however capacious. Besides, the requisite lists and summaries can be found elsewhere, for instance, in Millett, Manley, and Rickert's Contemporary British Literature (3rd ed., 1935), in B. Fehr's brochures on English literature and culture (1932-4), in the appropriate volumes of B. Dobrée's Introductions to English Literature (1938, 1939), in Kunitz and Haycruft's Twentieth Century Authors (1942). Even these are not complete, for selection is unavoidable, as in the case of any other epoch. But since contemporary writers are not yet placed and labelled, some omissions are bound to be regrettable. I cannot hope to escape that criticism

On the other hand, I believe that I have included and discussed every name which serves the spirit of twentieth-century literature. That spirit is unique. There are more brilliant periods, but none in which living was so easy (in peace time) and thinking so difficult. Our best poetry and prose have been almost overwhelmed by the impact of ideas. In fact many of the most notable achievements seem to be pointless until we realize the peculiar and by no means homogeneous atmosphere in which each was conceived. This atmosphere may be artistic, philosophical, religious, social, scientific, cynical, intuitive, or spun out of the writer's independent consciousness, but whatever its import, it is tentative and inconclusive. Perhaps that is the reason why humanism and dogma play so small a part. So I have attempted to discuss our most prominent authors in relation to the ideas which fermented in their heads, and happen to be fermenting in ours.

These authors, of course, are equally interesting when one considers the style, technique, imagery, presentment, and symbolism through which they express their ideologies; but contrary to a current opinion, they do not naturally fall into groups or schools. In this age all good writers are free-lances. One cannot even divide or (what is worse) bisect them into prose and verse without obscuring their significance.

vi ENGLISH LITERATURE IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

As already agreed, they are too recent to receive a final verdict. For that very reason I cannot resist speculating on their future places in our history of literature. There is no better way of arriving at a judgement which is dispassionate even if mistaken.

This book has been long meditated and frequently rewritten. I do not expect the reader to take it as seriously as did the author.

Whatever the outcome, I cannot end without thanking my friend Tom Stretton for the labour of revising the whole script, making valuable suggestions, and correcting an alarming accumulation of errors.

H. V. ROUTH

Verdley Edge, September 1944

CONTENTS

*		PAGE
FOREV	VORD	v
CHAP	PART I—PRE-WAR PHASE	
ı.	TRANSITION AT ABOUT 1900	I
	Unsettlement in habits and ideas. The reading public and the problems of authorship.	
п.,	THE PIONEERS OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY	12
	Rudyard Kipling, Joseph Conrad, H. G. Wells, G. B. Shaw, Arnold Bennett, John Galsworthy, Walter de la Mare, John Masefield, E. M. Forster, W. B. Yeats.	
ш.	HUMANISTS WHO ACCOMPANIED THE LEADERS, BUT WOULD NEITHER LEAD NOR BE LED	69
	Max Beerbohm, G. K. Chesterton, H. Belloc, Edmund Gosse, W. H. Hudson, Cunninghame Graham, Henry Newbolt.	
IV.	POETS WHO TRIED TO POUR NEW WINE INTO OLD BOTTLES	76
	Thomas Hardy, A. E. Housman, W. W. Gibson, W. H. Davies, Edward Thomas, J. E. Flecker, T. Sturge Moore, Gordon Bottomley, Rupert Brooke, Lascelles Abercrombie.	
v.	NOVELISTS WHO field back and dramatists who pressed forward	85
	Henry James, George Moore, Arthur Machen, Algernon Blackwood, Hugh Walpole, H. M. Tomlinson. Literature and the Theatres The New Theatre. Its practitioners. J M. Barrie, Lord Dunsany, Granville Barker, St. John Hankin, Stanley Houghton, St. John Ervine. The Irish Movement.	
VI.	THE CRISIS IN POETRY AND ITS WOULD-BE REFORMERS	104
	C. M. Doughty, Gerard Manly Hopkins, Robert Bridges, T. E. Hulme, Imagists, Free Verse. Significance of the Crisis. Harold Monro.	
	PART II—INTERWAR PHASE	
VII.	MOVEMENTS BENEATH THE SURFACE	117
	Influences which accumulated 1900–14, and prepared the way for future developments. The Universities and the Study of English, the decline of Victorian science, Edward Carpenter and Bertrand Russell, Freud's contribution, Croce and pure intuition, literature acquiring a perspective but waiting for an impulse	

viii	ENGLISH LITERATURE IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY	
CHAP VIII.	IMPACT OF THE FOUR YEARS WAR	PAGE 126
	Siegfried Sassoon, Wilfred Owen, and Edmund Blunden. Frederic Manning and Robert Nichols. Bruce Cummings	
IX.	THE INTERWAR ATMOSPHERE	131
	Its difficulties and contradictions W. R. Inge, A. S. M. Hutchinson and Lytton Strachey. The demobilization spirit. It evaporates The intractability of interwar ideas. Science gains ground and (temporarily) loses influence. Significance of I. A. Richards' <i>Principles of Literary Criticism</i> . Classical v. romantic. The religious revival, oriental mysticism, Christianity, literature and the idea of Time.	
	LEADING INTERPRETERS OF THE INTERWAR PERIOD	146
	Somerset Maugham, D. H. Lawrence, T. S. Eliot, James Joyce, Virginia Woolf, The Internal Monologue.	- 40
XI.	THE LAST PHASE: ITS FAILURES AND BIDS FOR SUCCESS .	178
	Technique v Experience Ezra Pound, The Sitwells, Aldous Huxley, J B Priestley, Charles Morgan, Howard Spring. The Insurgence of the 'thirties. Reorientation.	
XII.	THE TENDENCIES OF TWENTIETH CENTURY LITERATURE AND THEIR CHANCES IN THE FUTURE .	190
	INDEX	

PART I PRE-WAR PHASE

CHAPTER I

TRANSITION AT ABOUT 1900

FIRST SIGNS OF THE RIFT

AS far as we can judge, the period under discussion is unlike any other in the history of literature. It has arisen amid a ferment of inspiring and suggestive ideas; it has brought to the surface a surprisingly large number of really gifted writers; it has assembled an enormous reading public, only too anxious to make the fortune of any author who can hold its attention; likewise an unusually large proportion of sympathetic and discursive critics bent on teaching the reader how to appreciate a genius even before he has proved his quality. Yet somehow the authors have not lived up to their opportunities. They seem to fall short of our expectations. There is effort, experiment, adventure in plenty, with here and there a glimpse into the Unknown. But for the most part their paths divide, lost among incomplete achievements and causes which ought never to be won. It is quite possible that none of them will ever join the Brotherhood of Immortals, however great their present reputation.

Is literature, then, slowly dying out of our civilization, in which it has no longer a place; or is it entering upon a new life too big to be mastered without half a century of unsuccessful endeavour? That is the question which any history of the period ought to answer, unless the historian is content to classify his authors according to subject-matter and technique, and tell his readers what they have

been told before.

The inquiry is of absorbing interest both to special students and to the general reader. The student will have a chance of studying an age of indecision, not as if it were the post-Chaucerian or pre-Shakespearean period—a museum piece, isolated in a glass case—but at first hand, a living problem, with the nexus of causes and consequences under his eyes. The general reader will be interested because this nexus is intertwined with the conditions under which we now live, and which we must hand on to the next generation. The study of twentieth-century prose and poetry is also the study of our advance into the future.

Before beginning to trace this history, we must decide where tobegin. The starting point cannot definitely be fixed, because it arose not out of a manifesto, nor the inauguration of a new school of writing, but out of a change in public taste, which means a change of heart. The authors followed the lead because they were themselves part of the public. The first impulses begin to be noticeable about 1870, and become unmistakable about 1900-3. By a convenient coincidence, the death of the Queen and the defeat of De Wet opened the door to the new age which for years had been tugging at the handle.

What did these Edwardian intruders want to change? It used to be said that they were chiefly outraged by Victorian self-complacency and humbug. Such a complaint might well have been raised by social and religious reformers; and lovers of literature no doubt joined in the cry, since authors reflect the voices around them. Besides, the accusation might, as often as not, apply to their own parents and ageing relatives But it could not be applied to the Victorian literary tradition. No one could allege that the authors of Vanity Fair, David Copperfield, Maud, Past and Present, Bishop Blougram, Hard Cash, Culture and Anarchy, On Liberty, Short Studies on Great Subjects, Beauchamp's Career, The New Grub Street, Fleet Street Eclogues were complacent. There was another deeper reason. Their idiom, their manner of presentment, the play of their imagination, even the rhythms and structure of their verse, seemed gradually to be losing the old magic. There was no obvious imperfection in either the manner or the matter; the word simply failed to evoke the spirit. Thus at first the rift was felt to be a change in literary taste.

WHY THE RIFT WAS INEVITABLE

Of course a reaction in any case was due, because art must always be renewed. Its creative influence depends on surprise When once the freshness of the presentment has faded, the reader relapses into his daily habits. He looks for a vision and sees only phenomena. So a great book must always come with a shock of novelty, convincing the inquirer that he is only at the beginning of things, and that experiences are merely materials to play with and reconstruct into a deeper or wider perception. Had no more been needed, the literature of the last half-century would have been much greater than it is, for genius was far from dead. But at the accession of Edward VII it was not fully realized that the very materials of literature—the ideas, experiences, moods, attitudes—had changed. Freshness was lacking and could be supplied, but it must be on another level. The spirit of man may be always the same, but, like other ruminants, it has to seek new pastures.

WHY THE PASTURES NEEDED CHANGING

This failure or disintegration of values, the true cause of transition, has often been emphasized, but seldom discussed, and even then mostly with reference to the inter-war period. In reality the dis-

illusionment began to spread twenty or thirty years earlies, but the younger generation misunderstood what was wrong, and therefore did not know how to put it right. The old values had begun to

crumble before the new values began to be established.

Those who were learning to think and talk in the 'eighties and 'nineties were still being trained to enjoy the traditional culture, not without good reason; but were also training themselves to look for many things which discredited those traditions. In the first place the young were being taught by their elders to prize 'the things of the spirit' above worldly prosperity, but when they went out' into the world they realized (as Gissing could show them) that the former was almost unattainable without the latter. Studious leisure, travel, and even healthy surroundings were expensive as well as necessary advantages. They had learnt to value the morals and manners of the gentleman, but social intercourse (together with Meredith's and Hardy's novels, and Wilde's comedies) soon convinced them that this cult had become a caste, and one easily to be imitated—again, by the help of money. It is true that in 1777 Dr. Johnson, possibly the best-read man of his age, could affirm 1 that opulence 'excludes but one evil-poverty'; but in 1863 Samuel Butler, ahead of his time, could write that money was like antennae, without it the human insect lost touch with its environment.2 He who would acquire scholarship or gentility must first acquire cash. In order to make the best of himself, the average youth must first make money. He would have to sacrifice to possessiveness the qualities which should render possessions worth while.

His father would probably not have been so disconcerted, for many of the older generation had inherited a spiritual recess into which they could retire from the rather sordid and frequently successful battle of life. This resource did not cost money, but it cost something which money cannot buy—the contemplation of a cosmic order which transcends our limited experience, the identification with some Divine Intelligence, or Superhuman Plan which absorbs and purifies our petty egoisms, the merging of our will in a higher will. This resource was still open to those versed in the older humanisms, or indeed in modern Christianity, but was far less convincing (as George Eliot realized) when Strauss, Renan, Colenso, Schweitzer were arguing that The Bible, even The New Testament, was not the voice of God, but a corpus of myths and legends. By the same token, it was less satisfying to contemplate the sweet tranquillity of the English scene, when every glade in springtime was the centre of a savage struggle for life, as any biologist could prove and the hero of Tennyson's Maud had already discovered.

There was, indeed, the world of ancient erudition, classical scholarship and medieval idealism, for those who could afford to

¹ Boswell, Chap. LX.

² Darwin among the Machines,

lead a cloistered existence. Any such study contained a story of real life and convinced its votaries that it was man's function to rally the good in the depths of himself, and that he could be trusted, with the help of classical wisdom or medieval piety, to be true to his best instincts; for man is a spiritual being. But this age was realizing every day more forcibly, that man is also a social being, and that incustrial problems were already menacing the peace of Europe. Many who in an earlier age would have been content with the cult of self-perfection were now ready to accept the duty of working for others. Not a few were beginning to ponder Karl Marx, Engels, Ruskin, Morris, Henry George, and to discuss practical suggestions for the reconstruction of society. A student's career seemed to be little better than a living death when there was so much to be done.

Nor was social reform by any means the only attraction. Antiquarianism and scholarship had thrived partly on comparisons with the alleged monotony and soullessness of modern civilization. But the new age was neither soulless nor monotonous, and could well dream dreams in its own setting. For instance, there was no need to agree with Sir Richard Jebb that the Anabasis 1 was the most interesting book ever written, when contemporary adventurers were beginning to find their way towards the North and South Poles, and mechanization was opening voyages of discovery among the

remotest peoples.

There were other and less ambitious resources, which had served the Victorians well, amongst them the sanctities of home life. But when the ideal of the gentleman declined, the sentiment for the family circle declined also. Young men and even women were no longer too genteel to earn money; besides, there were more opportunities; and having once realized the prospect of financial independence, they quickly realized the burden of parental authority and domestic narrowness—a series of irritating frustrations, misrepresented as filial duty. The sentiment of love often followed the sentiment of home; Now that young people began early to live their own lives, and consequently saw much more of each other, they responded readily to the half understood promptings of sex, gathering hints from scientific gossip. So love became much less of a romance and much more an experience.

All these are a few examples of the disintegration of values. When an author played on such sentiments as the contempt for money, divine love, natural beauty, the sanctities of home life, classical scholarship, and communion with the spirits of the Past, he ran the risk of striking a false chord. He might do well to persist in one of the familiar themes, but he would have to catch his readers in an unfamiliar mood—evoke thoughts and emotions not previously associated with the subject. The rising generation did not unlearn so much without learning something to take its place. So

¹ Greek Literature, 1877.

the literary adventurer must needs link the old idea to a new attitude; in other words, cultivate a fresh point of view and, above all, a fresh technique.

THE POST-VICTORIAN ATTITUDE

It is of course well known that the impulse behind this iconoclasm was the impact of scientific thought, which had been gathering momentum since the Renzissance, and then, towards the end of the nineteenth century, suddenly took possession of intellectual progress. Its prevalence, in the first place, was almost irresistable, because it suited the age. The older pre-scientific culture was a laborious and privileged acquisition. It made a personal and intimate appeal to each of its votaries. The humanist had to rely on his own individual and carefully trained powers of intuition or what, in a different connexion, Newman termed the 'illative sense'. But scientific truths, when once established, are either beyond the comprehension of any laymen, or else are equally intelligible to all, and can generally be communicated in a formula So they appeal to mass-thinking, and in an age of mass-education they win by sheer weight of numbers. In the next place these truths might seem, at a casual glance, to be foul treason to our treasured inheritance of thought and aspiration; but nevertheless they penetrated far more deeply than Darwin, Romanes, or Karl Pearson imagined. They played on the feelings which had animated humanity long before science was heard of. They interested him afresh in the problems of destiny, conduct, and selfhood.

This interest caused perplexity and restlessness because it was two-sided, culminating in a paradox. On the one hand no thoughtful and imaginative reader could accept the physical and biological conclusions, so amply documented from Lyell to Huxley, without admitting that the universe looks like a colossal blunder; that human life on our inhospitable globe is an accident, due to unknown causes which may never be repeated; and that this accident has involved more suffering and maladjustment than any other form of evolution; and for certain temperaments the interpretation stops there, ending in stoicism, overwhelming pity, or despair. Thomas Hardy, Mark Rutherford, A E Housman, and Somerset Maugham are examples On the other hand it was equally permissible to admit that Nature was not indeed a system planned by a Divine Architect or Economist, but an experimental force, appallingly wasteful and pitiless, but infinitely resourceful and adaptable, hopelessly uneconomical, but irrepressibly progressive. Those who faced the evidence fairly and squarely, instead of losing faith in the tradition of human grandeur, might be rewarded with a new romance of self-knowledge, based on scientific truth. The materialists were perhaps not mistaken.

¹ Thoughts on Religion. Edited by C. Gore, 1895 posth.

² The Grammar of Science, 1st ed., 1892.

They were all the more worth attention because, in reality, they were not materialists. Modern Europeans must have existed in their animal ancestors for at least 500,000,000 years, as submen for about 500,000 years, as men for about 20,000-50,000 years, as thinkers, each in his own civilization, for about 500 years. Thus there must be inherited tendencies within us too elemental and mysterious to be interpreted by any of the old-fashioned schools of ethics or theology. Human nature was both an abyss and a labyrinth, from its earliest phases the source of our deepest impulses, affinities, urges, and consequently aspirations. Who could say whether these appetencies were good or bad? No man knew what secret forces permeated his system, because he had always compelled himself, or been compelled, to govern his life by his intellect worked out on a pattern. Whereas the proper function of the humanist-especially in literature—might be to explore this labyrinth, to appreciate its elusive universality, to establish spiritual contacts and sensuous experiences by which our innermost animal selves could he brought to the surface and humanized. As even Oscar Wilde once remarked, 'I am the only person in the world I should like to know thoroughly, but I don't see any chance of it just at present'.

Such were the new opportunities to be vigorously exploited in the twentieth century, leading some to a deeper curiosity in their lower selves, leading others to a clearer conception of a second higher nature acquired no one knew how. We might be half animal and half god, and it so, it would rest with us to cultivate the better half. But it was even more important to realize that man had not only gained a further insight into himself, but as if by accident an unexpected control of his destiny. Beside the science

of knowledge, there was the science of power.

Civilization (not culture) had practically resolved itself into technics, civil organization, and the arts of mechanized industry, that is to say, the science of power. The nineteenth century had not faced the situation philosophically. Its poets and moralists began by celebrating the doctrine of progress, and ended by deploring the evils of industrialism. This disillusionment reached its climax in 1860-80, when manufacture had changed into machino-facture, and had become—inevitably—a theme for protests ever since. But neither the Victorians nor their successors have fully realized the implications of this transition. For instance, a tunnel was driven through Mont Cenis in 1870, the telephone was invented by Gray and Bell in 1876. In the same year the first dynamo was constructed. In 1866 Bernard's Médecine Expérimentale proved that we learn from the human body how to make it stronger, healthier, and longer-lived. In the 'seventies Pasteur was establishing the science of killing microbes and Lister was using his discoveries to ensure asepsis in operations. Berthelot, having discovered the principles of chemical synthesis, was proclaiming that the savant of the future would be master of our bodies-almost

of our souls. All would be explained, foreseen, prepared by science.

The trend of these achievements is most significant. Even if a humanist despaired of adapting his nature to his environment (as did Thomas Hardy), he could yet hope to adapt his environment to his nature He was beginning to master the elements of his fate, not only those physical and metaphysical obstacles-space and time-but his most persistent and elusive enemies, disease and death. Our fathers were already beginning to enjoy a sense of security and self-rectification unknown to former ages. Men might soon realize that their only adversaries were each other, and then only if competition became too keen for compromise Hence our newly assured attitude to ourselves—to the claims of our individuality, and to the indulgence of our own personality; hence also the increased risk of disillusionment, should these hopes prove to be premature. The paradox of the new learning should now be clear. Science, which reduced man to an animal, has nevertheless given that animal so much power that he can count himself greater than the universe out of which he evolved

With such accessions to our resources and resourcefulness we have entered upon a phase of which everybody does not yet appreciate the responsibilities and implications. That is why the task of the twentieth-century author is so exceptionally difficult. More often than not, neither he nor his readers clearly understand what culture has lost and gained in the last half-century. Some readers and writers have been so impressed by the spectacle of mechanical power that they seem to accept technical efficiency as an object in itself, often to the exclusion of the older values. Others, alarmed at materialism, have availed themselves of its mechanical advantages but yet refused it any foothold on the ladder of culture. They seek consolation and self-expression in the ever-dwindling and over-cultivated resources of the unmechanized or pre-mechanical worlds.

And yet everyone feels, if only obscurely, that the idea of the machine is stealing over our habits of mind, bringing with it a sense of mathematical adjustment and simplicity of design. For instance, in Le Rappel à l'Ordre Cocteau declares, It is a weakness not to comprehend the beauty of a machine. The fault lies in depicting machines instead of taking from them a lesson in rhythm.' Some authors and artists were gradually to learn that lesson, trying to interweave and concentrate their feelings so as to suggest organization and one-pointedness. The elimination of the superfluous (on the model of a machine) was particularly urgent because this mechanized civilization has not only bestowed the sense of power, but has complicated that gift with a million petty obligations. One would have to spend a few days in the seventeenth or eighteenth century to realize how unavoidably we now live by the clock, applying our energies not according to mood and impulse, but according

to a time scheme; how unobtrusively we are enslaved to our mechanical conveniences—tubes, escalators, suburban trains, city and country buses, water-mains, electrified cookers, circulators, registrations, government forms, the attendance of the dentist, and the treatments of the general practitioner. These and such-like services confer both freedom and enslavement, efficiency and emharrassment; more significant, they instil their own peculiar rhythm, which is symbolized as the presence of an objective world interwoven with our personal habits and inclinations, yet extraneous to our sensibilities. So there is a prospect that culture may become the study and control of these forces, and that just as machinery influences the collective mind, so the collective mind may influence machinery, directing its development by the weight of public opinion or curiosity.

The twentieth-century author would have to reflect this atmosphere, and his art would certainly find but little help from the

nineteenth.

THE NEW READING PUBLIC

Thus civilization would in any case have influenced the tastes of the reading public, even if that public were still as it used to be; that is to say, the cultured middle-class, not too numerous to be more or less united in tone and temper, however divided in opinion. Even in the mid-nineteenth century the right book soon fell into the right hands. But before 1900 another much wider and less accountable public had joined the patrons of the book-market: the erstwhile self-educating class now, since 1870, fully educated by the State. These newcomers perceived only too well that a world of intellectual refinement existed, just barely within their reach, and they fully realized that the readiest approach was through the literature which the Victorian middle-class had created. facilitate this approach, the publishers of the early twentieth century began issuing whole series of cheaply reprinted classics. The proletarian enthusiasts were also in a hurry for their culture could not indulge in the scholar's leisurely assimilation of truth and literary taste. So the new age also brought with it a spate of extension manuals on literature, aesthetics, philosophy, and politics short cuts to the Promised Land A generation later they supplemented these with yet another spate of anthologies in prose and verse, and essays in science made easy. Nevertheless the newcomers were not at their ease, and with good reason. Some of the best Victorian literature and learning illustrated a stable society, meet for a governing class which had entrenched itself on the economic laws of wealth, the truth of Christianity, and the legality of the British constitution. The details might cry to Heaven for improvement, but the outlines then seemed to be fixed for ever. That is why Tennyson, Browning, Dickens, Thackeray, Trollope.

¹ L. Mumford, Technics and Civilization, 1934

Carlyle, Macaulay, Mill, George Eliot, and Spencer write as if we must all find the expression of our best selves within the limits of the framework. We have already noticed that stability must become static even for the most favoured of the tax-paying classes, but now the normal call for movement was disconcertingly complicated by the multitudinous infiltration of the cheaply educated, who had no share in the inheritance, but very decided plans for its redistribution, together with the assertion of their own peculiar codes of moral and sexual freedom. Even those who tried to understand the wit and wisdom of the Past, could not shut their eyes to the rhythm of the machine, the all-pervading impression of flux and flight, nor hesitate to demand a culture of their own adjusted to these shifting sands

Thus there were at least two publics, each with its own differentiations and nuances. Of course an author might be content to cultivate one single interest, in one or the other. Some were incapable of doing more—for instance; Henry James or Barry Pain (to quote extremes). But what an opportunity for the novelist, poet, or critic who could capture the whole of this million-headed and many-minded audience! He would have more power than any

crowned head, and, incidentally, nearly as big an income.

Such a possibility however, only increased the dangers and difficulties of authorship. To think everybody else's thoughts is to distrust one's own, and savours more of a journalist's insight than a poet's perception Says Dryden:

Errors, like straws, upon the surface flow, He who would search for pearls must dive below,

and the straws might help the ambitious author more than the pearls.

There was an even more insidious temptation. These reading publics were uncertain of their ideologies and detached from their background, but desperately anxious to be impressed. They wanted to be led. So some authors could not resist trying to lead them in the quickest, easiest way, playing on their susceptibilities, and exploiting their enthusiasms. When once a style and an attitude had been established, it was not so difficult to talk them into the required point of view, by harping on the theme and reiterating examples. Thus the clever writer might end as the prophet of a school in which he did not believe. Often, no doubt, the magician fell a victim to his own incantations. We shall meet many examples of that likelihood.

But whatever the author's intention, his chief care was to increase his circulation Few people are averse to making a reputation, and money.

LITERATURE UNCERTAIN OF ITS DESTINY

To appreciate these difficulties one must bear in mind the function of literature. It creates intellectual and emotional pleasure out of experiences from within At the same time it has to suggest this pleasure through experiences from outside. So an imaginative author has to know how people are living and thinking, their habits as well as their feelings. He has, of course, to find the centre of common interest, which will appeal to as many readers as possible, and consequently he invents what critics of this century are fond of terming symbols, myths, life-forms, ideas, allegories; or, as Oscar Wilde would say, 'Man is least himself when he talks in this own person. Give him a mask and he will tell the truth.' Needless to add, this objectification is not solely ideological or even factual. Its effect largely depends on presentation. Style and technique supply half the pleasure, since they enable us to see farther than our own eyes can see.

Now it will be noted that in the great periods of literature, the author and his public were more or less at one. His genius might temporarily dazzle and thereby darken the eyes of his readers or listeners; but his means of communication, what one might almost call his code-words and code-figures, were such as everyone could quickly recognize and apply to experience. In the twentieth century our authors had and have no such advantages. They are not

so easily at home in either their material or their audience.

This disability began to be felt towards the close of last century, and a large group of literary men, born and bred in the old familiar world, were tempted to despair of the new spirit and to cultivate another atmosphere of their own, expressive of their disillusionment. Hence the stoic bitteiness of the neo-realists, the dreamy remoteness of the symbolists, the discontent and superficiality of the decadents; and in all these the negation of hope and the play on sensibility at the cost of sense. Max Nordau even went so far in Entartung (1892-3) as to diagnose the whole fin de siècle attitude as so many symptoms of degeneration and hysteria. In his judgement it all savoured of a hospital. The age was exhausted by two or threee generations of change, alarm, and surprise, and the troubled atmosphere favoured the seeds of insanity lurking in us all. Such pessimistic conclusions could not have been reached without the help of clinical research and the study of psychoneurosis, and, in fact, Entartung is based on the best scientific authorities then available. A generation later the historians of civilization began to corroborate the neuro-psychologists. For instance, W. Rathenau in Zur Kritike der Zeit (1912) and O. Spengler in Untergang des Abendlandes (1918) prophesied that Western culture had completed its cycle of progress and would therefore inevitably, by the law of periodicity, decline into exhaustion; and G. Ferrero in La Vecchia Europa e la Nuova (1918) was to proclaim that the new monstrous gods of machinofacture were imposing on the

modern world the worship of pleasure and power.

This anti-humanism was the work of foreigners, but their warnings lingered in the memory of Englishmen because they confirmed certain suspicions of our own. So the dawn of the twentieth century brought with it a spirit of regression as well as of progress It was difficult not to lament the passing of the old values, and some groups of writers, as we shall see, were to create ample scope for their genius out of the prevailing nostalgia. A few were utterly to despair of the future, and others were to revert to an artificial primitivism, either in sentiment, or style and diction, as the one hope of a recovery If such were the only or the dominating tendency of our era, a history would hardly be worth writing. But every reaction in a healthy community provokes a counter-reaction. Nor was help lacking. Nietzsche had already proclaimed the irrepressible energy of man, and Teilhard de Chardin and Alfred Loisy were both affirming their faith in humanity as well as in the far-off divine event to which creation moves, and both were insisting that its consummation-depended on working not for oneself but for one's fellow-creatures. So we have to record spirited and sometimes aggressive attempts to retain or revitalize old values in a new setting, or if the old eluded our grasp, to create new values to take their place.

Out of these tensions a unique literature has arisen, abnormally difficult to assess, irresistibly fascinating to study. Will it prove to be merely the record of unco-ordinated efforts? Not unless we expect a conventional progress along academic lines, easily divisible into types and schools. Instead of such conformity we shall find guesses, adventures, experiments which seem at first to be irresponsible, but gradually acquire a common spirit of discovery peculiar to the age. By following these clues we shall perceive an undercurrent which is directing the future of letters. That is why the study of twentieth-century literature is inseparable from the

study of ideas

CHAPTER II

THE PIONEERS OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

IN the last chapter we reviewed the influences which induced our nation to begin changing its mind, and consequently its literature. We saw that the transition involved something deeper and wider than the gulf which always severs youth from age, but that the younger generation, not altogether unaccompanied by its elders, was committed to a pilgrimage without signposts. We have now to consider the first stage of its orientation. It is characterized by a number of highly gifted and industrious writers who learnt all they could from nineteenth-century culture, but were caught up into the newly declared spirit of progress and followed that lead, feigning more assurance than they felt, and adapting their technique to their material because they could not do otherwise.

These authors cannot satisfactorily be classified and discussed according to their subject, and genre. They prevailed by their attitude and personality, and should be interpreted as individuals.

RUDYARD KIPLING (1865–1930)

To be consulted: A. M. Weygandt, Kipling's Reading, 1939; E. Shanks, Rudyard Kipling, 1940; T. S. Eliot, A Choice of Kipling's Verse, 1941; Definite Edition of Kipling's Verse, Hodder & Stoughton, 1940; H. Brown, R. Kipling: A Biography and Critical Appreciation, 1940.

[Son of professor of archaeological sculpture, connected with one of the most cultured and artistic circles of his time. Born in Bombay. Educated at the United Services College. When offered the choice of studying at the University, he preferred to return to India. 1882-7, assistant editor of Civil and Military Gazette at Lahore, and during this apprenticeship produced nothing better than local satires and society verse. 1887-9, travelled through India, China, Japan, and America to settle in England. 1891, travelled through S. America, Ceylon, Australia, and New Zealand. 1892, settled in Vermont, U.S.A. 1896, returned to England, henceforth his home, except for frequent world voyages. His work as an imperialist and poet of action begins about 1890 and begins to discontinue soon after the end of the Boer War. Thereafter he devoted himself chiefly to the arts of recapturing the sentiment of Old England and the continuity of her culture. Accepted many literary and academic honours (e.g., Nobel Prize, 1907, Fellowship at Magdalen, Cambridge, 1932, Rectorship of St. Andrews, 1922-5), but no public appointments or titles. Claimed to write only when in the mood, at the instigation of his 'daemon'. If Something of Myself is a faithful record, the hardships of his childhood explain much in his character which is otherwise inexplicable.]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

For the average reader Kipling's name still suggests all that one would like to read in all the newspapers at their best. Moreover he generally writes with studied carelessness, his prose full of colloquialisms, his verse adapted to recitation. He is, in fact, one of our first artists in illiteracy. So to many the bulk of his work hardly seems to rise above inspired 'journalese'.

We might have expected something quite different. His family connexions brought him into touch with the Pre-Raphaelite movement; his father was an archaeologist; his childhood was passed in India, where he must have imbibed some tincture of the primitive mysterious East; at any rate he never outgrew the consciousness of the spirit world with its magic and mythology. He spent his boyhood in England, but if Statky and Co. is in any sense autobiographical, he must have lived or relived the life of an inventive barbarian of the Bronze Age. Though he also acquired the habits of an omnivorous reader, he did not acquire the mentality of a modern scholar. His taste was old-fashioned. The authors he knew best belonged to the Middle Ages, Renaissance, and the seventeenth century, especially the Bible and Pilgrim's Progress. To the end of his career he took little interest in poets and novelists younger than Browning and Dickens. His earliest experiments in original writing mostly amounted to light society verses, mere journalistic flutters (republished in Departmental Ditties (1886)). If his genius appears at all at this period (1882-7), it is in the conception of such stories as The Man who would be King and The Mark of the Beast; that is, he was still fascinated by primitive, adventurous men, or by our ghostly enemies and black magic. Out of the whole range of English poetry he most admired one passage in Kubla Khan and one in Ode to a Nightingale.

As he 'heard the East a calling' he refused Oxford or Cambridge, returned to India at the age of seventeen and served 'seven years' hard' at an editorial office in the torrid zone. He owns to have acquired 'some sort of workshop facility'. As a matter of fact this journalistic training awoke his genius for 'rapportage', and to that

extent influenced his style and perceptivity.

He was still an unsophisticated and far from prosaic observer, inexperienced in Western manners and morals, when he left India in 1887 and travelled for two years all over the world, on his way to England, and his experiences changed the whole bent of his development. He met everywhere a civilization based on a new religion, the worship of power. Men of science and enterprise had evoked strange gods out of the forces of nature and the world's mineral wealth, deities who dwelt in temples made with hands and known as factories, banks, shipyards, and mining installations, who bestowed on their worshippers the joys of life, especially that god-like joy of

ruling not obeying nature, and thereby mastering other people as

good as themselves.

This arrogance and insolence were particularly to be noted in national ambitions. Since about 1860 all more or less civilized countries had entered upon a new phase in the struggle for life, or rather the pomps and vanities of life. Civilization now supplied the necessities, so everyone hankered after the luxuries. As a result, enterprising men spread all over the world in search of its riches; national boundaries were effaced in the sense that cities and settlers' areas had become cosmopolitan; enterprise was international. But the spirit of it was not international; the tendency had degenerated into a scramble; and finally the nations had begun to think like individuals. They were all afraid that other folk would grab what they themselves wanted.

Such was the state of affairs when Kipling entered upon his first grand tour. As he was at heart a primitive, unfamiliar with the great centres of world activity, he saw and noted it for the first time. His mind was like a tablet with no writing on it. As his genius for observation had been trained, he saw more than his contemporaries. As he had been gifted with a creative imagination, he discerned in the Evil the possibility of Good, as a poet should.

A glimpse of this possibility was bound to bring back his thoughts to the posture of his own country, for, like all Englishmen who live abroad-however dreamy or remote their preoccupations-he could not help regarding himself as to some degree the representative and supporter of the British Empire. The prospect was enough to obsess any Anglo-Indian not lost to all sense of public responsibility. The reader has only to recall the Irish insurrection of 1879, the revolt of the Boers in 1880, the death of Gordon in 1885, Russia's threat to India which in the same year came to a head over the Afghan boundary, the young Kaiser's policy of aggression and bid for naval supremacy, openly avowed in 1890. Besides, ever since 1867 the Japanese government, while suppressing the old Shinto religion, had officially diverted all that enthusiasm into a passion for nationality, and had made its first appearance as a world conqueror by declaring war on China in 1895.

Meanwhile England, which 'seemed, as it were, to have conquered and peopled half the world in a fit of absence of mind', had at last realized that it does not pay to be absent-minded Imperialism began to be taken seriously. J. R Green's A Short History of the English People appeared in 1874, and his The Making of England in 1881; Tennyson's Ballads appeared in 1880; Sir W. Hunter's England's Work in India in 1881; and Sir J. Seeley's The Expansion of England in 1883. The Imperial Federal League was founded in 1884, J. A. Froude published Oceana, or England and her Colonies in 1886. The Queen's two jubilees in 1887 and 1897, and her funeral (un jubilé en deuil) in 1901, blended imperialism with the

British love of pageantry.

Never was there a greater need of a poet who could discern the inner meaning of England's destiny, could raise it above the jingoism and vulgarity of music-hall and newspaper publicity, and wake the national consciousness to its grandeur and responsibilities. So it came about that a 'smart young Anglo-Indian storyteller' (as Le Gallienne described him), an unmistakable master of words, with a weird imagination and uncanny insight into the spirit world, yet started to write about ships' boilers, screw steamers, turbines, cranks, gunrooms, the army canteen, colonial wars, gentlemen rankers, and the Flag of England

KIPLING'S IMPERIALISM

It was no small feat to introduce literature to the world of technology, trade routes, colonial administration, military tactics, and economic forces. For others the Empire was a framework, but Kipling peopled it with individualities. His heroes were miners, engineers, merchant seamen, prospectors, planters, railway officials, agents, inventors, civil servants, soldiers, mostly inconspicuous folk, common or eccentric, generally modest, always unconscious of their own significance;—so unconscious, that their creator had to emphasize the poetry, humour, and provess immanent in the mechanized

iob. So he created the romance of practical life.

The interconnexion between the man and his job involved an artistic problem of no small difficulty. If the world's workers were to reveal their souls, they must talk in character, use the language of their trade-slangy, colloquial, reeking of machine oil, the odours of the fo'c'sle, or the illiteracy of the self-made man. This inartistic artistry had the spice of novelty. It interested the experts and exquisites of the 'nineties as a fresh departure in realism. Something similar was to be exploited by Masefield in another ten years or so. Kipling, they said, was demonstrating that an artist's personality could render any theme worth reading; but not, they would add, worth calling great prose, much less great poetry. Since his characterizations reeked of commonness and philistinism, they were too lowly for the epic spirit. They needed raising, and since they were scattered among short stories and occasional verse, they lacked a central idea. Kipling felt able to overcome this difficulty because he was not only a story-teller. He was sufficiently a puritan to preach the war-like morality of the Bible, to fight the good fight, and beat down Satan under our feet. But in conceiving the epic of British civilization, he rightly understood that the antagonist is a negative power: the taint of moral laxity, the spirit which leaves things undone This taint of obtusity and obstructiveness, inherent in 'the lesser breeds', can be visualized only if the reader understands what they obstruct. So he invented 'The Law'. E. Shanks has admirably explained this idea. The Law stands for the progress of civilization, the enforcement of an orderly world, armed

everywhere against famine, disease, wastefulness, and sensuality, and also against the powers of barbarism which might oppose its march. Thus Kipling presented the British Empire as the minister

of peace and prosperity, conquering the world to set it free.

It was a profitable and attractive theme, and certainly inspired some of his most arresting stories, for example, those in Mine own People (1891) and The Day's Work (1898); and some of his most spirited verse, for example, 'The Ballad of East and West' (1889), 'A Song of the English' (1893), 'The Native Born' (1894), 'A Hymn before Action '(1896), 'The Recessional' (1897), 'The White Man's Burden' (1899), 'The Islanders' (1902). In his prose he developed a casual but concentrated style, presenting his narrative in vivid, unpretentious scenes. In his verse he often struck that note of spontaneity at which the twentieth century was to aim. And yet at this period his writing leaves us unsatisfied. We learn much about other people, little about ourselves. He inspires patriotism but not contemplation. No wonder; he had lost faith in his cause. Ill fares the poet who entrusts his ideals to everyday human agents, necessarily limited by their vanity, factiousness, and self-interest. Sooner or later Kipling was doomed to convince himself if not others that the most insidious enemies of 'The Law' were neither the 'lesser breeds' nor even the remittance men, but the imperial people itself and their party-ridden bureaucrats. That is why we frequently catch him relying on mechanical rhythms, dialogues made to order, and tricks of style. His heart was not in his theme.

HIS SECOND PHASE. KIPLING CHANGES BECAUSE HE REMAINS TRUE TO HIMSELF

His disillusionment reached its climax during the Boer War. Thereafter his tone changes, and so did the tastes of the reading public. In any case a reaction was overdue The nation had had enough of imperial expansion, and began to concern itself with standards of living and the redistribution of wealth. H. G. Wells and Bernard Shaw became its popular gods, and professional men of letters began to look for models among the Russians and French War literature rang false when confronted with the muddles and miscalculations of real war, including dysentery. Contemporaries frequently remarked that Kipling, being a journalist, was quick to note the turn of the tide and steered his boat accordingly.

We can now see that he changed because he remained the same. Being quite sincere in his self-appointed office, he did not turn from the imperial democracy, nor its tenacious, elderly, governing class. But he now wrote of them as censoriously as Milton wrote of the fallen angels, or Swift wrote of Lilliput and Brobdingnag. By contrast, he dwelt even more sympathetically on the unconscious heroism and single-heartedness of the artisan, engineer, or colonist, or on the fascination of machinery; for instance, 'The Settler' (1903), 'The Sons of Martha' (1907), Letters to the Family (1908). But having

also the true instincts of a poet, he returned to the broader more human issues which really lay nearer his heart. These he rehandled, drawing on his stores of experience, probing them (as it were) for more significant experiments in manhood, such as his country needed. What he accomplished is most remarkable. He would have accomplished yet more if his genius had not lost its fire, too embittered by the world to rise above it. Besides, he kept to his old style, acquired in the 'nineties.

KIPLING'S LAST PHASE. HE ENDS AS HE SHOULD HAVE BEGUN

One is tempted to forget that Kipling could handle two or more themes at the same time with equal thoroughness. For instance, everyone was still discussing Barrack-Room Ballads (book form 1892) when The Jungle Book (1894) and The Second Jungle Book (1895) appeared. These fantasies, something between Aesop and the mysteries of the tropical rain forest, create the dream of a new spiritual order, in which children should learn to trust animals and profit by their sagacity. Similarly, people were still enjoying Soldiers Three (1888), Wee Willie Winkie (1889), The Ballad of the Seven Seas (1896), when Captains Courageous was published in 1807 to teach a pampered youth, and us, the meaning of elemental life amid the hardships and dangers of the Grand Banks. Two years later, Stalky and Co. (1899) demonstrated that the education of a future soldier or Anglo-Indian journalist is not acquired even in the best-regulated classrooms. Kipling's admirers had hardly got used to these new settings, and temporarily forgotten the Orient, when he published Kim (1901), the panorama of India with its suggestion of all a boy may learn and become, if he can acquire 'the common touch' and meet all human beings in the primitive spirit of fellowship and adventure—a foretaste of Baden Powellism.

These studies in childhood and school-boyhood might be mistaken for technical devices, mere backgrounds to fiction, till one remembers that such was the education which Kipling had tried to give himself. He had come to understand that the surest way to master our new world was to start from the beginning, in the spirit of the Bronze Age; to recover our early ancestors' mother-wit, inventiveness, unanimism, their sense of kinship with beast and clod, their aptitude for arts and crafts, their genius for mystery and symbolism. Experience ought to be somewhat like folk-lore applied to life. Some of his most artless and intimate 'yarns' are treatises on education Stalky and Co. actually arose out of a discussion on that subject.

These ideas were running in his head at the height of his imperialism; and they were his chief resource when he began to despair of England's future. So he surprised his less discerning readers with yet another theme, first developed in magazines and then published in book form as *Puck of Pook's Hill* (1906) and *Rewards and Fairies* (1910). Yet the appearance might have been expected; it is foreshadowed in 'Sussex' (1902) and 'An Habitation Enforced'

with its admirable appendage 'The Recall' (reprinted in Actions and

Reactions (1909)).

Having spent his manhood absorbing the world, Kipling was almost sure to end by absorbing England. Since her sons are now scattered over the world, he would write about their forbears who found more than enough to do within her shores. History in verse and fiction was to be expected and the implications of racial continuity. But, to his admirers' surprise, he had something more to contribute: what one might call archaic regionalism. Regionalism is the cult of a certain district which has helped the poet to realize himself. Kipling realizes in the countryside, not always in 'Wessex'. the human interest, fortitude, and efficiency he so often missed in the modern world, but evokes out of past records, in glimpses of the dead whose virtues survive in tradition. Thus he impressed his personality on the scene, without talking about himself. Very skilfully he lets the garment of the Present fade away, as if of its own accord, uncovering the romance which in his portraiture seems so real, and true to common sense. The Roman Wall is the most memorable evocation; but often a single oaktree, the traces of some ancient trench or mound, even a flake of pottery, is enough to remind him of the piety, prowess, and passion of those who went before, not forgetting Hobden.

So he completed his chronicle of the English race, working backward. He began with Anglo-India society in Simla, and ended

with the God Whelan and the spirit of the thorn bush.

HIS PLACE IN TWENTIETH-CENTURY LITERATURE

Sooner or later his position will be established as one of the first few adventurers who reconciled the individual to modern civilization, and thereby to himself While the contemporaries of Kipling's youth were still fretting because man was too big for this world, Kipling persuaded them that the world was big enough for the greatest and smallest man. The destiny of England gave authority and one-pointedness to his message.

It was essentially a 'message', very dear to him, because he loved England and loved writing like a Hebrew prophet And yet this seemingly spacious theme gave little scope to what was deepest and most distinctive in his nature. Too often it imposed the qualities of sameness, superficiality, and rhetoric. Now and then he escaped

from his task into himself, and we see his true significance.

His significance has often been overlooked because the critics are slightly puzzled by the workings of his mind, and so turn more readily to points of style and composition. There is a mystery about Kipling's approach to the world he studied so widely and closely. He might be described as an aboriginal, a survivor from some period of prehistoric civilization, innocent of the mists of modern culture, and for that very reason clear-sighted enough to assess the values around us; especially the underlying continuity of human

nature, and the permanent outlines of character, however twisted and disguised by modern requirements. His imperialism, though undoubtedly sincere, was an accident, an opportune but inelastic medium for his talents unfortunately trained to super-journalism, also accidentally. It was his genius to read the human soul by a natural cunning and craftiness—one might almost say primitive guile—which reveals itself in flashes of mother-wit, primitive sympathy, and an instinct for the unending shallowness of man when he tries to use his reason.

If and when this unique quality is appreciated, his lapses in literary taste, his jingoism, prolixity, and inconsequence of treatment will be overlooked. On the other hand, it will not be overlooked that he introduced us to our own cosmopolitan civilization with the freshness and curiosity of a newcomer.

JOSEPH CONRAD (1857-1924)

To be consulted: G. Morf, The Polish Heritage of Joseph Conrad, 1930; R. L. Mègroz, Joseph Conrad: Mind and Method, 1931; E Garnett, Conrad's Prefaces to his Works, With an Introductory Essay, 1937

[foseph Konrad Theodore Korzeniowski, born in the Ukraine. Though the orphan son of distinguished and well-connected parents, amd destined for the university, he insisted on becoming an ordinary seaman at the age of sixteen or seventeen, apparently convinced that there was more freedom within the confines of a ship than in a Polish city riddled with German spies. He did not set foot in England nor speak a word of English till 1878, nor become a master mariner in the British Merchant Service till 1884. In 1893 he first met Galsworthy at Adelaide, on whom he exercised an unmistakable influence for thirtynine years. In 1894 he left the Merchant Service and settled in the south of England, often changing his residence, but always within sight of the sea. Almayer's Folly (on which he had laboured intermittently since 1889) was published in 1895, on the advice of Edward Garnett, reader to Fisher Unwin. Garnett also persuaded him to continue at his new vocation instead of returning to sea. In The Nigger of the Narcissus (1898) he discovered the natural bent of his genius, and though a slow and laborious writer; he followed it with Lord Jim (1900), Youth (1902), Typhoon (1902), Nostromo (1904), Mirror of the Sea (1906). Thereafter his work becomes, not less powerful, but less confident, and less inspired by the sea-e.g, The Secret Agent (1907), Under Western Eyes (1911), Chance (1914) (which first won him popular recognition), Victory (1915) The Four Years War, and possibly the sweets of success, seem to have impaired his genius, and, although he published six more volumes, only The Rover (1923) is to be compared with his pre-war novels]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Conrad's career is one of those many paradoxes which render the study of literature so fascinating. Nature had gifted him with an abounding love for his fellow-creatures, and therewith an unusual insight into all that they might accomplish and enjoy. Fate compelled him to denationalize himself, though a wholehearted patriot; to become a citizen of the world, spending the best years of his manhood in no other home than the ship in which he happened to serve, or the port at which she happened to call. These twenty years of strenuous life revealed to him the central problem of human nature—that is, the tension between our higher and lower selves; but the training also brought with it the excessive consciousness of his own lower nature, together with the memory of mistakes, humiliations, and corrections under authority. Hence one reason for his almost morbid interest in lamed and harassed souls.

Moreover, the sailor's life is a one-sided experience. A mariner has no special opportunities of studying the natives and Europeans who live up-country in outlandish places—outcasts, adventurers, bandits—who are the story-teller's best material. He usually learns their histories at second hand, in hotels, clubs, and smoking-saloons. That may be the reason why Conrad so often developed his plots through a third person as if in a conversation, the voice and personality of the narrator being 'extremely suggestive quite apart from the story he was telling me'. No doubt he was confirmed in this device by the example of Nikolai Leskov, who had already forty years earlier introduced into Russian literature the shax—'a stylized spoken tale . . . told in such a way that the accent and inflexion of the narrator himself are perfectly preserved'. 1

What inspiration he derived from his professional life is supposed to be revealed in *The Mirror of the Sea* (1906). If so, the revelation is disappointing. Apart from the fascinations of seamanship, so vividly presented, he seems to have learnt only to measure life by the norm of the 'ship-shape'. But when this sailor meditates on the psychology of sea-life his manner becomes most unshipshape. In fact the Merchant Service seems to have given Conrad an attitude to life, but only a limited knowledge of men This latter he had to learn elsewhere, and it is to be noticed that most of his best characters

are only accidentally connected with the sea.

In middle life, at the 'dangerous age', the artistic instinct asserted itself and Conrad became an author. He made England his home because it is the country 'where men and the sea interpenetrate', as he afterwards declared in Youth (1902). Falling in love with the people, he fell in love with their language It filled him with enthusiasm and a sense of discovery. Its idioms seemed to create his thoughts for him. Though a prose-writer, he is one of the first modern poets to use words as guides more than servants.

¹ J Lavrin, An Introduction to the Russian Novel, 1942.

It is now clear that Conrad might have been expected to write in much the same kind of vein as Kipling Both had acquired the twentieth-century cosmopolitan touch; both were experts in the romance of adventure. Neither was a home-bied Englander; both adored England and dreamt of her destiny. Yet the two authors are only so far alike in that both breathed the atmosphere of the age in which they lived.

This paradox brings us to the last element in Conrad's background: the authors who influenced him most. He admired the artistic rectitude and probing subtlety of Henry James and Proust He professed to model his style and treatment on Flaubert and de Maupassant, apparently impressed by their Olympian detachment and discerning study of environment, though he rarely mastered their genius for economy of effect. Most significant of all, he followed Turgeney, to whom Garnett introduced him, and Dostoevsky, whom he affected to despise. Both these Russians have widely influenced the Georgian and the interwar novel because they had outgrown the cult of nationalism, had celebrated the panhumanity and 'togetherness' (Katherine Mansfield's phrase) of all Europeans, and, moreover, portrayed characters strong or weak enough to live by impulse, not by law. What most fascinated Conrad was their complete portraiture of incomplete characters; men and women at war with themselves, thwarted by their own passions and impulses-introverts who either missed their life purpose, through lack of self-direction, or found their only outlet in crime

It was unfortunate that Conrad's masters fitted some of their most telling characters onto a background of big city life; they were mostly temperaments imprisoned in sedentary habits, minds narrowed to the streets which fretted their energies—whereas Conrad proved the mettle of his heroes often far beyond the bounds of civilization.

Thus when Conrad began to learn from others, he added yet one more complication to his own spiritual history. As if it were not enough to change his nationality and language, to devote the prime of his manhood to a restless and exigent profession, rich in glimpses, but blank to every intimacy, he now sought counsel from artists who knew nothing about the lives his characters had led, nor the scenes in which they must play their part. Probably no man in this adventurous century has tried to store so much of the multitudinous modern world within the compass of his head, and give it back to us impressed with his single personality.

CONRAD'S IDEA OF THE SCOPE AND METHOD OF THE NOVEL

Being a cosmopolitan settler, he and his public lacked a common meeting-ground, unless it were the sense of humanity and the consciousness of a destiny surrounding all our lives, even the most prosaic. Out of the wealth of his experiences he could recall a procession of figures sufficiently unaccountable and suggestive to

interest us in all that human beings could become; some few utterly and restlessly bad—'the incarnation of everything vile and base that lurks in the world we love'—some very few serenely good because masters of themselves; the majority oscillating between the two poles.

But unlike Henry James, Flaubert, or Turgenev, he had neither the experience nor the opportunity to examine them as social types or psychological puzzles. His imagination thrived on glimpses which suggested a mystery; for instance, Almayer, who was always expecting something better; Lord Jim, who seemed to feel himself under a cloud; Nostromo, a vile little creature who nevertheless committed a stupendous crime and openly boasted of it. Always the disinterested human observer, he set himself to create the explanation, to complete their inner history as revealed in their outward behaviour, generally 'the passions of men short-sighted in good and evil'.

In fact, when Conrad was in the mood to write, he acted on a hint from outside and then descended into the depths of himself, wherein our stored impressions of others blend with what we have ourselves enjoyed, suffered, and above all condemned. What he found there he refashioned, and transposed into these figures, originally viewed at a distance. So he preserved his air of aloofness and objectivity.

How, then, was he to make his externalizations seem real to his reader? By surrounding them with the scenery to which they belonged, on a background of the same tone and colour, in tune with their behaviourism. This expressive environment is varied—sometimes a city or the countryside, not seldom a ship on the high seas—but the most memorable scenery is something new to the English literary imagination: rivers running up into uncleared country, the air laden with the acrid smell of decaying vegetation, or the hot, gloomy forest path 'overgrown and strangled in the fierce life of the jungle'. Such were the 'telling, representative facts, helpful to carrying on the idea'. He confessed that he used the Malay Archipelago so often, not because he was specially familiar with the country, but because it served his artistic purposes.

The psychology of scene (Edward Garnett) laid a heavy strain on the author's powers of description. His visions of tropical forests, sea-storms, docks, streets, natives, and Europeans gone native, are not romantic touches, but half the power of his realism. He was well aware that he aimed at the highest. In fact he took his art as seriously as he took his seamanship, and in his prefaces he has often explained his ideal. He termed it the 'conscientious rendering of truth in thought and fact—or truth disclosed in a moment of illusion'. He dived down into his heart, and when he at last succeeded in putting all he found there into the heart of some figure glimpsed in passing, he had found the truth, as his predecessors hoped to find it, first in religion and then, centuries later, in lyric poetry.

Thus his themes transcended temporary and material interests. He scorned to expose social abuses, or laugh at social prejudices, however intellectually, or even to experiment in psychological finesse, though some of the best Victorian and Edwardian novelists had set those fashions. He lived on his past, but only after the lapse of years, evoked as an opportunity for the author's nobler qualities, especially his capacity for intellectual sympathy and single-heartedness. In this clear, revealing light he felt that he was true to himself and to the characters he created.

CONRAD'S ACHIEVEMENT

If Conrad had fully realized his ideal he would have become as great as the greatest poets and story-tellers. His first three novels (1895-8) do not differ in tone from the work of any contemporary continental realist, except in their exotic scenery. Our author seems unwilling to portray any human virtue, lest he be accused of sentiment; or as if he must first settle his account with Evil before turning to what might spring to Good. But his masterpieces— The Nigger of the Narcissus (1898), Lord Jim (1900), Typhoon (1902), Nostromo (1904)—are such as only Conrad could have written. The series covers an immense range of human activity, from man's conflict with the eternal sea to the revolutionary and avaricious passions raging round the fabulous wealth of the San Tomé mine, and so on to official life in a southern roadstead, and tribal wars between remote savages. Yet such is the real life going on around us. It seems strange because its interest is both exotic and cosmopolitan, and the tastes of the average novel reader are insular. But the scenes are described by one who knows how things happen in the big modern world, and his actuality makes the characters seem actual.

These characters are a literary type of their own. There is, or rather was, a convention that men of action have plenty of resourcefulness, but no nerves,—certainly no cerebration. Conrad's heroes (if they can be so designated) have enough, or more than enough of both. They are not, for the most part, refined or fashionable people such as Conrad must now and then have met on liners and private yachts but did not easily understand. They are men who may or may not have once been like those others, but have lost their acquired habits in the struggle for life. They have changed their hearts with their skies. These decivilized civilians gradually return to their natural selves under pressure of their wildly natural environment, but they do not therefore become beasts, maniacs, or saints. They become slaves to their peculiar idiosyncrasy—some secret cowardice, infidelity, strain of egoism, or touch of vanity, such as (according to Conrad) haunts every human being, and we watch them transform; the impulse into an obsession or action. So some become criminals and some heroes, without knowing why, deceiving themselves and the undiscerning reader.

Since Conrad generally met the living human material more than half-way through their transformation, he preferred to present his reincarnations at the same stage. Besides, it was more artistic to plunge his reader into the middle of the plot. So he was forced to reckon with the psychological axiom that there are no dead selves. A man's past is telescoped into his present. That is why it was futile to ask Lord Jim for facts (as did the court of inquiry) until

vou knew his whole life.

Yet his studies frequently arouse without satisfying the readers. Critics, for instance Garnett, insist on his 'uncompromising sardonic perception of the human drama'. Ironical interpretation is not the quality of the greatest artists, nor was it Conrad's aim. He attempted to engage and satisfy what one might term the religion of human sympathy and insight. But since our sympathies must not darken our insight, his mood was judicial, so free from illusions that he sometimes appears to be disillusioned If he relapsed into irony it was as a last resource, because even his best characters disappointed him. He felt he had not succeeded in rendering them worthy of his own sympathy, nor of ours.

That is why Conrad could seldom stop at the right place. His characters have tormented souls, and he laboured on them, till he tormented himself. He was so afraid of missing a single trait either in the complexities of the man or of the encompassing scenery, that his visions often become an embarrassment of impressions. They leave the reader no opportunity of contributing his share to the spiritual effect. His use of the third-person narrative and 'the time-shift' is equally prolix and perplexing. Once he achieved simplicity and acumination: in The Secret Agent (1907). Both plot and characters are as clear cut as in the best French novel. But he was then working on a lower level; the personages are types and the background is merely a setting.

He achieved everything except his artistic ideal.

HIS POSITION IN OUR LITERATURE

As psycho-analysis, the Russian novel, and travel in distant countries are certain more and more to become commonplaces in English thought, the educated reader will be able to follow his ideas more easily. It will then be understood that his novels are a world of their own, not likely to be replaced. While Kipling demonstrated that cosmopolitan civilization breeds its own epic heroes, Conrad demonstrated that those heroes often border on tragedy. If his inspiration sometimes outran his technique, he has at least left us some descriptive passages seldom if ever surpassed. Only Milton and very rarely De Quincey can make us see the unseen as this author can. He never wrote down to his public, in fact he assumed that it was living up to his ideal of the English temperament-its impartiality, practical wisdom, sense of fitness, and freedom from sentimentality. To admire Conrad is to live up to his standard. So his place is assured.

HERBERT GEORGE WELLS (1866-

To be consulted: H. G. Wells, Experiment in Autobiography, 1934.

[Born in Bromley; his father an under-gardener, then a small retailer in hardware who earned the family income as a cricket professional; his mother a lady's maid. The finine novelist, after a good primary education at Midhurst Grammar School, was apprenticed to a linen draper at 13 years of age. Three years later he cancelled his articles, became an usher at his old school, then in 1884 a student at the Normal School of Science (Royal College of Science). 1887–93 supported himself by teaching and journalism, though suffering from ill health. 1895 published The Time Machine and The Wonderful Visit. Thereafter his career was assured and may be divided (despite overlapping) into four periods: 1895–1908, scientific romances; 1905–10, social and humorous studies; 1911–18, novels of political reform and intellectual direction; 1919–32, summary and reinterpretation of historical, scientific, and economic principles. Since then he has been retracing his steps.]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Whereas Kipling and Conrad were citizens of the world before they were men, Wells was born and bred a provincial. Till he was over thirty, his experience was confined to West Sussex and London lodgings as known to a poor man who often fell ill. Yet his thought became just as progressive, and his sympathies even more cosmopolitan.

He achieved his emancipation partly because he was class conscious. His mind began to grow when 1 Darwin, Huxley, Haeckel, Lister, Berthelot, Pasteur, Ruskin, Morris, Marx, Engels, Hyndman, Henry George, H. C. Hinton, and the technologists were making much stir in the world, but had as yet produced surprisingly little effect on the traditional humanism of the upper class. All the more reason why ardent and intellectual youngsters of the lower class should embrace the 'new learning' which held out such hopes for the future. Some even felt obscurely that the taint of capitalism clung to the study of Greek drama, medieval art, and Anglicanism; and condemned, without understanding, the culture from which they imagined themselves to be excluded. The age of introductory text-books and extension lectures had dawned, so their nimble, eager minds could make short cuts to science and socialism, and then dream of reconstructing England.

Wells made himself the prophet of this class. He was not really gifted with the scientific temperament. He was a rather super-

ficial, inaccurate thinker, a man of impulse and imagination, a humorous observer of his fellow-men. But he had a constructive. experimental mind, impatient of any complication, and science set it free. Take, for instance, the theory of evolution. It addressed no flattering appeals to your sensibilities, it chose the most direct path to the fundamental mysteries of existence. It demonstrated that life might lead anywhere—to the liver-fluke, the skunk, or the microbes that batten on disease, and also to the inventive genius of the human species. 'Life was a various and splendid disorder of forces that the spirit of man set itself to tame.' 1 In the light of this truth science supplied means and motives for bettering our fellow-creatures. It rationalized, as it were, the sources of Good and Evil. Wells knew at first hand—none better—that the primary obstacle to progress was the muddle and stagnation of lower-class flife, and then the vested interests and ignorance of the well-to-do. The knowledge which helped him to expose this passive resistance also helped him to reckon with his own instincts and appetencies 'those living, writhing, warm and thrilling organs' 2-all that submerged self which religionists tried to suppress and humanists passed over in silence. Hence his advocacy of free love.

WELLS TURNS SCIENCE INTO ROMANCE, AND THEREBY FINDS HIS BENT

His development as an author is most significant. He began by manufacturing 'pot-boilers', apparently acting on a hint from Barrie's When a Man's Single (1888), and in order to sell what interested others, he soon concentrated on what interested himself—that is, the probable and possible applications of science to civilization. Jules Verne had shown the way, but Wells writes as if he had also absorbed C. H. Hinton's Scientific Romances (1st series, 1884).

In other words, he took his data seriously. Each story was based (more or less) on a current theory or practice, the property of specialists, but recently made public and of possible importance to the whole world. For instance: in 1893 Schiaparelli propounded the theory that the long straight markings on Mars were 'canals'. In 1894 Percival Lowell founded an observatory in Arizona to study this phenomenon, and opined that they were the work of intelligent beings, threatened with drought. Wells adopted the idea and produced The War of the Worlds (1898) In 1905 Wilbur and Orville Wright succeeded in flying thirty-six miles, and aeronautics became a practical proposition. Only two years later and one year before Bleriot crossed the Channel, Wells published The War the Air (1908). Perhaps the most remarkable of all his ventures s his first: The Time Machine (1895). The hypothesis of the fourth dimension had filtered down to the educated public. When C. H. Hinton published Scientific Romances, the volume contained,

¹ The New Macchiavelli, 1911. ² First and Last Things, 1908

among other essays, 'What is the Fourth Dimension?', 'A Plane World', and 'Many Dimensions'. With a flash of genius, Wells saw that the idea might be used to show how human beings could, just possibly, travel forward in time. The time-traveller has fascinating experiences. But he also finds the law of evolution working itself out to the logical conclusion. Nor is the speculation

a dream of progress.

These are three examples out of more than nine stories, all suggested by current science. Of course the data are not always reliable. For example, The First Men in the Moon (1901) might more appropriately have been entitled The Last Men, since on that planet there is no air to breathe. In The Food of the Gods (1904) those who partook could not grow into giants; 'boom-food' was too extra nutritious to be digested. Still the fiction is surprisingly and ingeniously realistic; nothing so speciously convincing had appeared before; and it must have reflected adult public taste, for the fantasies were an immediate and immense success. Incidentally Wells helped to educate our imagination.

Yet the stories, though written to please, are by no means messages of hope. He does not hint that research may be leading us towards the hydro-electric age of plenty; or indeed that mankind has any collective capacity for progress. For instance, in The Island of Dr. Moreau (1896) the arts of healing are exercised to outrage nature. The earlier fantasies end in melodrama, the later ones invite us to contemplate society disintegrating under the impact of scientific progress. His tone, always inclined to irony, becomes pessimistic and occasionally cynical. In his first attempts this tendency may have been due to the requirements of the book-market: the public likes its meals served hot. But as soon as he felt at home in his material, he began to realize that he was playing not with dreams but with possibilities, some extravagantly remote, but others alarmingly imminent. He was already conscious that laboratory research takes its direction from public opinion. Inventors try to supply what their generation asks for. But at this period the public was not asking for anything at all. It was all unconscious of the superhuman forces threatening the routine of its petty life. So the inventors were left to themselves—to satisfy their curiosity. There was no co-ordination, much less leadership. The knowledge which should humanize nature might well be let loose to destroy human beings. It should not be forgotten that Wells foresaw the principle of poison gas, and the actual practice of aerial warfare, as well as the developments of motor-traffic and the fitments of utility houses.

In any case he had begun to realize that science was not the all-sufficient life-guide he had once hoped. Under the influence of Huxley and Haeckel it meant the observation and comparison of facts—'systematic classification'—and our powers of observation

overlooked the deepest truths in human nature.

HIS SECOND PHASE: SOCIAL AND POLITICAL NOVELS

Vision had been effaced by foresight, and the consequent disillusionment hampered his creativeness; within ten years he had exhausted his stock of scientific gadgets with cosmic consequences. But he was now something more than a publicist with an imagination. His ideas were concentrated into a life-purpose both profitable and public-spirited, ahead of his age; and his next task was to show why his contemporaries were like travellers who had lost sight of their destination. For this reason he turned to examine the nature cf man, beginning with himself. He was already familiar with the mystery of his own body, known to him through impulses and desires, which he durst not suppress, but must perforce dominate by that something termed personality, and must direct towards the enjoyment of beauty and power. But what was true of himself was equally true of everybody else. We are all actuated by the same needs, dependent on each other. In fact we are so inextricably implicated that we can only move forward altogether, not only thinking alike, but feeling alike.

This social philosophy, propounded in First and Last Things (1909), is simply an old-fashioned doctrine brought up to date Mass-education is to overshadow the individual's private quest of self-culture. But Wells's presentment is original. He commits himself to a series of realistic novels in which the hero, sometimes himself as he might have remained, sometimes himself as he actually was, sometimes himself as he would have wished to be, an inventor or public reformer—but always himself—is let loose, with all his ideas and ideals, on the most typical phases of contemporary English life. In every scene he becomes the victim not of organized opposition, but of ill-instructed individualism. Both the stupid and the clever are wrapped up in their personal associations; they cannot think beyond themselves or their class. But whatever the embarrassments of the intellectual intruder, Wells never loses his sense of humour. He is one of the very few reformers who can see

the comic side of reforms, including his own.

His technique is almost primitive. He had learnt in his scientific romances how to combine episodes and link them together in the experiences of one or more lightly sketched figures. He had also learnt how to sustain interest by suggesting the approach of a climax without suggesting what the climax would be; so skilfully, that the reader can live in the plot without the help of a central character. While Conrad and others were leaving the Victorian novel far behind, Wells was demonstrating that the ancient art of storytelling was still potent if it could awake curiosity, as of old. So with his social novels. A central character (himself) was indeed indispensable, but chiefly as a thread on which to hang his theories and illustrations. The real interest centres in the sequence of episodes, one event leading unexpectedly but inevitably to the next.

The method is still as unsophisticated as in the days of Chaucer or Herodotus, and the novelist still appeals to his readers' curiosity; but this time it is the new middle- and lower-class willingness to learn how and by whom their lives should be regulated, and the author is the most inquisitive of them all.

The conversations and self-examinations are so ideological that the atmosphere often reminds one of an un-Platonic dialogue. Now and then it leads to the creation of a genuine character which lives in its own right, illuminated by that quality of indulgent middle-class humour which can be denoted 'Wellsian' The Ponderevo circle in Tono-Bungay (1909) is a good example; but the best are the lovably and pathetically comic Art. Kipps and Mr. Polly. The two novels (Kipps, 1905, and The History of Mr. Polly, 1910) which immortalize these square pegs in round holes, come nearest to jesting with serious thoughts behind', for they reveal how human nature is being wasted. The more ambitious volumes interest only so far as they discuss current topics. Perhaps the most spacious is The New Macchiavelli (1911). The most stimulating and best constructed is Tono-Bungay, a vivid exposure of commercial capital-15m, with its huge and insecure fortunes extracted out of public stupidity, the arts of advertisement and the fluctuations of the Stock Exchange, by which money is made and lost without being earned. There is just a glimpse of how this muddled haphazard system can be turned to the use of an inventive genius.

Early in his career Wells started to write on the sexual, rather than the inventive man. Love and Mr. Lewisham (1900) was the first and most searching enquiry. As a student of science he had learnt to consider passion as an expression of the life-force, and the more he rose in reputation, the more he harped upon the instinctiveness of love, insisting that we could enrich our hold on life if we relaxed the ties of wedlock. There is nothing prurient, much less sentimental, in his advocacy, it is mere importunity; he never tired of this preachment, though many of his admirers tired of it.

Wells's other pre-war and post-war novels add little to our idea of his art and mentality; though Mr. Britling Sees it Through (1916) should be remembered for its impression of our first 'Home Front'; foan and Peter (1918) for its study of educational experiments, which are still a subject for research and controversy; Christina Alberta's Father (1925) and The World of William Clissold (1926) because they photograph interwar society

HIS INTERWAR PHASE: THE EDUCATION OF MANKIND

His heart was elsewhere. The Great War of 1914 seemed then to have fulfilled his gloomiest anticipations, and it needed no very far-sighted prophet to perceive that the disaster might well be followed by another. Pamphleteers and propagandists (including Dear Inge) were busy by the hundred at enlightening society and reforming its administration. But in Wells's opinion they began

at the wrong end, proclaiming how well the world would go if such and such adaptations were effected. This partisan advocacy would always encounter prejudice and vested interests because the rising generation, like its predecessor, was not of one mind It had not the basic knowledge to see its way. Wells was only proceeding to another stage of his life purpose when he constructed The Outline lof History (1919-20), The Science of Life (1929-31, in collaboration with Julian Huxley), and The Work, Wealth, and Happiness of Mankind (1932). The aim of these three surveys is to reconstruct knowledge so that we can reconstruct civilization. The trilogy are a contribution towards the humanism of the future and a warning that democracy, without education, cannot survive

He bases his teaching on history, because history reveals, or ought to reveal, tendencies rather than accomplishments. So he sketches the origins of man as a single species, a tentative adventure in an inhospitable world. He explains how this new-comer gradually established his right to exist and then to rule creation by his inventive intelligence, and then lost his way, divided into envious and antagonized communities, because he misunderstood his destiny—mistook his struggle against nature for an internal struggle with his own species—witness the Great War, in which the nations tried to exterminate each other with forces produced by international collaboration.

The Science of Life begins with the carbon atom and its combinations, possibly two thousand million years ago, and with admirable lucidity traces the experiments of evolution, first in the sea, then on the land, from microbes to megatheria, culminating in homo sapiens, our latest phase, hardly fifty thousand years old. This biped mammal carries in his system all nature's mistakes as well as His physiology is a complex and ill-balanced mechanism, his social sense is rudimentary, his spiritual experiences are illusive. since he is only an animal which has acquired the faculty of speech and consequently of thought The review covers an immense range of biological knowledge, and yet tells us so much about the common interests of life that one almost loses sight of the moral. It demonstrates that we hold on to the precarious thread of life at the cost of infinite labour and ingenuity; and that our greatest victories are won over our environment and by obscure heroes, such as the men who discovered the causes of malaria

We have considered Kipling's and Conrad's reactions to the machine; they were interested in the individuals who served it. We have also seen that Wells in his youth was more interested in the machine itself, not as it is, but as it might become. The Work, Wealth, and Happiness of Mankind differs from all these points of view. The author inquires how far we have adapted the resources of the world to our use-machinofactures, exploitations, and distribution—in a word, our 'economic citizenship'. He unfolds a fascinating tale of adventures, experiments, and accomplishments,

but dwells even more on their incompleteness. Modern industry, he repeats, is a vast cosmopolitan collaboration; anyone can see that the separate countries depend on each other, just like individuals in a single country. Yet in both cases the producer measures his usefulness by his own narrow idea of what is good for himself. He still cultivates the 'enlightened self-interest', which may or may not have insured progress in the eighteenth century, but certainly

spells failure in the twentieth.

Therefore the most-needed reform in our time is a reform of education. We must impress historical, biological, and economic facts on the public intelligence in such a way that everyone is eager to co-operate in the world's affairs. 'The motive of service must replace the motives of profit and privilege altogether.' In so doing, we shall be following the course of our human nature. Once upon a time, somewhere between the Neolithic and Bronze Ages, man entered upon his first epoch of inventions. Somewhere within the seventeenth and nineteenth centuries he has stumbled upon fresh sources of power and, without knowing it, entered upon his second epoch. These discoveries have transformed not only his social habits, but also his instincts, emotions, and ideas. As such, he must now live in a different way.

The idea of the world state is, of course, far from original. It was mooted by Adam Müller in 1816, Carlyle in 1832, Emerson in 1856, J. A. Froude in 1870. Yet Wells's three surveys are a new departure. He has retained all his accustomed literary skill and zest, but turns his back on the culture from which these arts were once derived. His 'thought pattern' (as he calls it) seems to be completed out of psycho-analysis and socialism, and his religion rooted in the quest of power multiplied by the participation of the whole species.

HIS CHANCES OF IMMORTALITY

In the first place, Wells deserves to be remembered as a man with a style. Though seemingly a rather slovenly writer, he can make any subject interesting, even the deficiencies of his mother will also be entitled to a modest niche in history as a humorist, who illuminated the soul of the lower middle class without emphasis or sentiment. These, however, are feats which clever men can accomplish in any age, thereby effacing their predecessors. is much more notable as a thinker of other men's thoughts, one who could grasp the ideas floating in the atmosphere and switch them on to the passing show after the manner of a spotlight. illumined, he is the first social commentator who not only knows his own mind, but claims to know the minds of all his contemporaries all over the world; and he has arrived at his conclusions by a series of popular works which present (not without lapses) a progressive and consistent scheme, beginning with dreams of scientific possibilities, continuing with exposures of social realities, and ending with a vision of the path which civilization (not culture) must take.

Unfortunately for his reputation, knowledge moves so rapidly and forgetfully, and events are so unpredictable, that his influence may well be effaced, and others will revive his principles believing them to be their own. If so, he will barely survive as an entertainer, intermittently in demand at lending libraries.

GEORGE BERNARD SHAW (1856-

To be consulted: G. B. Shaw, Prefaces, 1934; E. Strauss, Bernard Shaw, 1943.

[Born in Dublin of a well-established Protestant Irish family, not wealthy, but bred to habits of wealth. Educated to be a gentleman without the means to live as such. 1871-9 earned his living as clerk in land-agent's office (Ireland), and after migration to London (1876) ın Edison's Telephone Company. 1879-91, devoted himself to novelwriting and higher journalism During this period formed his ideas on philosophy, politics, socialism (Fabian 1884), and the arts of success in modern England. 1892-1902, produced ten comedies, including Arms and the Man (1894), Candida (1895), Caesar and Cleopatra (1899), Captain Brassbound's Conversion (1900). In these comedies he achieved mastery of his special technique, adjusting social and political discussion to clearly drawn, humorous characters, and a fairly well designed plot. In spite of lavish self-advertisement, he was still little more than the idol of a cotterie, though already known on the continent, his work mostly produced at suburban or provincial theatres, or by the Stage Society and the Court Theatre. 1903, attracts attention by publication of Man and Superman (produced Court, May 1905). 1904, won popular success with John Bull's Other Island (Court, November). Thereafter he became a celebrity. At the same time his comedies, for the most part, became more controversial or speculative, the characters tend more towards symbolism, and the plots are lacking in design, but with the exception of Heartbreak House (1921), and Back to Methuselah (published 1921, performed 1923), his sense of the stage never fails him. Perhaps the best are The Doctor's Dilemma (1906), Androcles and the Lion (1913), Saint Joan (1923). Throughout his life he has also been an active and pungent pamphleteer and journalist. He is an inveterate propagandist, but whether he writes for the Stage or the Press, his most ill-considered polemics abound in humanity and good humour, and he often seems superficial because his style is always lucid and his thought as clear-cut as a Greek statue]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Shaw is rightly coupled with Wells as another of the school of Rousseau, which believes in man's progress up to the point of perfection. Otherwise he is quite different; by temperament a shy and whimsical dreamer, searching for Platonic realities in art, philosophy, and literature.

He woke out of his dreams between 1876 and 1892, when he convinced himself that scientific industrialism had gone awry because ill distributed. Its benefits were controlled by a handful of egoists with a capacity for business and for nothing else; meanwhile the vast majority of mankind were missing all that civilization had to offer. So, under the influence of Morris and Marx, he came to the conclusion that the only hope for the future was a planned and classless society, and set about devising means to that end. Unlike his two masters, he disbelieved in revolution, and in 1884 joined the Fabian Society, so called because in the Second Punic War Fabius fought Hannibal by avoiding battle. On the same principle, the complete socialist should prepare the way for his reforms, and not force them.

Shaw might have thought less of discussion and persuasion if he had also thought less of human nature. His favourite authors were Bunyan, Goethe, Blake, and Shelley, all idealists who believed that there was something in man which reached upwards towards God. His idea of God was much influenced by the late nineteenthcentury reaction against Darwinism. He learnt from Samuel Butler that evolution was the achievement of racial purpose and memory, the primordial will to become better and stronger, and that our chief obstruction was society's perverted institutions, due to ignorance of this tendency. Butler may also have convinced him that industrial civilization could and should mark a fresh stage in evolution. It grafted immense energies and appliances onto our puny organs, completing the development which nature had denied. Therefore money was the greatest of blessings, since it paid the way to this extension of human power. Shaw must also have been influenced by Nietzsche, who condemned Christianity because (he argued) its deity became man in order to impose the slave's morality; whereas the god of the future must be the unrepressed human being, the more-than-man, afraid of nothing, least of all of himself, so free and self-reliant that he could trust his deepest and most dangerous instincts to keep pace with his noblest aspirations. Shaw must also have been moved by Nietzsche's protests against the emasculating influence of the herd-instinct, which leads to the cult of incompetence and mediocrity.

This defiantly optimistic humanism may also have been confirmed by Bergson's Evolution Créatrice (1907). The definition of the élan vital as 'a jet unceasingly creating something out of nothing' (un jaillissement ininterrompu de nouveautés) was much to the taste of our author, in his mature revolutionary period.

From 1886–1905 Shaw tried his hand at novels which have lively situations and cardboard characters. But at the same time he was a music and dramatic critic and more than sufficiently alive to perceive the new and amazing importance of the stage. The English theatre, once the best and then the worst in Europe, had revived and become the talk of London in the 'nineties. Above

all it demonstrated that the theatre was the best medium for social satire; that we need the actual exhibition of wrong-headed but well-mannered types—the tone of voice, the personal appearance, the revealing gesture—if we are to understand how and why our friends are not what they should be. However, English comedy, according to Shaw, failed in this its proper function because it diverted attention to 'footlight sensations', and mirrored the lives of the governing class which wanted to change nothing but the government. These perversions would have to be reformed, and meanwhile a Norwegian dramatist was proving that the reformation could be effected, and if so, the theatre might become the most inspiring moral force of the age.

Ibsen's realistic dramas (those produced 1877–90) are based on the conviction that every human being has a certain peculiar nature which needs a certain peculiar outlet, but generally misses it, because the conventions of religion and society stand in the way, and seem to be as tyrannous as fate. In fact his dramatic situations imply

the tragedy of character pitted against destiny.

This conflict had often been dramatized before, but not in some petty provincial scene, generally the family circle. These homely surroundings were unequal to the weight of old-fashioned tragedy, so he created a technique of his own. He kept attention fixed on the inner history of his characters, marking each new situation by a change in the emotional under-current. Thus he accustomed his audiences to look for his ideas within the heads of his personages.

Shaw must also have been encouraged by some of the more controversial and progressive French pièces à thèse, especially Blanchette (1892), Les Bienfaiteurs (1896), Le Berceau (1898), La Robe Rouge (1900), Les Remplaçants (1901), which study the practical problems of social life, and not seldom sacrifice dramatic emotion to a lesson on morality. But Ibsen was his master, partly because he turned on the footlights to illuminate our personal private problems, and partly because he championed them in the name of the new religion, an assertion of the rights of man.

Nevertheless Shaw did not forget that his audiences would be neither French nor Norwegian, but drawn from an undercurrent of intelligent London observers, just below the surface of West End society, ready to be interested in economic and moral reform, not in family horrors. Though wise enough to learn from continental technique, he cultivated the emotional steadiness of the English. If he were to establish himself in the theatre, it would

be as the 'laughing philosopher'.

HOW SHAW CAPTURED THE LONDON PUBLIC

It is obvious that he would not quickly rise to fame, because theatre-goers were unprepared for what he had to give them. They expected actor-managers dominating the footlights with their theatrical personalities, whereas the Shavian comedy would be centred

in an idea, not seldom a controversy, and his cast would have to forget themselves in order to serve his purpose. Besides, he relied less on action than on intellectual laughter (like Meredith, another author with a limited circulation), and a play rarely holds the stage unless something is always happening, or about to happen. Nor could he easily find actors capable of interpreting an invisible plot By practice and experiment he gradually overcame these disabilities and at the same time succeeded in advertising himself.

Like Ben Jonson (another 'high-brow', and therefore unpopular), he took the bold course of publishing the plays which were so difficult to produce, and thus gave himself the opportunity of describing his personages and their backgrounds as both ought to be presented. In fact, his character sketches are among the best in our language. He added brilliant and paradoxical introductions which explained and emphasized the thought behind the comedies, and included cognate ideas for which there was no room in the plot. These amplifications should be enough for the public which buys books, but not for those who buy theatre tickets. The latter want something new to talk about and laugh over So he enlivened his plays! however serious the issue, with the kind of topic exploited in a news! paper paragraph; for instance, the then newly invented motor-car; the newly instituted women's clubs in Dover Street, or the problem of proselytizing suburbia. And finally, since his plots were thin, he varied the movement with incidental and neatly introduced reversals. For instance, in Arms and the Man, Bluntschli, fleeing for his life, is unexpectedly revealed fast asleep on Raina's virtuous couch.

These expedients, together with his talent for personal publicity, helped to make his reputation, even before they made his income. When at last his plays began to attract frequent if select audiences, his following was delighted to find the essential pleasure of comedy distilled for them in an unexpected way. That pleasure is, of course, the sense of incongruity the contrast between a character's real! self and imagined self. The masters of the Old Comedy took good care that their audiences perceived the contrast from the start, and enjoyed the joke. Shaw often ventures to postpone that enjoyment. He throws dust into the spectators' eyes, leads them on to the wrong impression, and then suddenly wakes them up to the truth. For instance, the audience at Candida begins by believing that Marchbanks is an irresponsible dreamer, at Man and Superman that Anne is no better than she should be. In both cases it is suddenly undeceived by a turn of the handle. Thus the dramatist disposes of the spectator's immemorial right to omniscience in the theatre. But the shock of surprise puts him in a good humour." Besides, the Englishman enjoys laughing at himself, as much as he resents being laughed at

Shaw was sufficiently confident and sufficiently a humorist to venture on another familiarity with his audience. He pretended to

be an anti-sentimentalist who saw things as they really are, like a man with a perfectly normal eye. Under this fiction he introduces us to the wildest of romances, a world in which contemporary habits are simplified into transparency. Molière was the first modern who completely succeeded in this art, and Shaw must have learnt much from his audacities. Both ridicule current poses and prejudices, both take almost ludicrous liberties in their presentment of characters and situations. But whereas the seventeenth-century Frenchman was lucky enough to operate on psychological aberrations—the miser, the misanthrope, the valetudinarian—as old as humanity, the twentieth-century Anglo-Irishman had to work with material which changes its aspect from age to age.

THE VALUES OF SHAVIANISM

Shavianism, then, can be defined as the comedy of humours complicated by socialism and one's duty towards one's neighbour; and it needed a very clear thinker to do justice to all three aspects at one and the same time Nor does Shaw always succeed:

His first three comedies (published as Plays Unpleasant, 1898) are staged pamphlets. They expose the wolves who wear sheep's clothing because capitalism has made such clothes fashionable; though there is the promise of better things in the character of Miss Vivie, the first sketch of the 'new woman'. His genius first began to appear when he dramatized 'romantic follies and the struggles of individuals against these follies'. [Act I of Arms and the Man, with its surprises, suspense, and touches of poetry, constitutes one of the best 'expositions' in the modern theatie. Caesar and Cleopatra and Captain Brassbound present his first portiait of the superman and the superwoman, at any rate so histrionically alive that Forbes Robertson in Caesar and Ellen Terry in Brassbound could do full justice to the characters. Candida is his first masterpiece. While the unsuspecting audience awaits the outcome of the usual sex-triangle, the dramatist introduces them to his second study of the superwoman. Candida discovers how to be happy, though childless. She adopts her husband as a grown-up baby. Family life, however, may be impossible unless that baby unlearns the habit of taking himself for granted, and in the intimate and sensitive complications which ensue, Shaw himself discovers that a ludicrous figure is generally pathetic. We ought to feel pity as well as amusement. As Marchbanks exclaims, 'Do you think that the things people make fools of themselves about are less real and true than the things they behave sensibly about?' With those words Shaw found his special place in the history of English drama.

But it was not easy to keep that place; it was much easier to keep his name before the public. Man and Superman, with its voluminous introduction, tries to persuade us that we shall be much nearer the superman of the future if we discredit marriages of convenience and face the mysterious necessities of sex-attraction. The com-

plications are as amusing as ever, but we are not so ready to feel pity because the characters are caricatured. John Bull's Other Island ought to have penetrated the problem of spiritual self-fulfilment, confronted either by false sentiment or false strenuousness; but the author could not resist the temptation to mishandle the Irish Question, just then acute; and thereby enjoyed his first big financial success. The Doctor's Dilemma, the best and worst of his plays, borders on the tragedy of untimely death, of jealousy, and the quest of beauty in an age of false values. Moreover, it contains his third guess at the perfect woman, the sketch of a pure and etherial spirit, illumined with the touches of Celtic fantasy. But he half missed his opportunity in order to caricature the medical profession, because operations for appendicitis had become a town topic.

In these and other plays, produced 1900–14, he was obviously insisting too much on socialism, as if economic reorganization would somehow simplify the management of oneself. If so, the Four Years War blighted his hopes. As if to reassert his philosophy, he committed himself to a series of dramatized pamphlets, of which Heartbreak House (first composed 1913, revised and produced 1917) dwells on the spiritual death of those living material lives; and Back to Methuselah (1921) expounds 'vitalism' with fallacious lucidity. This laboured and loquacious propaganda exhausted the author, likewise his admirers. Once more he returned to his proper province—that is, the comedy of situation and the inexhaustible problem of living for other people, without forgetting oneself. With advancing years he lost little of his verve though much of his grasp on actuality; but not before he produced Saint Joan (1923), his fourth and last portrait of the superwoman, and dramatization of her fate, if she appears before the age is ready for her.

SHAW'S FAILURE IN SUCCESS

Up till the Four Years War our author exercised a very real influence, which gradually spread over the civilized world. In fact he was known and admired on the continent long before he became a household word in England,—but nowhere in the way he hoped He made his public think, and then they applauded him as a disillusionist, not as a constructive thinker.

There are good reasons for this anti-climax. Like John Mill, he believed that the best way to prove the truth is to disprove error; and so he laboured at exposing abuses till iconoclasm became a habit, almost an obsession. Like Captain Shotover, he dreaded more than old age 'the happiness of yielding and dreaming instead of resisting and doing'. As a reward he lost his sense of proportion and power of self-criticism. He also lost influence because he frequently failed to strike the balance between propaganda and pleasantry. He used the stage as a looking-glass in which conventional people could catch sight of their real selves and realize how they appeared to their fellows. Consequently he had to make them talk in an

apparently offhand manner, their language unimpressive, their thoughts inconsequential. Thus their muddle-headedness came to the surface The audience, however, must not be muddle-headed. and if its thoughtful attention was to be held, it must also be amused. So he became disconcertingly clever at introducing farcical and often irrelevant paradoxes, jests-in-action, anything to raise a hearty laugh, and he succeeded with such theatrical effect that many supposed him to be posing as a dramaturgic clown. They forgot that he was also trying to bring philosophy into the picture of morals and manners.

And what is his philosophy worth? We do not know, nor does Shaw. He gloried in the splendour and dignity of man, and on the strength of that enthusiasm he proclaimed that there runs through human nature not only the urge-to-live, but the will-to-live; and not only the will-to-live, but the will to consummate our being in art, intellect, and humanitarianism, and that this conscious teleology will find its goal, as soon as we have the sense to give it the chance. But he offered no arguments to prove that such really is the prospect, nor did he explain how and why it should culminate in equalitarian socialism. His preachments aroused scepticism or perplexity in the mind of some admirers, otherwise ready to enjoy his common sense, artistry, and insight into our human failings.

HIS FUTURE

In the history of the stage his name is likely to survive. Theatre audiences will become more and more interested in the working of their inner selves, their efforts at self-assertion, their sense of contacts, and the friction between impulse and habit, and they will not forget to thank Shaw for enlivening this self-examination with the contagious laughter of the auditorium He has, in fact, externalized the 'internal monologue' 1 before it was invented. So he will be remembered as yet another pioneer in the technique of stagecraft. Amongst other innovations, he abolished the 'curtain', the 'aside', and the 'one-man play', and if he also abolished the opportunity for imaginative acting, he introduced the arts of conversational argument, and elocution disgussed as self-control.

His theatrical reputation ought to keep alive his literary fame. His propaganda will be taken for granted as a relic of the Past, and book-lovers no longer irritated by its irresponsibility, will be free to appreciate his essential 'sweet reasonableness'-a return to the classical 'know thyself' in order to guide thyself. Probably the historical plays will be the favourites, because his practical wisdom is more effectively illustrated when disentangled from modern associations. Future generations will be more indulgent than usual because his mature work has the imperfect charm of eternal youth: its enthusiasm, over-simplification, and what Aristotle termed

'educated insolence'.

¹ See post, p. 176

ENOCH ARNOLD BENNETT (1867-1931)

To be consulted: G. Lafourcade, Arnold Bennett. A Study, 1939.

[Son of a solicitor, born in 'The Potteries'. Received a middleclass education, devoid of culture, but full of nonconf rmirt instruction and discipline. 1889–92, earned his living and acquired experience as office clerk, journalist, reader to Pearson's, sub-editor and then editor (1896-1900) of Woman. 1900, resigns journalism for literary profession. Resides in country till 1903, then takes bachelor flat in Paris, producing all varieties of marketable reading material. 1907–12, marries; settles at Fontainebleau. Produces among other books: The Old Wives' Tale (1908); Clayhanger (1910); Hilda Lessways (1911); Milestones (1912) (with E. Knoblock). 1912, after American tour returns to England, continues his prolific output. During the Four Years War employed as Director of British propaganda in France and becomes a public character. 1918-22, enjoys his wealth and reputation amid the excitements and extravagance of inter-war society. Publishes Riceyman Steps (1923); Lord Raingo (1926); Imperial Palace (1930). His dozens of other novels are negligible; so are his writings on ethics and literary criticism. His Journal is only of biographical interest. Yet his career and achievement will always command their full share of attention. In half-a-dozen masterpieces he has equalled the best work of his contemporaries. He has surpassed them all in the sheer volume of inferior fiction. Yet he appears always to have laboured with the same conscientiousness on good and bad alike

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Bennett dabbled, from time to time, in many subjects, including history, Latin, and philosophy; but he was not a man of culture. The only literature that he knew well was that of the great French

and Russian novelists of the nineteenth century.

He preferred Balzac because his Comédie Humaine embraced every aspect of the modern zest-to-live, Flaubert because he exhausted the resources of art to produce the impression of reality, de Maupassant because he does not search for 'slices of life' beyond the requirements of his plot, and knows how to convince with the fewest possible touches. He cultivated Turgenev and Dostoevsky because, though interested in perverts and irresponsibles, they colour their portraits with the insight which breeds sympathy. Some critics detect the influence of Daudet, and even of Huysmans. He cared little for the school of minute documentation—for instance, Zola, the de Concourts, and George Moore (in his early phase).

In the light of these examples, he convinced himself that all aspects of society are interesting and therefore legitimate themes for literature, provided that your narrative is not only an organization of data, but a 'composition'. By 'composition' he meant a narrative constructed so as to interpret not only the facts, but the

author's personality. Any experience might suggest a theme, and each detail of the background should be conscientiously verified, but the presentment must evolve out of the artist's tastes and convictions.

Such was Bennett's idea of realism But it was also his idea of making a fortune. With that other end in view, he had to study the tastes and curiosities of the biggest possible number of people—that is to say, swim on the surface of twentieth-century society, a troubled expanse much shallower and more treacherous than the Victorian stretches. Bennett was caught and carried along in the current, fascinated by the movement and multitudinousness. Now and then his feet felt the bottom, and he became master of himself and his material.

WHY BENNETT SO OFTEN CHOSE SUBJECTS UNSUITED TO HIS GENIUS

He was a provincial who had fled from the provinces. As such, he became what Barrès would call a déraciné, an artist uprooted from the soil in which his spirit ought to thrive Modern culture, with its disturbing questions and encouraging vistas, had naturally turned him against the stagnation of his own unintellectual world In the Midlands; so, having left his native home in disgust, he began by under-valuing the old life, and much over-valuing the new. But every artist has to learn sooner or later that his creativeness has little to do with his acquired tastes and habits of life. It generally works on a plane of its own based on early experiences among which his mind took shape and which can be viewed from the distance objectively, a part of himself as he would now wish to be. So it came about that while Bennett was seeking artistic Self-expression in metropolitan and cosmopolitan society, he found his opportunity where he at first scorned to look for it: in 'The Five Towns', or among narrow and unadventurous people such as that society might have produced. His genius was most at home among self-impeded or commercially minded characters, that is to say in The Old Wives' Tale (1908), Clayhanger (1910), Hilda Lessways (1911), Milestones (1912), These Twain (1916), Riceyman Steps (1923). This half-dozen, with perhaps The Pretty Lady, are the only efforts worth considering out of eighty volumes

HIS PHILOSOPHY AND ITS EXPRESSION IN ART

In 1913 Bennett wrote in his Journal: 'Idiocy of loathing or scorning a different kind of existence from your own'. In this spirit he realized the haphazard maldistribution of this world's goods, which sanctions the vices of the lucky, and discredits the virtues of the unlucky. He perceived no less clearly than did Goethe that life is a game of cards, but that in the twentieth century character depends more on the 'hand' dealt out than on the skill or unskilfulness with which we play. If we have a real self it is expressed either in some half-realized affinity—for instance, the

sense of beauty, or in moments of detached and generous insight, when we perceive the futilities of existence and rise above their influence, as did Sophie Baines, contemplating the aged and desiccated corpse of her erstwhile betrayer, in *The Old Wives' Tale*.

These moments are few. For the most part we microcosms are alive because life must and will reproduce itself, and die because we are not able even to preserve our health. Bennett is almost morbidly interested in influenza, bronchitis, catarrh, paralysis, and softening of the brain. He is not only an expert in the sudden collapses of the physical machine, but in the normal passage of time which leads us downward into what Gide has termed 'the sinister degradation of old age'. Besides the two classic examples in The Old Wives' Tale, the reader should remember all the subtle changes through which Edwin Clayhanger passes between the unforgettable scene on the viaduct, and his parting with Hilda towards the end of These Twain. This industrious technician, bred among money-makers, who sometimes himself realized over £16,000 in a single year, and then luxuriated in extravagance, eagerly absorbing the surface of life, nevertheless, in his best work, has convinced us that youth and manhood are a fight against illness which every'

day leads us nearer to the grave. Such is our destiny.

Yet he is not a depressing writer. He had his own 'Consolations of Philosophy' expressed in art. In the first place, he seems always to be in search of beauty; and since his really inspired themes are drab or even sordid (witness Riceyman Steps (1923)), he has to look for it in the occasional effects of cloud and sunshine, sudden perspectives over the roofs of houses or over stretches of country at first glance defaced by industrialism, sometimes in artistic interiors, though more often in the criticism of interiors which might be more artistic. He is most effective when he recognizes the beauty of character-glimpses under the surface of minds which are wistfully seeking a better, fuller life, and therefore are at war with themselves. In the next place, it should be noted, that though the individual's only progress is from the cradle to the grave, yet society can unite these scattered and erratic journeys in order to assure its own steady advance. The most felicitous example is the comedy Milestones, in which, with the help of Edward Knoblock, he shows us how enterprising business men degenerate and decay under the strain of existence, but their achievement continues, each accomplishment handed on to the next generation, as material for a further advance. This suggestion of collective progress out of individual retrogression was new to the drama of 1912, and rendered the Royalty Theatre so popular that it had to sanction standing-room for those who could not get seats. Thirdly, Bennett took immense pains with the style and construction of his novels He laboured to describe even the commonest scenes as if beholding them for the first time; in his portraiture he did his best to get near to? the presence of his characters, and then leave the reader to enjoy the

effect without any comment of his own. He was so careful not to mix himself with his descriptions that he sometimes feared lest they might be 'a shade too stiff, too severe as a narrative'. The death of Edward Povey in The Old Wives' Tale is a striking example. Thus Bennett sometimes fulfilled his ideal. He 'composed' certain narratives so as to express his sense of form, and his reasoned attitude to life. Such was the 'personality' he did not impose, but infused. Thanks to this classic objectivity, the reader is able to view the passing show in the same spirit of judicial detachment. It was not for nothing that Bennett would sometimes go into a picture-gallery 'to get into a frame of mind sufficiently large and expansive for the creation of the central idea'. 1

HIS FATAL FLUENCY

He would have succeeded more often, except for another less desirable ingredient of that 'personality' of his. He schooled himself to write regularly and rapidly. Self-direction and brain-control became one of his proudest boasts. Soon it became a part of his artistic consciousness. A book by Arnold Bennett was not selfexpressive unless it were written quickly and methodically, and, as he verged towards middle age, he began to take an almost childish pleasure in his productivity. Efficiency was for him a necessary condition of excellence. Thanks to his own cult of self-discipline, he was able to sit down, day after day, and write vividly, honestly, and entertainingly, often producing two or three thousand words between morning and evening As his novels are always well constructed, and the characters can be seen and their presence felt, the public asked for nothing better, and bought his publications as fast and as often as he could school himself to produce them. He saw no reason to wait for those hard-won moments of inspiration and insight which dawn on the author after agonizing hesitations and revisions. So again and again he missed his opportunities.

HIS PLACE IN LITERATURE

At the moment of writing, many consider him to be our representative realist; not without reason. He brings home to our intellects the familiar friction of modern life, without the least distortion of partiality. He is so completely at one with his subject, that he can make the facts speak for themselves. As such, he will surely enjoy an academic immortality; he will be a name in bibliographies, and in histories of the novel the subject of a paragraph or two, which the student will read and take on faith. It is doubtful whether he will really live in the hearts of future generations. Even his best characters have neither length nor breadth. They lack, for instance, the wild depths of Emma Bovary, the lovable frailties of Tom Jones, the cool and yet good-natured un-

scrupulousness of Gil Blas, the exuberant naivety of Mr. Micawber or Mrs. Gamp. We have nothing by which to remember them.

JOHN GALSWORTHY (1867–1933)

To be consulted: H. V. Marrot, The Life and Letters of John Galsworthy, 1935.

Of an old Devonshire family. Enjoyed the advantages of the Victorian upper middle class, including its expensive pleasures. Educated at Harrow and New College, Oxford As his father was a prosperous solicitor, the son was called to the Bar in 1890; never practised; but for the next four years travelled much, especially in America and colonies, ostensibly on his father's business. In Adelaide in 1893 formed friendship with Conrad which lasted thirty-nine years and influenced his work. Had no genuine object in life till Ada Galsworthy (then wife of his first cousin and married by the author in 1904 after ten years' attachment) suggested in 1895 that he ought 'to write'. After eight years' apprenticeship, he found his vein in The Island Pharisees (1904), which he wrote three times. During the next six years produced his best work. In 1910, owing to his advocacy of prison reform, he was brought into touch with leading politicians, and became more or less a public figure. 1914-17, continued to write industriously but with less artistic effect and more insistence on humanitarianism. Refused knighthood in New Year's honours for 1919. In 1918 suddenly inspired to continue the history of the Forsyte family (inaugurated in The Man of Property (1906)), down to the Four Years War. This series, republished as The Forsyte Saga in omnibus volume (1922), rendered its author a popular celebrity, partly because of its appeal to the spirit of transition. 1924-9, continued The Forsyte Saga in yet another series of four volumes which enjoyed an equal vogue as portraiture of contemporary post-war society. Produced in all twenty-six plays, of which only The Eldest Son (1912), The Skin Game (1920), Loyalties (1922), Escape (1926) appealed widely to the general public. 1929, awarded the O.M. and in 1932 the Nobel Prize. His prominence was partly due to his personality and partly to his interest in social reform and international culture (President of P.E.N.), though he always claimed that his humanitarianism was incidental to his art.]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

In his earliest attempts (about 1895-9) Galsworthy imitated Kipling; he did not begin to master his material till he was drawn to de Maupassant and Turgenev. Both novelists at once gave him 'real artistic excitement and an insight into proportion of theme and economy of words'. Like any other would-be novelist, he had to begin by looking into his own mind. There he found certain moral problems and sensibilities, essentially a part of himself, because

also a part of his epoch, and especially of his class. This atmosphere, now a thing of the past, has coloured all his work with a peculiar, almost unique interest. Hence his claim on our attention.

We are now considering the 'eighties and 'nineties, when the Victorian middle-class was at the height of its social prestige, but was already beginning to relax its hold on the national income. had become a caste, and that spirit could be summed up in the one word gentleman, which Amiel in 1866 termed 'le schibboleth de

l'Angleterre'.

What is a gentleman? 1 The word has never been adequately defined, but when Galsworthy was growing up, it implied the obligation to give every man his due, especially oneself. A gentleman took no liberties with others, not even in thought, but he must be so trained and placed that nobody else was inclined to take The note was prestige defended by self-respect. liberties with him Galsworthy was born and bred in this atmosphere, and seems to have thoroughly enjoyed the life as schoolboy, undergraduate and

young man about Town.

As his manhood matured, he realized that the gentleman was at a moral disadvantage because his pre-eminence depended on his Income Possessiveness was the condition of their class survival; and as fortunes have to be defended as well as inherited, it was easy to feel jealous of one's equals, difficult to think kindly of the labouring classes hidden away in factories, basements, and mean streets. The gentleman was also at a disadvantage because of his acquired refinement. He had become skin sensitive to what one might call the crudities of living cheaply. Between the rich and the poor there was now yet another gulf fixed: the five senses, especially the sense of smell. Consequently this well-groomed upper middle class, who seemed to possess the earth, hardly possessed themselves. They had no insight into life outside their pale.

Even within their own exclusive circle it was difficult to be openhearted. The gentleman had to be too careful of himself, too meticulously learned in the arts of apparently effortless and unconscious superiority. These accomplishments isolated the well-bred human being and rendered him a lonely and loveless pilgrim in a world of material comfort and superficial friendliness; so much on his guard that he hardly durst include his own personality. His

classic example is Hilary Dallison (Fraternity).

Galsworthy might perhaps never have realized the tameness of his fashionable existence if he had not, between 1893 and 1904, passed through a period of acute emotional stress, which in various ways aroused his latent capacity for literary self-expression, and gradually opened his eyes to all that he and his class were missing. As a gentleman he perceived that he was living in a prison, as an

¹ For further discussion of the word see H. V. Routh, Money, Morals, and Manners, 1935

author he had to find the way out. Two courses were open. He could either follow the leaders of moral propaganda, a school much in fashion, thanks to the prominence of Kipling, Wells, and Shaw; or he could follow the French and Russian realists, together with Henry James and Conrad, and reproduce the surface of society so artistically that he also revealed its human depths. Under the influence of his friends he chose the latter course, which also suited the dignity of an amateur. Yet the spirit of the age often beguiled him into the ranks of the propagandists. Conrad was right: he was always in danger of becoming an 'humanitarian moralist'.

Whether as artist or preacher, his sense of human contacts is unique.

HIS PERIOD OF ARTISTIC ACHIEVEMENT

To know Galsworthy at his best we must turn at once to his fifth novel, The Man of Property (1906), not only because he toiled over it for years, but because he wrote it with his blood. In fact this book is really a confession. He put into it all he had himself suffered from his own ego-centricity and the suffocating atmosphere of his class, and especially from the clannishness of his family. Above all, he put into it the memory of those ten years of 'ecstasy and torture', during which he had to conceal his intercourse with the lady he finally married in 1904. Yet the whole drama of self-discovery and selfillumination is transposed into the impersonal, objective realm of art. There is not a hint of spite or even resentment, such as falsifies the satire in Butler's The Way of all Flesh, nor of the 'simmering revolt against the shibboleths of my home, school, and college '. The deep personal emotion can be detected only in the uncanny indirectness by which he depicts vivid personalities through their chance conversations and almost unconscious actions. The character of Soames is generally considered his masterpiece, yet it is surprising how little we know of that figure apart from the effect on the other personages; nor should we forget that the senior and therefore authoritative members of the group are portrayed with rare tolerance and understanding, and as a reward he has been able to add just the touches which render them unforgettable. The passion so powerfully and unobtrusively condensed in the Irene episode unifies the many threads of the story and weaves them together under the haunting presence of Fate, in a way almost worthy of a Greek tragedy. Even when the climax, or rather, the intentional anticlimax, is reached, the reader is left with something to think about: the impression that he who has strength to carry property is not strong enough to realize that he stoops under the weight.

The Man of Property was the author's own favourite, and certainly the three which followed it are second bests. Galsworthy tried to visualize his own trials and troubles inside the spacious mansions of the landed gentry and hereditary peerage, The Country House (1907), The Patrician (1911), or among those who are not indeed content with the property and self-importance they enjoy, but busy themselves

with what they read in books or discuss with social workers (Fratermity (1909)). As these circles were outside his spiritual experience, he had to import representatives from his own set, and then imagine how they would react to the environment for which they were not fitted. The effort at intuition is stimulating, but too obviously an effort; nor do the plots move inevitably; and when events fail him, he begins to rely on sentiment. Nevertheless, apart from their psychological insight, these four novels prove that their author had made his style: a serene and rhythmic prose, urbane vet touched with emotion, illuminated by a sense of outward things, especially the breath of spring. They also prove that he had ideals, though he rarely trusted his characters with them.

Galsworthy, then, like Conrad, believed that the novel should be That is one reason why he could not resist the lure of the footlights. The theatre-goer is necessarily a detached observer. not in a fireside mood; theatrical technique is adapted to the art of drawing contrasts, and to the illumination of personality by interplay; above all, the stage lends itself to characters which are readv made, only waiting for an opportunity to betray their wrongheadedness, and ours. So he created three dramatic situations which should appeal at once to that 'sense of other people' which the gentleman should, but generally does not, possess. In The Silver Box (1906) and fustice (1910) we see how the majesty of the Law may end in a horrible human mistake, because social thinking does not allow for Strife (1909) leaves the logic of facts to individual circumstances convince us that fanaticism and inflexibility alike overreach themselves in a society which ought to thrive on mutual understanding: especially in trade disputes.

These three plays would lead us to suppose that their author was a born dramatist. They are full of action; each story contrasts two sets of lives, two civilizations antagonized in the same social system Above all, he developed the dramatic possibilities of undramatic facts. The pregnant moments are reached in a police court, a court of justice, and a company board-room—places in which every tragic suggestion is suppressed by routine—and these scenes are effective in so far as they are free from over-emphasis. Altogether our author lupholds the naturalism 1 of the twentieth-century theatre, and yet rouses his audiences to a 'mental and moral ferment, whereby

vision may be enlarged, and understanding promoted'.

Yet he did not surpass nor equal this achievement, either in the twenty-three plays or the thirteen novels yet to be written. Contrary to appearances, he had exhausted the themes, and was soon to outlive the atmosphere, which gave his talents scope.

HIS LAPSE INTO HUMANITARIANISM

These four novels and three plays helped to demonstrate that the well-to-do cannot keep their affairs to themselves. Modern capitalism brings the rich and poor together, and at the same time keeps them at loggerheads. So we are all less humane and sympathetic than we should be, and consequently less happy. In order to transform this idea into art, he had to show what poverty really means, its sorrows and resentments, and soon found himself unable to portray grievances without insisting that they should be righted. In 1908 he drew up a long list of abuses, and after 1910 he devoted much time and money pleading for them to be reformed.

But the more he served his fellow-creatures, the less he served his own talents. All the novels and plays produced between 1911 and 1918 mark a decline. Even the best (for instance, *The Eldest Son* (1912) and *The Dark Flower* (1913)) lack the touch of the artist creating what is part of himself. In the rest he merely searches for what concerns others and should be presented for their edification.

HIS PERIOD OF POPULAR SUCCESS

The Forsyte Saga (1922) brought him universal recognition and world-wide sales. There are several reasons for this suddenly acquired popularity. The British public nearly always pays homage to a sustained work completed in a series of volumes. The consummation seems to impress the collective imagination. Moreover, this sequence exactly reflected the anti-Victorian mood, during which England shed her insularity, accepted labour as a political power, and renounced, in word if not in deed, the mammonism and castespirit which were now regarded as the besetting sins of the nineteenth century. Thus the saga of the Forsyte family became the saga of national transition—the first vivid, sympathetic and sustained narrative recording the moral evolution of the new world, just dawning on the post-war consciousness. The reading public was at his feet.

Yet the volumes published in and after 1924 may have added to his income, but not to his posthumous fame. The explanation is simple. Galsworthy had made his mark by fighting his own life-battle in other people, extending the gentleman's loyalties far beyond his class. He made of himself a 'sculptor' of men and women frozen in prosperity and an impressionist advocate of those other human beings frozen in adversity. His talent throve on opposition, and the battle was won. Possessiveness was unfashionable because possessions were insecure, and our humanitarian moralist could complain only that the rising generation was all too human. Even the word gentleman had ceased to be the 'shibboleth of England'. So his inspiration flagged while his facility increased.

There was another reason for his decline. Although apparently so self-contained and defensive, he was somewhat an extrovert. He was content to approve himself when others approved him. So now, when his novels were bought up and translated as soon as published, he was too ready to mistake applause for the hallmark of excellence. He followed the popular taste he was supposed to lead.

Now and then there are touches of his old self (for instance, Fleur's 'exquisite buoyancy' and Jon's 'shy grace'), but for the most part he is content to satisfy middle-class curiosity in the manners of the smart set. Nor does he always take the trouble to verify his facts.

It is also noteworthy that, amid this success and publicity, he produced no less than fifteen more plays after 1917, none of them really artistic successes, all of them financial failures, except *The Skin Game* (1920).

WILL HIS PLACE BE PERMANENT?

Galsworthy ought to be remembered in histories of the modern drama because, like Shaw, he showed how to abolish 'footlight sensations' and made it necessary for his actors to exercise their art in team-work. Like Shaw, he also reminded his audiences that a good play should appeal not only to their sympathies and imagination, but also to their moral sense, and proved that this impression is most effectively conveyed by atmosphere, not by exposition. He surpassed Shaw, in that his plots were generally more closely knit, more deeply charged with the drama of destiny, and led to a more sudden and decisive climax. At the same time he succeeded in demonstrating that the most poignant emotions can be suggested in the fewest words, often an apparently irrelevant ejaculation. So he is one of our leaders in the cult of studied understatement. Nevertheless many will forget these services, because he was often careless or misinformed about details intended to be realistic, and not infrequently lapsed into sentimentality.

His novels are more popular than his plays, and yet their future is even less certain. He may be referred to as the authority on the age of Victorian and Edwardian opulence, and on the idea of the gentleman. But if future students go farther, and read him for his portraits of English nationhood, as foreigners do now, they will frequently be disappointed. His characters are less representative of his class than of his own circle. If society continues to tend toward equalitarian citizenship, he may be remembered as one of the first novelists who helped to bridge the gulf between what Disraeli called 'the Two Nations'. Apart from these and other such adventitious interests, his memory will probably fade. Unlike Trollope or Meredith (with whom he is often compared), he is not sufficiently expert in human nature to earn a recurring revival. He sacrificed too much to the manners and moods of his own time.

WALTER DE LA MARE (1873-

To be consulted: R. L. Mégroz, Walter de la Mare, a Biographical and Critical Study, 1923; Forrest Reid, Walter de la Mare, a Critical Study, 1929.

[Descendant of an old Huguenot family. Attended St. Paul's Cathedral Choir School, in 1890 entered the city office of the Anglo-

American Oil Company, and in his spare time contributed to magazines and published his first novel (Henry Brocken) in 1904 and first volume of verse (Poems) 1906. Did not resign his clerkship (Department of Statistics) till guaranteed a permanent income by grant of a Civil Pension in 1908. For the rest of his life has resided quietly in or near London, publishing poems, short stories, novels, selections, reviewing and occasionally delivering public lectures. Though shrinking from self-advertisement, he became, about 1920, one of the best-known and certainly the most acceptable character in literary and artistic circles. His popularity is largely due to the charm of his personality.]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

De la Mare has been compared with Swift, Blake, Coleridge, Poe, Hawthorne, Christina Rossetti, even Lewis Carroll. His verse forms, and his harmonious and rhythmic prose sometimes recall the seventeenth century, especially Vaughan, Traherne, Herrick, and Sir Thomas Browne. His technique and perceptiveness occasionally remind the reader of Shelley, Keats, and Tennyson In fact he is a very well read and cultivated author. But unlike most writers of this period, his mind owes very little to influences, schools of thought, traditions, and the atmosphere of the age. He looked inside himself for his inspiration, and there he found an intense and ever-widening curiosity about the spiritual significance of what he could observe or imagine, first outside, and then within himself. His genius found its scope in trying to give literary expression to this curiosity. Thus the whole career of this sedentary artist was a voyage of discovery, or rather, of experiments.

HIS FIRST PHASE: FROM CHILDHOOD TO MANHOOD

De la Mare took a very old path in order to reach something new. He began as a writer for and about children. Such is Songs of Childhood (1902) Such also is Henry Brocken (1904), a boy's evocation of certain immortal characters (amongst others Electra, Bottom, Gulliver, and Lucy Grey); and their portraiture is dreamburdened and remote in comparison with the originals, as Francis Thompson observed. Such again is Poens (1906), though there is less of childhood's exuberance, and more of childhood's boredom. Lastly, there is The Three Mulla-Mulgars (1910), a romance concerning a family of royal monkeys. It has plenty of fun, fantasy, and poetic feeling; but there is a fatal facility about animal heroes. They are too lovably perfect to represent human beings.

He was trying to see life from within, with the eyes of a child. Had he persisted, he would have ended, like so many others, by seeing childhood with the eyes of middle age, his vision clouded by nostalgia. Besides, the intimations of early youth are not easily crystallized in the language of adults. But we can now understand

¹ The Academy, 26 March, 1904.

that he was only taking his first look at the world, seeking for the best point of vantage, and he felt instinctively that for him child-like intuition was the most clear-sighted, exquisite, and comprehensive because the least contaminated. But he was also a practical business man, with a Huguenot's awareness of life, a student of character, keenly interested in the activities and oddities of those around him. He was also realizing, again instinctively, that child-hood's wisdom loses half its value unless it illuminates the prison-house of manhood.

Some years later ¹ he explained the artistic principle underlying his (at this period) studied artlessness. All poets, he said, resemble children or boys. By *children* he meant the introverts, who create their own ideas out of themselves—for instance, Vaughan, Blake, Freeman. By boys he meant the extroverts, who learn from outside—for example, Browning—In that psychological sense De la Mare might be said to create after the manner of a child, but eventually to range over the experiences of a full-grown man.

MATURITY

He achieved this synthesis of 'childhood' and 'bovhood' in The Return (1910). He may have taken a hint from Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde, from James's The Turn of the Screw, from Le Fanu's Green Tea, or from Morton Prince's The Dissociation of a Personality, which explains how 'Miss B' came to develop two personalities, organized round two sides of her nature, which had long been in conflict. Or again, he may have had in mind R. H. Benson's The Necromancers (1909), a really powerful novel, relating how a disappointed lover dabbled in spiritualism and thereby laid his soul open to the influence of evil spirits Such ideas were in the air. Kipling had suggested that an animal's spirit might enter a man ('The Mark of the Beast' in Life's Handicap, 1891), and that a ghost could tamper with the morse code ('Wireless' in Traffics and Discoveries, 1904); Chesterton was to produce Magic in 1913. But it is more likely that, in this atmosphere, the idea would come of its own accord. De la Mare, with the impulse of childhood, was always inclined to fasten on to some fantasy which might mean more than appears, and then let his adult imagination hunt down the reality behind it. seldom his search led him farther than experience can go. In this case he posed the question: what would happen if your or my face suddenly changed into that of a stranger?—and his speculations carried him away into the mysteries of spiritualism and split personalities.

Most authors would have worked up the situation into 'a chapter of accidents' ending in a tragedy (as in Wells's *The Invusible Man*). De la Mare created a problem of moral conduct and spiritual illumination. Arthur Lawford falls asleep by an eighteenth-century grave,

¹ Rupert Brooke and the Intellectual Imagination, 1919. Reprinted in Pleasures and Speculations, 1940.

and from underneath, the fiery spirit of a suicide, yearning for a second lease of life, enters into him, and imposes his features on the sleeping victim. Lawford returns to his friends and family, unaware that he is masked, and henceforth the ghost-story becomes also a realistic study of social relationships and human contacts. A lesser artist would have tried his hand on a psychic or at least hypersensitive youth. But De la Mare makes Lawford an uninteresting, middleaged stockbroker, so that his spirit, starved from boyhood, can at last come to life, assert itself against the spell, and gather strength to rescue the consciousness of his identity. The narrative style is worthy of this experiment in spiritual tension. As Reid says: 'the mind of the reader responds to every subtlest vibration in the mind behind the work'.

Like his prose, his prosody was becoming more significant and expressive. At first glance *The Listeners* (1912) and *Peacock Pie* (1913) seem to share the inspiration of his earlier volumes. The dream-like quality is still there, only it implies much more. Some of the lyrics really seem to be free of the waking consciousness, and to reach us through the mysterious, yet lucid veil of sleep; inspired by longing, not thought; but not the longing of a child. Each symbol suggests the deeper emotions of one who has lost more than he has gained out of life. In some poems the effect is almost surrealistic, at any rate so uncanny that one doubts whether it arises from the rhythmic schemes and melody appealing to the ear, or the neatness and concentrated simplicity of the diction, appealing to the thought.

In The Veil and Other Poems (1921) these qualities reached their consummation. There is still something of the old shrinking from the facts of life, but there is also the courage to face them, and therewith to create fine poetry. De la Mare sings of thwarted effort ('The Imp Within'), loneliness, disillusionment, sin, misery (for instance 'The Dock', 'Drugged'), of shipwreck and again and again of death (especially 'The Hospital') as though he could now trust his soul to the terrors of his imagination, because, at the utmost limit, he found a sense of beauty, a thrill of disciplined creativeness, which raises him—and us—above the frustrations of daily life.

In 1921 De la Mare published his longest and most popular novel, The Memoirs of a Midget. The idea has a very superficial relation to Gulliver's experiences in Brobdingnag, but is redolent of the modern sense of spiritual isolation familiar to readers of Shelley and Arnold. For the 'Midget' is a mature and profoundly sensitive human being condemned by Fate never to know the equalities of comradeship. The author, always on the look-out for a freakish paradox, instead of creating another Faust or scholar gipsy, asked himself what would be the experiences of a human being otherwise normal except that she is a dwarf twenty-two inches high. That possible improbability granted, the situation develops into a realistic

novel, with no more romance than is implied in the author's insatiable love of nature and sense of night's mysteries. The reader will look in vain for the ghostly enemies and spiritual struggles which thrill even if they do not convince in The Return. The 'Midget' is but a human curiosity, who attracts an assortment of normally statured people, themselves curiosities, if only they knew it. Their predilections and impertinences are viewed through the dwarf's vigilant eyes which catch the giants off their guard. In other respects we should expect her miniature life to be passive, but with remarkable skill the novelist manages to involve his pigmy in quite credible adventures. However, the chief value of the book is to be found neither in its conception nor structure, but in its tone. Rarely has an author proved it possible to be so disillusioned yet unembittered, and to have given shape to so many shapeless characters in such soft

De la Mare has produced one more novel (At First Sight (1928)), several volumes of verse, eleven more books for children, five studies in criticism, half a dozen collections of short stories (including experiments in crime, especially Missing), and Ding Dong Bell (1924), a suite of epitaphs in a prose setting, faintly reminiscent of the eighteenth-century 'Churchyard School', not altogether out of touch with E. L. Master's Spoon River Anthology (1915), but unique in its life-giving humour, kindliness, and charm of style. But none

of these achievements add to our idea of his genius.

HIS FUTURE INFLUENCE

De la Mare will be studied by our children and grandchildren because he asks no more of life than it can give, and proves that little to be enough, since whatever the world refuses can be found in poetry. This cheering and intimate companionship has not been established without exquisite craftsmanship, and De la Mare will also be remembered as an artist in language, all the more so because he occupies a peculiar place in the history of prosody. He is a traditionalist in that he pins down the reader to the poet's thought and mood, and generally employs the accepted verse-forms with which to lay bare his heart. Yet within these limits his haunting rhythms and studied felicities suggest so much that there is space for our undisciplined modern consciousness. He is somewhat a mediator between the old and new schools.

He should also be remembered as the prose-writer who gave direction to the modern fairy-tale. A fabulist tells a fairy-tale as often as he tames the spirit-world—that is to say, brings it down to earth, mixes it with our daily experiences, and shows how humans can equal superhumans. So does De la Mare. Obviously he must not deal in elves, giants, or other such stark impossibilities; but in hypotheses, not too fantastic to be adjusted to modern habits of thought; and therefore to be taken seriously. Their verisimilitude kındles the imagination and encourages us to view our familiar contacts in an unfamiliar setting. As our lives become more and more a part of machinery and civic administration, we shall feel the full value of these stimulating paradoxes.

In English literature even a work of art rarely survives many generations unless its import is moral, or can be construed as such. De la Mare, however, has sometimes been classed as a dreamer, interested in nothing but self-discovery leading to self-expression. We have already seen that these dreams, if they are no more, have also led him face to face with reality. It should now be noted that in searching

The long road bleak and bare that fades away in Time,

he has learnt a philosophy, one of the oldest in the history of culture. Fanciful as it may sound, he is a modern manichee. He believes that there is a power of Evil as well as of Good. Both are hidden beneath appearances, and man in quest of the one must run the risk of meeting the other. If he lets his imagination roam too far, beyond the sweet and gracious presences, he may, at his peril, penetrate to the lurking forms of terror, despair, crime, and madness. This conviction need not be an avowed article of the poet's faith, but such is the effect of his poetry. Our author is not altogether unlike Pilgrim groping through the Valley of the Shadow of Death, though his vision of Heaven is much clearer, more sensuous, and easier to pursue.

Before 1914, or even up to 1921, such a view would have been pardoned as an extravagance, merely a picturesque experiment in demonology. Since then, history has taught us more about ourselves, and humanism has learnt humility. It is not at all unlikely that many people will feel less confident about human all-sufficiency. If so, De la Mare's sense of spiritual danger will mean more than it does now.

JOHN MASEFIELD (1878-)

-To be consulted: W. H. Hamilton, John Masefield: a critical study, 1922; G. O. Thomas, John Masefield, 1932.

[Son of a lawyer, born at Ledbury, but orphaned early in life. The adventures of his youth are still obscure, but certainly included many experiences at sea (possibly before the mast) and in America (as farmhand, millhand, and possibly as a potman in New York). The passion to write, especially poetry, soon asserted itself, encouraged by casual readings in older literature, and in 1897 he returned to England, and came under the influence of Synge and Yeats. Worked for six months on the Manchester Guardian. Produced his first volume in 1902 (Salt-water Ballads). During the next eight years he attracted attention by six more experiments in verse, prose, and drama, all too

¹ See *post*, pp. 140 ff.

personal and distinctive to be ignored. In 1911 he became the most discussed unter of the day, because The Everlasting Mercy (published in The English Review) challenged, in fact insulted, every other tradition of English poetry, except faith in man and a sense of outward things. Making the most of his opportunity, he produced three more narrative poems in much the same strain within two years, also published in The English Review. Thus Masefield's reputation was made and twentieth-century poetry accepted a new influence. Having inaugurated or asserted the spirit of revolt, he has himself reverted to tradition, producing many works of varying merit, but all unmistakably vigorous and vivid, whether dramas, poems, or novels.

Thanks to his common sense and firm but unobtrusive personality, he has played no unimportant part in the direction of literary life, and as befits an author capable of becoming a public figure, he has received many honours, notably the laureateship (1930) and O.M. (1935).

He has accomplished more by example than by actual execution.]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Many authors have been mooted as influences on Masefield, of whom Kipling and Chesterton are certainly to be felt in his earlier work, and Chaucer in the structure and spirit of his middle and later period. He also appears to have learnt from Synge and Yeats. But these and other such influences are only skin-deep; mere preliminaries. The real impulse behind his work is to be traced to

the spirit of his contemporaries.

What the age needed, amongst other things, was a new tone 1. The younger poets were afraid to trust their impulses, lest they should not be taken seriously as poets. It was wiser to belong to a school and convince the reader that their taste was as cultured as his. So they were not free to create beauty out of any bare skeleton, clothing it with their own flesh and blood, and not even suggesting the influence of an acknowledged master, dead and buried in the nineteenth century. They were waiting for someone to show them, first how it could become, and secondly how to win thereby enough public approval for the work to be recognized as literature.

Masefield did not of set purpose contribute towards their leader-ship, otherwise he could more conveniently have been discussed in a later section.² He is all the more significant because he had no such pretensions, but felt the need for freedom more intensely than any, and took the shortest way, guided by instinct. He described his mood in In The Mill (1941): 'the seeker for truth goes into the desert, or wherever there is nothing, to correct his sense of values'. The values which he brought back amounted to an attitude, welcome to his age, but heavy with responsibilities. His own works illustrate their defects as well as qualities.

¹ Post, pp. 104ff

² See post, pp. 111ff.

HOW MASEFIELD FREED HIMSELF AND OTHERS

Like Keats, Masefield declared himself unable to live without poetry. Thus all day long he had to create something unusual out of the most usual experiences, were it only the suggestion of poetic form and fitness. If the material was in itself uninspiring, so much greater the reward; the recollection of the rough conquered and made smooth. This principle is noticeable in his earliest tentative verses, for instance in 'Cape Horn, Gospel II' (Salt-water Ballads, 1902) and much more in The Tragedy of Nan (1909). Despite its inconsequential plot, it sheds an air of strangeness over a miserable set of facts, all too possible in themselves, and leaves the spectators with the feeling that they have been 'cleansed' in their pity, if not fear. Two years later he submitted his idea to a final and supreme test: The Everlasting Mercy.

The theme of its poetry is at least as old as the romantic movement: the sweet mystery and tranquil charm of the countryside at night time. Out of this dreamy impressionism there emerges a burlesque epic of poaching and tavern orgies, through which Saul Kane, the village jail-bird, realizes his manhood, hears the call of God, and ends as a religionist. This kind of story is also old; something like it has inspired many pious tracts; not so the drunken escapades which doubtless originated in personal reminiscences. Yet the resulting harmonization comes as a complete surprise. Regionalism, revivalism, and blackguardism are blended into a single poetic effect.

Masefield has succeeded, because contact with these wasters puts us off our guard. For the moment we shed our scholarly respectability. In this unbookish mood the night scenery penetrates our senses as deeply and freshly as it penetrates the poet. Being well under the spell, these thieves and drunkards cease to be police-court specimens; they become outgrowths of the mysterious back-ground, seething with wild life. The spirits of the earth claim them; and all because the author has tricked us out of the library atmosphere.

One cannot decide how far this poetic conjuror was also influenced by the very human desire to attract attention. Authors naturally want to be read. But he has certainly grasped the inspiration which the decadents missed, and which Housman half concealed. Whatever the technical faults of the poem—its slovenliness, the artificiality of its blackguardism, its artistic bad taste—it has intensity, rhythm, and vivid phraseology. These qualities, together with a success de scandale, went far to liberate and license the profession of poetry.

HIS BLACKGUARD PERIOD

The tendency sketched in Nan and consummated in The Everlasting Mercy was continued in his next three narrative poems. Masefield was determined to strike while the iron was hot. All are inferior to their predecessor. Dauber (1913) is the best, because

it aims the highest. Yet it just misses the poignancy of great poetry, because we gain no deep insight into the mind of the artist-shiphand. The Widow in the Bye-Street (1912) and Daffodil Fields (1913) are grimly realistic, but without enthusiasm. Yet all have that intense feeling for a locality in the open air, akin to regionalism, of which Masefield is unquestionably a master. The 'sea-pieces'

in Dauber are justly celebrated.

All have another claim on our attention. Masefield, like Hardy, understood that character is destiny; a man, like an animal, has instincts which he is forced to follow. Unlike animals, however, a man can think about what he wants to do and when thwarted always suffers intensely and sometimes acts wildly. Hardy's victims are generally resigned and contemplative figures rooted in the soil. Masefield's characters are mostly Celts or Iberians, men of passion and adventure. In the Heroic Age they would have been kings of men. Unfortunately they are born to the twentieth century, in some remote district, where the call of nature is just as strong, but the sanctions of civilization much stronger. So they do nothing heroic. They take to drink, quarrel, break their mothers' hearts, break their own necks, commit adultery, commit murder, and, whatever their end, suffer more than their fair share.

These tales are not intended to depress or scandalize, but to elevate. If their author claims freedom, he does so in order to serve his art In his essay *Shakespeare* (1911) he lets us see that the greatest English poet was already teaching him to understand 'villains', and those tragic figures whom we account failures 'because they do not conform to a type lower than themselves'; and, moreover, how to portray their villainies or misfortunes in all the naked horror, without 'degrading his poetry or the sympathy which poetry should always inspire.

HIS GENTLEMAN PERIOD

Once assured Low life had no inherent attraction for Masefield of his freedom, he used it to seek inspiration elsewhere. He wrote provels of purposeful middle-class life, of which the best is Multitude and Solitude (1909), the story of a medical expedition, deriving from a youthful ambition of his own, and the most complex is The Street of To-day (1911), because it deals with the moral problems of city life. He has composed thirteen dramas; amongst them the noble tale of Japanese devotion published and occasionally produced as The Faithful (1915). Yet he rarely chose a subject which suited his talent. He was at his best only in a narrative so vivid and breathless that thought is merged in action, on a background of nature's grandeur or charm; and only then, if the subject seems on the surface to be unpoetical. As his reputation and income had grown, and he now moved in a gentler, more refined circle, this double opportunity was not easily found. He had his chance in the thrilling yet restrained war-piece Gallipoli (1916) followed by The Battle of the Somme (1919), though neither was a sufficient challenge to his inventiveness. At last, having searched in imagination over half the world, he found what his genius needed in the home counties,—which

had already served him well.

The result was Reynard the Fox (1919) Masefield had often been impressed by the picturesque quality of a 'field' in which the local gentry, in all their trappings, mix with their more sober-suited followers and tenants, all alike 'riding to hounds'. The theme had not been handled with a poet's insight and zest-to-live, so his imagination caught fire; with such effect that his Chaucerian epic is as big a surprise as The Everlasting Mercy, of which it is the belated counterpart. As before, the poet's background is 'the earth of England', with its intimate sights and scents (as if there had never been a world war), and the mother of men of spirit. But this time, the inhabitants are not wasters, but gentlemen and yeomen who have taken kindly to our civilization. Some of them may have travelled as far as the bounds of the British Empire, but they are all a part of the home landscape, products of its traditions and continuity. Yet the fascination of wild life has not been forgotten. If there is a Saul Kane, it is now the fox, an irreconcileable by birthright, no unworthy protagonist in the adventure, compact of cunning, speed, and endurance, through which he survives the ordeal, by the law of poetic justice.

Reynard, with its predecessor Lollingdon Downs (1917), marks a fresh and final phase in Masefield's development. During the next seven or eight years he kept to the same method, trying, through verse tales of action, to catch his own genius 'in places where the will to live clothes itself in lovely shapes'. All are well told, with rapid movement in loose-flowing verse, the suspense sustained to the last moment. But none realizes that peculiar blend of composition and transfiguration which characterizes his best work. His second best is Right Royal (1920), the romance of a steeplechase in which the horse

and the rider are two heroes in one.

MASEFIELD'S PLACE IN HISTORY

He is a born story-teller, but his novels are not sufficiently distinctive to survive. They lack insight into the subtleties of character and the fascination of individuality. In histories of literature he ought to be remembered because he demonstrated so vigorously that the subject of a poem has nothing whatever to do with its quality, a truism previously discredited by generations of cultured poets.

As he did not otherwise tamper with tradition, his lesson was soon learnt, and his influence is now forgotten. Will posterity revive him for his own sake? It cannot be denied that he wrote too much, and had little instinct for the perfect expression. Moreover, he lacked artistic refinement so unmistakably that he could not always discriminate betweeen significance and sentiment, or even between

entiment and sentimentality. But future readers will forgive much, first, because he has achieved simplicity both in thought and style; and secondly, because he reminds them that poetry can still be well realized in action, and that without the praise of courage action is not poetical

EDWARD MORGAN FORSTER (1879-)

To be consulted: R. Macaulay, The Writings of E. M. Forster, 1938; E Trilling, E M Forster, 1944.

[Educated at Tonbridge and King's College, Cambridge, where he studied Classics and History, and developed into a humanist in search of Truth. 1900-11, applied the lesson learnt at Cambridge to middleclass society in four scholarly novels (of which Howard's End (1910), is generally considered the best), and a number of playful fantasies (republished in The Celestial Omnibus (1911)), and enlarged his ideas on English life, character, and polity, by comparison with those of other countries, travelling extensively in the South and East. 1912-14, he seems to have passed through a period of indecision and unfulfilled development, visiting India with G Lowes Dickinson, drafting two novels, laying them aside, and writing on occasional topics. After the Four Years War (spent on duty at Alexandria), he revisited India (1920-1), resumed, completed, and published A Passage to India (1924), which brought his name prominently before the public, and readers began again to inquire into his earlier work Since then his reputation has steadily grown, though he has since produced little to enhance it.

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Forster is one of the few novelists influenced by University life. He came up to Cambridge in 1898 at one of the most interesting stages of its history, when the traditions of the Victorian era seemed ready to blend with the dawn of the twentieth century. The Classics were still believed to be the gentleman's straightest and broadest pathway to the problems of modern culture, partly because the ancient world could face the essential aspects of human nature in a simpler, clearer light than in our crowded, confused civilization, and partly because the study of Greek and Latin developed an unique insight into the value of words, and 'the meaning of meaning'. So Forster was encouraged to outline his ideas before he put them to the test.

In this atmosphere he learnt something else equally characteristic of Cambridge and essential to his own temperament and development: the value of intellectual conversation. On this plane two or more minds can start by pursuing the knowledge of ideas, and lend with a knowledge of each other, and therefore of themselves. Undergraduates have the lessure 'to digest their own souls'. Thus Forster acquired a very keen sense of spiritual contacts, first with

the wise and brave of ancient days, then with his contemporaries. From both he learnt that thought, which seems so personal, depends on a co-operation; that we define our own identity by sharing it with kindred spirits. If we are not fortunate in our friends, and therefore cannot construct an attitude out of the friction and interchange of opinions, we must rely on the forms and formalities current in our class, behaving as if they were our own. Forster, however, was fortunate. Amongst his friends he claimed G. Lowes Dickinson, one of the first internationally minded scholars, whose The Greek View of Life (1896) was to be followed by A Modern Symposium (1905) and European Anarchy (1916).

Dickinson's influence was particularly valuable because culture had crept into social education, bringing with it a pseudo-culture which the conventionally minded can acquire. Many a young man and woman was beginning life like supers on the stage, and they had to spend the rest of their existence trying to find out what was really in themselves; Forster, no doubt, amongst others. This is what he means by the search for reality or truth. The problem would be a suitable theme for a dissertation or dialogue, but since he had a novelist's eye for character and comedy, he projected his inquiry into imaginary people, keeping himself severely aloof, so that he, and we, can enjoy the comic side of their adventures.

The novelists whom he most admired were Defoe, Richardson, Sterne, Miss Austen, White Melville, Emily Bronte, Tolstoi, James, Proust, and Lawrence. All these writers, it will be noticed, were fundamentally interested in the secret workings of the mind, and collectively explored the never-ending conflict between class-thinking and individual self-expression.

THE PROGRESS OF HIS EXPERIMENTS WITH THE NOVEL

It was Forster's intention to combine what is best in nineteenthcentury thought and style with what is most fascinating in twentiethcentury mentality. He succeeded very rarely. As Oliver Edwards said, 'cheerfulness was always breaking in'.

His first serious attempt was Where Angels Fear to Tread (1905). The plot has just that touch of facetious inventiveness of which he was master, and he throws his problem of spiritual misunderstanding into the boldest relief. He takes an impulsive, and only half-conventionalized English woman out of a priggish, conventional circle, wafts her away to an even more provincial townlet in Italy, marries her to an irresponsible and mercenary Italian, full of sex appeal, and, to complete the anomaly, presents them with a son and heir. The situation is almost too good to be true, and all the time our humorist is aiming at something fundamentally human: the continuation of life as symbolized by their child, who dies within a year, and the mother, who dies a few days later. This significance is obscured by the complexities of the story, with its serio-comic episodes, unexpected and inevitable; our admiration is too often

diverted to a scholar's and poet's impression of Italy—a dream of classic beauty and grandeur; we content ourselves with the subsidiary moral that the English and Italian temperaments, the extreme poles of unreason, are not yet ripe for mutual understanding, not even through the mysteries of life and death.

The novel lacks intimacy, as Forster must have realized, for his next study, The Longest Journey (1907), is simply a 'human document' transposed into a novel. One half suspects that the author is speculating on his own probable fate, if Nature had given him less, and he himself had made a certain irreparable mistake. He projects a quiescent and impressionable youth of moderate attainments and exquisite sensibilities, who goes to Cambridge, begins to realize his true self in the genial warmth of undergraduate friendship, and then, in an evil hour, marries, or rather is married by, the wrong woman, and he gradually loses sight of his own dreams, and with them the sympathy to see into the dreams of others. He becomes like his associates, 'the Sawston set'. Such is 'Rickie' Elliott's longest journey (Shelley's name for married life), and one would expect its narrow, monotonous course to bring the author's idea to a point. So indeed it does as far as concerns our understanding of 'Rickie's 'character, but not of his destiny. Too many things happen, which are excellent comedy, but do not prove that the issue is inevitable in its tragic gloom.

Again Forster tried to simplify his plot. He resumed an idea drafted as early as 1903, completed the scheme and published it in 1908 as A Room with a View. The focus is certainly concentrated. He brings together in a Florentine boarding-house a group of British middle-class specimens, and, in his own inimitable way, divides them into two factions: those who believe in the value of human choices and relationships, and those who 'follow neither the heart nor the brain, and march to their destiny by catch-words'. And yet, in the end, though we understand and enjoy the half comic relationships between these particular individuals, and chuckle over their vagaries (not forgetting the nudists in the woodland pool), we feel that this laughing philosopher is still laughing at too many things. The values have a bright surface but are not clear as crystal.

The explanation is simple, if one remembers the author's intention. He is trying to catch and focus one glumpse of the twentieth-century ideal; nothing transcendental or impracticable; merely the habit of feeling one's own way out among other people's thoughts. The author follows the gleam where it ought to burn brightly, and finds that it is burnt out. Other people are all too preoccupied to think for themselves, so he and his hero or heroine spend their time looking for what cannot be found. Forster laughs off his disappointment and contempt with inimitable grace and facetiousness, but the result is negative. 'Could he not give human nature a better chance? The answer is Howard's End (1910). In this

novel his sense of humour is as neat as ever, and the minor characters (especially the unforgettable Bast ménage) at any rate serve as an amusing contrast to the protagonists. These, of course, are the Schlegels, a family of German extraction (because the Germans of the old school inherited an aptitude for culture), and he gave them a comfortable fortune, quite enough to ensure self-development The Schlegels are essentially in and of the twentieth century; that is to say, they have discarded the old idea of permanence through property. On the other hand, they are always in pursuit of intellectual pleasures-concerts, literary dinners, art-societies-because these avocations lead to that other sense of permanence: contact with the best in human nature. The two sisters, full of their ideologies, art-criticism and poetry, encounter the Wilcox family. that domineering, hard-headed business group, whom the Schlegels half conquer by their sheer humanity, and by whom they are themselves half conquered because efficiency and will-power are not to be acquired through culture. The Schlegels are sufficiently civilized to appreciate something they have not got. Such is surely the impression with which we should close the book.

We cannot be sure of this interpretation, since, as before, our novelist is too objective. He does not tell us enough about what takes place within the minds of his characters; we do not know their spiritual history; only the words and the actions by which they are supposed to betray themselves The reader is not allowed to satisfy himself that the heart has its reasons which the reason cannot comprehend. Hence, though *Howard's End* is admirably detailed, we feel a looseness in the texture, and here and there an unaccountable twist in the plot which the reader is left to explain

as best he can.

Such are the defects of his qualities, all the more because he chose aspects and situations so familiar that the reader expects to be admitted behind the scenes. Though the pattern of his mind was fixed, he had not yet devised the most effective framework. Fourteen years later, after much artistic meditation and cosmopolitan experience, he ventured on another and more remarkable experiment. A Passage to India (1924) may be just as arbitrary and psychologically cryptic as his previous novels, but it is much more in tune with the new twentieth-century spirit, because England is left behind, an island on the western coast of Europe, and Englishmen are tested by their attitude to the rest of the world. Under strange skies Forster's mind worked freely. The human background is far more vivid and varied than before; the visions of scenery and climate are unforgettable; the character studies are more succinct and satisfying, notably that of Azız the Mohammedan (possibly the best modern portraiture of one nationality by another) and of Fielding, the typically level-headed Britisher. These two carry the story on their shoulders, and the contributory figures are skilfully grouped round their presence. Furthermore all Englishmen capable of appreciating Forster's humour enjoy the unique privilege of viewing their compatriots through the eyes of a foreigner—eyes sharpened by a sense of political inferiority and national pride

HIS FUTURE REPUTATION

Forster is one of the very few contemporary authors who have not written more than they were able to write well. In return, he will be remembered as an expert in the dialogue which reveals, and a creator of personalities, whose presence can be felt. It will also be recognized that few have managed to manoeuvre the details of their plots so effectively that every little meanness, insincerity, and interference should meet the appropriate discomfiture, comic and poetically just. This humorous sequence of episodes and encounters is presented in a classic prose-style, together with occasional touches of genuine poetry, and underneath the wit and satire there runs a current of moral earnestness. So even if he sometimes scamped an important turning point in his plots, he will be remembered for what he did not scamp.

His position in the history of the novel is of special interest. Ostensibly he is a traditionalist—that is to say, he keeps to the principle of an organic, consequential plot, and of one or more central characters, who are possessed by a certain motive, generally some particular virtue or vice, which shapes their destiny. The treatment is 'dramatic' in that the personages appear to reach their appointed end without the interference of the author. Forster writes as if such was his idea of the novel, but in reality he has outgrown this older method. Being in touch with the twentieth century, he does not believe in character or destiny; he believes in accidents. His personages are very ordinary people, neither viceridden nor virtue-ridden; they are more or less free to choose their own course; and they do not know what to choose. As they cannot see themselves reflected in the comments and counsels of discerning friends, they take the wrong course, well intentioned but misguided, until their suppressed subconscious nature asserts itself, again due to some accident. Fortunately they are well-to-do and well educated, so the result is more often comic than tragic. They are in search of a more satisfying life, so his novels beguile you into a foretaste of a brighter world, by showing you those who happened to miss it.

This kind of story cannot well be told in the old 'dramatic' way. It needs an inward as well as an outward plot, a psychic as well as a circumstantial atmosphere, a more prying curiosity in human behaviourism. Forster's technique was out of date for such guesswork. That is why his position is almost unique. He stands between two schools, so good a master of the nineteenth-century technique that he cannot do full justice to twentieth-century material.

WILLIAM BUTLER YEATS (1865-1939)

To be consulted: J. H. Hone, W. B. Yeats, 1940; L. Macneice, The Poetry of W. B. Yeats, 1941; V. K. Narayana Menon, The Development of W. B. Yeats, 1942; C. W. Bowra, The Heritage of Symbolism, 1943.

[Yeats was born into an artistic and literary family near Dublin; spent his boyhood attending school in London and holidays at County Sligo; 1880, returned with family to Ireland; 1883, began studying art and Indian theosophy; 1886, began to devote himself to literature; 1887, returned with his father to London; 1889, began to find his place among the rising generation of English writers (partly thanks to Oisin). 1891, joined the Rhymers' Club. From the middle nineties onward began writing for the Irish stage; 1899, joined in the founding of the Irish Literary Theatre, afterwards known as the Abbey Theatre, of which he became in 1904 a director with Lady Gregory and J. M. Synge. Lived or travelled in Ireland, London, and Paris. Helped to found secret and hermetic societies, played no small part as an 'underground' collaborator of Irish nationalism, prominently in 1916. Senator of the Irish Free State, 1922-8. Nobel Prize, 1923. Detested science and positive philosophy, yet devoted his genius to solving the same problems through intuition, folklore, and contemplation. 'As he grew older his music grew younger?

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Yeats stands out as a dominant figure, because (it is claimed) he taught younger poets how to write. Whether or no posterity will agree, he certainly had to begin by teaching himself, and the circumstances of his life and his unique temperament led him through all the movements and influences of his age, from the decadent 'nineties, almost to the catastrophic 'forties. Though centred in himself, in search of his artistic personality, he became a part of all he saw and felt. Hence his importance.

He was a Celt never reconciled to Anglo-Norman habits and way of thinking; something of an artistic bohemian, not even well read in the best literature, steeped in prejudice and vanity. So although England (which, unlike Shaw, he hated) was to offer the best scope for his genius, he rarely felt in the mood to merge himself in the stream of its life. This abstention suited his temperament. He was at heart a dreamer, a visionary fascinated by folk-lore, balladry, and the superstitions of the Irish peasantry-Like them he believed in fairies, gnomes, and demons, in the truth of dreams, and in personal immortality. Like Shelley he believed that experience was restricted to a succession of appearances, and that death would reveal reality. Quite early in life he cherished the fancy that verses should be spoken to the notes of a harp, if not sung.

Such a man could not help feeling strange in a world of scientific facts and mechanical appliances, in which truth was tested by logic and the evidence of the senses. So he convinced himself and set out to convince others that modern civilization effaces our fundamental consciousness of ourselves. Learning, like wealth, isolates human beings, pinning them down to man-made theories and personal affairs, entangling each in the surface complexities of existence. Even literature was divided among sectional aspects of experience, and thus played upon the sense of human differences. Rarely did a novelist or even a poet strike to the common root of human nature. They were all too intent on the matters which their minds had been trained to consider. As Blake had said, reason divides, but imagination unites.

Yeats trusted to his imagination, and however uncritical his method, it certainly carried him deeper and farther than the range of folk-lore and mythology with which he began Through 'the passions and beliefs of ancient times' he got near to the primitive but perennial throb of life which civilization had tamed. Others were on the same track. Meredith had warned his generation that man is possessed by a 'triad'—blood, brain, and spirit—and that all three elements must be equally balanced if the human being is to be complete. Nietzsche had dwelt on the chaos of urges and energies in primitive man—the Dionysian impulse—still surviving under civilization, and symbolized in national legendry. Samuel Butler, especially in Life and Habit and God the Known and Unknown, had argued that our will-to-live is a single vital tendency, like an unconscious and hereditary memory, which pervades the whole race, as the sap pervades all the branches of a tree. Lastly, Andrew Lang, Sir James Frazer, Edward Carpenter, and others 1 were beginning to interpret prehistoric civilization by the light of ethnology, folk-lore, comparative religion, mythology, and even biology. The 'Return to Nature' was very much in the air.

These pioneers, for the most part, were steady men of research, more or less scientifically minded. Yeats was an obstinate anti-rationalist. Yet intuition brought him just as near to what thinkers now call depth-psychology. For instance, he writes in *The Autumn of the Body* (1895), 'Our thoughts and emotions are often but spray flung up from the hidden tides that follow a moon no eye can see'.

The moon is not merely a figure of speech. Its influence had been recognized from time immemorial. Yeats took it as a symbol of life's mystery; and he believed in magic. That is to say, he persuaded himself that primitive folk were not mistaken: occult influences could penetrate our spirits, now as then, sharpening our senses with an uncanny prescience or awareness. So he dabbled, in theosophy and hypnotism as a 'revolt of the soul against the intellect' in the hope to acquire 'a more conscious exercise of the

¹ For the significance of this movement see post, pp. 120 ff.

human faculties'. By the same token he believed in the magic power of words, not of course the hocus-pocus of a conjuror, but the phrases and terms which appeal to our common humanity! Once upon a time, a single magical symbol meant the same to, emperor and clown; so now the modern magician, in the guise of poet, painter, or priest, could touch our hidden selves through notions born of sensation and traditional memory, awakening man's deepest and oldest consciousness of love and death, or his impulse towards adventure and self-fulfilment. Roused to impatience with the disunion and ineffectiveness of modern culture, Yeats went so far as to assert that this ancient universal artistry literally amounted to spells and incantations. He only half believed in what he said, and his claims must certainly be accepted in the spirit of a poet; and yet they suggest the conclusion at which sober-minded humanists arrive—for instance, I. A. Richards in *Principles of Literary Criticism*.

It is obvious that Yeats was inclined towards symbolism, in the very modern sense of the word, and he has sometimes been hailed as our English-speaking representative of the school.² He certainly needed some such escape in the early 'nineties when he was contributing to *The Yellow Book* and *The National Observer*, and was ill at ease in the sophisticated atmosphere of the London decadents. So he was all the more ready to follow A. Symons's lead and study Verlaine, de Lisle-Adam, and Mallarmé

These verbal wizards were to beguile not a few of subsequent verse-writers,³ but Yeats got no farther than the fringe of their mysteries. They confirmed his belief that a symbol should be more than 'a representation which does not aim at being a reproduction' (A. Symons), and that rhythms, combinations of sounds, and fragments of imagery, which mean little to the intellect, may mean much to the soul. But these Frenchmen lived obscure lives, indifferent to everything but friendship and esoteric contemplation, whereas the Irishman was a man of action, always trying to manage his fellow-creatures; the director of a theatre, a patriot, almost a conspirator; at one period a public man who imagined that he could rule. It was not enough for him to seek transcendental treasures, hid behind shadowy doors. He had to fight many battles with other people, and consequently with himself, before his imagination had free play.

HIS APPRENTICESHIP

Yeats, then, came forward as the reformer of poetry by breaking not with the Past but with the Present. We must revive the simplicity and 'altogetherness' of the first ages blended with our modern ideas of good and evil. The only true singer is he who tells the most ancient story so that it applies to the people of to-day He

³ Post, p. 113.

Post, pp 138 ff.
 Eg, C. W. Bowra, The Heritage of Symbolism, 1943.

first catches sight of his idea on his third attempt, The Wanderings of Ossin (1889), the long narrative poem in the form of a dialogue, not uninfluenced by Ossian, the spirit of it chilled by the laboured simplicity of the style. There is more warmth and pointedness in The Countess Kathleen (1892) and The Land of Heart's Desire (1894), but Yeats had not yet succeeded in isolating and expressing his own deepest idealisms in the naive outlines of a primitive tale. He made a marked but inconclusive step forward in the spuit of the symbolists; their vague imagery seemed to stimulate his own dreams; and as his imagination began to work freely his expressions become more vivid and his presentment more forceful Shadowy Waters (1900) and The Wind Among the Reeds (1899) record the climax of this phase, but one still feels that the author, like his readers, does not yet really know what he can hope to find deep down in himself. Beneath those 'wavering, meditative organic Irhythms', like glimpses of an Irish landscape bathed in mist, this impressionist seems to be seeking wisdom in reveries, as if illumination, as uncontrolled as a dream, would come of its own accord.

HIS ADVENTURES IN DRAMA

By the turn of the century the decadent atmosphere was dissipated. as he himself described in The Tragic Generation. Like so many of his contemporaries, and with more reason than some, he began to feel strongly the call to action. . He realized that his head was confused with images which effaced each other; he repeated to himself 'hammer your thoughts into unity'; he had come to know Lady Gregory, Masefield, Miss Horniman, Rothenstein, and especially J. M. Synge, who smacked of 'all that has edge, all that is salt in the mouth, all that is rough to the hand, all that heightens the emotions by contest' So he flung himself with ardour into the projects of the Abbey Theatre, and the intrigues for the nationalization of Ireland. Thanks to these ambitions, enterprises, and the quarrels which accompanied them, he learnt that his opinions were really desires, not convictions, and that when thwarted they seemed to kindle his imagination and intensify his artistry. So his inspiration began to thrive on human contacts and on the rough and smooth of life rather than on moods. Besides, as the characters were created with a definite view to the stage, they had to be presented as human beings, not dramatic projections. They were still statuesque and mythological and their speeches were to be pronounced with a severe and symbolic intensity (if it were practicable), to the accompaniment of slow music, and they were designed to imply a more than human significance; but their grandeur arose out of a tension. As the old peasant declared, 'God possesses the Heavens, but he covets the earth'. The Pot of Broth (1904), The King's Threshold (1904), and On Bailey's Strand (1904) are representative of this phase. All lack the actuality of the stage. His improved precision of imagery and restrained intensity of feeling

are much better illustrated in *The Green Helmet* (1910) and, above all, in *Responsibilities* (1914). These short lyrics enshrine either fables or personal experiences. All are realistic, yet most of them suggest a moral which the reader can keep in his memory and meditate as often as the mood comes over him.

To this phase belong the essays in which he explained his attitude with much repetition, but in singularly lucid and lively prose. Ideas of Good and Evil (1903), Discoveries (1907), and The Cutting of an Agate (1912) are not judicially critical, but every sentence throbs with his earnestness and enthusiasm. His talent for controversy, his mastery of rhythmic prose, and his pungent phraseology would have been more fully recognized if he had not written so much verse.

THE CONSUMMATION OF HIS CAREER

The Four Years War and its peace-time consequences only changed Yeats to complete him. He continued to study spiritualism, and in 1916 married a lady who was a medium. He became deeply interested in the mysticism of Plotinus, and was fascinated by Croce's 1 theory of 'the General Will', and by Gentile's assertion that 'the external world is so improbable that we go along touching it with our hands to convince ourselves that it exists ';2 and hel expounded his philosophy in A Vision (finally published 1925). On the other hand, he was deeply moved by the European struggle, and even more by the Irish disturbances, especially the Easter rebellion of 1916, with its tale of haireds, and the maddening memory of mistakes. His subsequent career as a public man had also its own disturbing lessons. These conflicts with men and manners did not efface his dreams, but gave them a more realistic' direction. They turned his eyes away from mythology into his own soul, divided between earthly passions and unearthly visions, and at last he realized that poetry must be achieved through the mastery and fusion of both. As usual, the synthesis began as a speculation: this theory of the Self and the Anti-self, an hypothesis authorized by Boehme and Blake, and tested only too effectively in his inward and outward experiences. As expounded in Per Amica Silentia Lunae (1918), the Anti-self is a soaring spirit fretting against bondage to our mental habits and associations.

The philosopher becomes conscious of the Anti-self through the study of psychic phenomena; the poet must give it a shape. Here again, Yeats founded his practice on a theory 'Give a man a mask,' said Wilde, 'and he will speak the truth.' Yeats convinced himself that the artist must have two: the Mask (through which we externalize our acquired character) and the Anti-mask, 'streaked with gold', by which we should figure the aspirations of the inner-

most spirit Yeats wears both.

As a result his once dream-burdened will worked with more

¹ Post, pp. 124 ff. ² Quoted in letter to G E. Sturge Moore.

treedom. He still occasionally wrote plays, but with a different purpose. Instead of dreaming 'wonderful and rather mournful things' about his characters apart from what they say on the stage, he now encouraged a popular theatre for 'the making articulate of all dumb classes each with its own knowledge of the world, its own dignity, but all objective', as he wrote to Lady Gregory in 1919 His own best contribution was *The Player Queen*, a clear-cut study of temperamental contrasts and conflicts (though full of psychic implications), at which he worked for half a lifetime, and produced in 1922.

As before, his lyrics are his best expression, and of these The Wild Swans at Coole (1917), The Tower (1928), and The Winding Stair (1929) are the most effective. He has taught himself 'to hit the reader hard with a carefully poised word'. The younger generation praise his 'hardness' and 'dryness' and, one might add, the metallic glint of his style; and there can be no doubt that he had at last arrived at a more or less satisfying presentment of the wholeness of man—the Self so far subdued (not effaced) in the Anti-self that you feel its presence in the discipline and restraint of his manner. The workmanship is proof of passion overcome, of something to batter down and get our power from this fighting', as he wrote to Lady Dorothy Wellesley.

HIS FUTURE REPUTATION

Yeats will be remembered as a personality as much as a poet. His influence is due, in the first place, to his long life. For half a century he has continued to convince the world of his utter sincerity and his commanding streak of genius. In the next place, he has always insisted that this genius recognizes no law but itself, even in the teeth of civilization's acquired tyranny. Thirdly, though not a typical symbolist, he reminded his age that poetry depends on symbolism.

In his early and middle career he relied on folk-lore, real or imitated, for his symbols. Latterly he agreed that one need not brush aside the accidents of daily life which are for the uninitiated the only reality. The poet could draw on his own personal experiences, provided that he also presented their implications without apology or explanation. Finally he demonstrated that the most convincing and inexhaustible symbol is oneself.

Seldom, if ever, has a poet externalized more clearly and consecutively the experiments by which temperament is translated into art. The whole *Corpus* of his work might be classified by slightly changing the sub-title which served *The Prelude*: the growth of a poet's faculty (not mind).

It will probably not be remembered that Yeats was also one of the first and most imaginative pioneers, in the twentieth-century' rediscovery of man through folk-lore, anthropology, and unanimism.

¹ Post, p. 120.

CHAPTER III

HUMANISTS WHO ACCOMPANIED THE LEADERS, BUT WOULD NEITHER LEAD NOR BE LED

KIPLING, Conrad, Wells, Shaw, Bennett, de La Mare, Masefield, Forster, Yeats stand in the forefront of Edwardian literature because they showed the educated reader how to think out his own immediate affairs in terms of other people. Each writer adopted one aspect of our secret selves and gave it currency. Of course they did not solve our difficulties, whether social, political, or introspective; but they helped us to view them impersonally and yet practically, under the influence of contemporary ideas. So they broke new ground.

In another sense these writers continued in the old tradition. There is nothing esoteric about them; the reader does not require a special initiation; none of them founded a school. They kept to nineteenth-century methods. They were well advised to do so, because behind and around them there was a body of traditionalists, less inspired or less creative, but nevertheless sufficiently influential to sustain public opinion. Some of these must now be noted. As they were more interested in humanity than in human beings, in culture than in conditions, despite some appearances to the contrary, they can best be discussed as humanists.

SIR MAX BEERBOHM (1872-

This individualist, besides other paradoxical peculiarities, marks the transition from the Victorian era by persisting in the tradition of its culture.

Having grown up in the atmosphere of the Beardsley period, he believed in leisure to make the best of ourselves and in genius to see where the best lay. In the 'eighties and 'nineties it lay, or seemed to lie, in the refinement of the intellect and sensibilities. At that period commodities, even luxuries, were cheap; human services were cheaper; so any well-educated member of society with a moderate income might feel entitled to spend it on his own mental and artistic development, secure against the vulgar struggle for life. Such was the mood of the time, such its opportunities. 'As for living—our servants will do that for us,' said Lisle Adam. 'Life is ritual,' said So our author might well write: 'You must Lionel Johnson care greatly for the little things that only civilization, as we know it, can give'. Consequently, he was content to master life by mastering himself. He became a dandy who pursued a self-centred, unadventurous existence, with a keen eye for the fitness and unfitness of his environment.

Pefore long that keen eye had to become abnormally vigilant. The decadents hoped to maintain their artistic integrity, partly by fidiculing the philistines, and partly by idealizing the enjoyments which those same philistines helped to circulate. But, unhappily, few of the aesthetes could keep their balance and remain aesthetes. The more distinguished—for instance, Wilde, Beardsley, and Dowson—like The Yellow Book ended badly, and their place was taken by a host of quacks and imitators, either irresponsible youths, or older men eaten up with vanity—So the tradition of the 'ninetics degenerated into a pose and nothing more. The genuine inheritors could continue the legacy only by ridiculing the fakes.

Of these Beerbohm was the most conspicuous He employed the art and wit of the 'nineties to celebrate its decline and fall. Even so self-contained an artist could not always suppress a playful insolence which borders on silliness But in his best fantasies and portraits—for instance, The Happy Hypociite (1897), Zuleika Dobson (1911). A Christmas Garland (1912), and especially 'Enoch Soames' (in Seven Men, 1919) the reader will find inventiveness, sustained interest, clear outlines, olympic detachment, an impeccable style,

land serious thoughts lurking beneath the extravaganza

GILBERT KEITH CHESTERTON (1874-1936)

His chief virtue can be summed up as humility, or the art of realizing cosmic immensities, by also realizing that we ourselves are of no size at all. So he went through life with the eyes of a child. His early essays in criticism and biography—e.g., Browning (1903), Dickens and Shaw (1909)—reveal more than a critic's insight into what genius can do with material at everyone's disposal. His exuberant excursions into the monotony of London streets, and the routine of urban administration—especially The Napoleon of Notting Hill (1904), The Club of Queer Trades (1905), The Man who was Thursday (1908)—demonstrated the artistic pleasures of playing with experience. His ethical treatises also began well. For instance, in Heretics (1905) he meets the sceptics and immoralists with the indulgent criticisms of a philosopher, too clear-sighted to be controversial. In Orthodoxy (1908) he insists that we can worship God as devoutly as did our forefathers, without sacrificing our intellectual independence. To that extent he was a pioneer in the religious revival which begins to be felt in the Inter-war Period. 1 Unfortunately, this sage and genial moralist was not only an author, but a journalist. As such, he had to cultivate a style on which to rely whenever his inspiration flagged. This style was aphoristic. So Chesterton gradually became an expert in surprises rather than in arguments. As he grew older, and the sombre problems of his overcrowded, under-educated, and plutocratic age began to obsess

¹ Post, p. 140.

him, he took refuge in this fatal facility. The less he retained of his glad confidence, the more he trusted to wit. Consequently his later volumes lack insight and vision

None of them lacks the Chestertonian quirks and whimzies. In 1911 he invented Father Brown, a simple cleric practising God's will in an atmosphere of Scotland Yard and crossword puzzles; wise as a serpent because harmless as a dove. This series of murder mysteries lasting, at intervals, till 1926, fascinates the average novel reader, but only as Sherlock Holmes fascinated their fathers. In 1911 Chesterton also clinched his reputation as a spirited verse-writer with The Ballad of the White Horse. This narrative poem, together with his other ballads (notably Lepanto) and his occasional and meditative pieces (notably 'The Donkey'), are all touched with their author's originality of style; but they are touched with little else. The fixed metrical systems in which he composed, as did Kipling and Newbolt, are vigorous but too uniformly rhythmic to induce contemplation or even a sense of intimacy, except in The Rolling English Road, The Silent Men, and The Song of the Dog Quoodle.

JOSEPH HILAIRE PIERRE BELLOC (1870-

A friend, almost a fellow-worker with Chesterton, has expressed himself with no less versatility; and if he lacks the other's genius for eccentric phraseology, he is his equal in lucidity of thought and in the guardianship of public morals. As a catholic, Fabian, and professed historian, he has produced serious though readable books, all coloured by his controversial point of view. The Servile State (1912), in which he contends that slavery, the natural condition of social coexistence, can be eliminated only by christianity, is his ablest but most questionable work. The Path to Rome (1902) is the most vivid, observant, and vigorous of his travel books. His originality has freest play in his essays in inspired nonsense, for instance, Caliban's Guide to Letters (1903), and his fantastically satirical stories, for instance, A Change in the Cabinet (1909).

Belloc and Chesterton would, in any case, be worth mentioning because both illustrate the change which was passing over literary life. They belonged by rights to the great age of periodical literature which began with Addison's Spectator and ended with the Edinburgh Review of Macaulay. Under those happier auspices their versatility would have been disciplined, and their ideas would have found the shortest passage to those who ought to read them. As it was, they never mastered their literary selves or their public, because they never understood the public they were trying to master. However, they became a centre and an example for those who loved England but hated what England was trying to become, and still believed that most evil things could be proved to be silly.

¹ For Fabian, see ante, p. 33.

This scholar, and book-man, librarian to the House of Loids, belongs in spirit to the same group. He began his career as a poet and dramatist and then became known to academic circles as an authority on Scandinavian languages, and as a rather whimsical inquirer into the less-known literature of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. But in 1907 the whole reading public was intrigued by an anonymous autobiography of childhood (soon traced to Sir Edmund) entitled Father and Son: A Study of Two Temperaments. It should have been designated a study of two ages, or even two civilizations. The protagonist, Philip Henry Gosse (1810-88), a capable biologist, a sufficiently industrious popularizer to be noticed by Huxley as 'one of the hod carriers of science', was yet so enslaved to the fetishes and taboos of a primitive sect, that he expressed his horror of evil by throwing Christmas puddings into the dustbin, and his dream of beatitude by punctilious observance of a ritual, quite unauthorized by any established Church. This austere father, with the best intentions, tried to relive his own asceticism in the religious education of his son The lonely and sensitive child endured the domestic discipline till he gradually discovered (God knows how) that he had a will and personality of his own, and belonged to an age which could prove all things.

Many contemporaries of Philip Henry Gosse had declared that religion need not imply ritualism; amongst others, Carlyle, Froude, Ruskin, Mill, W. H. White (Mark Rutherford), George Eliot, Arnold, and Seeley. Father and Son owes little or nothing to any of them. It is free from resentment, satire, or documentation, no more than a narrative conspicuous for its 'economy of implication', with refined touches of humour and an unexpressed appeal to the reader's sense of fitness and of the rights of youth. There could be no more convincing proof that Victorianism was dead, slain by good temper, and the comic spirit Incidentally, this book, like Butler's Way of All Flesh (1900), helped to encourage the

growing reaction against parental authority.

WILLIAM HENRY HUDSON (1841-1922)

Though not among the conspicuous figures, Hudson is one of the most significant. He began writing in the 'eighties, mostly about the wild life, including human beings, he had known on the South American pampas, where he was born and lived till twenty-nine years old. These earlier essays and tales (though he lacked the novelist's talent) dwell with an almost epic gusto on what is strong, strange, and primitive. His genius found its true scope before the turn of the century, when he began to discover and describe the more subtle and intimate secrets of the English landscape, beginning with the trees in London.

Like Stevenson in Travels with a Donkey (1879), he succeeds in giving interest to his most ordinary experiences; and the classic simplicity of his style almost escapes notice, because he seems to let his subject speak for itself. Conrad could never understand how he 'got his effects'. But his originality and significance are to be found in his attitude to nature. He claims to investigate with 'the eyes of a naturalist curiously observing the demeanour of all beings around me'; and his mood is certainly scientific, one might say Darwinian. In The Land's End (1908) he speaks of 'this dreadful unintelligible and unintelligent power that made us, in which we live and move and have our being'. Thus he has his full share of twentieth-century freedom from sentiment.

He was equally free from the kind of pessimism in which Hardy indulged. While recording as accurately and objectively as possible the facts of life, he also recorded the facts of the human spirit, what he himself described as 'the mind's projection of itself into nature'. He had the skill to temper perceptions with conceptions, without distorting either. In this whole-hearted mood, the facts of science gave ample opportunity for his sense of wonder, his consciousness of the variety and vigour of creation, even an intimation of brother-hood—he called it commensalism—with lower animals. Thus we could satisfy our spiritual needs, perhaps reconcile ourselves to the thought of death and frustration, while enjoying the eternal youth of nature. What he actually found on the English downs or the American pampas might be summarized as vitality expressed in beauty.

As he put his whole life into what he wrote, he matured early, and his literary output does not reveal the usual stages of development. Possibly Nature in Downland (1900), Hampshire Days (1903), Green Mansions, A Romance of the Tropical Forest (1904), A Shepherd's Life (1910), A Traveller in Little Things (1921) are the most satisfying Even these are not likely ever to be appreciated by a wide public because Hudson lacks human sympathy. He claimed to be an observer of all life from Cabinet Ministers to the prismatic hues of insects, but he is rarely interested in men except as animals, or as those concerned with animals, such as shepherds or bird-catchers. In fact, till close on death, he was better known as an ornithologist and advocate of bird-sanctuaries than as a prose poet who sought full scope for the human spirit in scientific observation.

ROBERT BONTINE CUNNINGHAME GRAHAM (1852-1936)

Resembled Hudson in that he was an intense individualist, prizing self-expression above the sale of books. But he had too many other interests, including socialism, politics (1886–92), and the love of adventure which lured him into all sorts of dangers over the sea and the desert; in Africa, South America, and Spain. He seems to have written only when the mood was on him. biographies of memorable men of action who had been forgotten, some vivid travel-

books, and unforgettable tales, or rather studies, charged with irony, and sometimes burning with indignation. Thurteen Stories (1900) and Scottish Stories (1914) are his most characteristic Much of his best work has been reissued by E Garnett in Thirty Tales and Sketches (1929). Had he devoted himself wholeheartedly to literature, his sincerity and pungent rhetoric—above all, his sympathy with reckless, thwarted characters—would have gained for him something more than the title of 'hidalgo'.

SIR HENRY JOHN NEWBOLT (1862-1938)

He had much in common with Cunninghame, Hudson and Doughty, but Fate gave him a different part to play He went to a public school (Clifton) and the university (Corpus, Oxford), and, thanks to a peculiar trait in his character, became one of the very few writers who caught and interpreted their spirit. But that spirit is essentially a call to action—to public services, loyalties to mankind, and the expenditure of personal influence. So much of his energy was not dissipated, but diffused among social and political activities. The average reader remembers him chiefly as the author of much spirited and patriotic verse, or as an anthologist, popularizer, and commentator of English literature, notably with A New Study of

English Poetry (1917) and New Paths on Helicon (1927).

Yet there was more in Newbolt The traditions of England had sunk so deep into his spirit that he could dream of dead personalities as still alive, the Past separated from the Present only by an illusion 'At one time we see them and hear them, at another they are invisible and inaudible', like figures on a tapestry, unconscious of each other's presence; not really separated, since collectively they make up the Absolute, the anima mundi; a spiritual contiguity of which we can become aware through love. That being so, the Real Universe (as his friend the metaphysician I. E. McTaggart also believed) must consist of persons, ancient and modern, and their interrelationships; and a human being, who happened to be alive, should nevertheless be able to change his point of time and associate with characters and communities supposedly vanished. All men and women are contemporaries, since eternity is an instant. Such were the sanctions for Newbolt's patriotism, and he would as soon have killed a man as change the traditional name of a place. This conviction of contemporaneousness is implicit in his best verse, though he lacked the technical skill to give it expression. He tried twice to put it into prose, and thereby, like Wells in The Time Machine, enlarged the scope of the novel, the second pioneer in an interesting interwar development 2 But, though The Old Country (1906) enjoyed an unmistakable success, and The New June (1909) a warm but more qualified reception, neither romance has the power to convince

¹ Post, pp. 105 ff. ² Ante, p 26, post, p. 143.

The dream comes nearest to effective expression in his memoirs, which also contain delightful examples of how a letter should be written, besides a fascinating record of social and political contacts and of the relaxations of busy men.

¹ My World as in my Time, 1932, The Later Life and Letters of Sir Henry Newbolt, edited by Margaret Newbolt, 1942

CHAPTER IV

POETS WHO TRIED TO POUR NEW WINE INTO OLD BOTTLES

IT is characteristic of this age that many writers were bilingual in the sense that they expressed themselves equally in verse and prose; and amongst them some of the most progressive and adventurous. They seemed to be so full of ideas that they could hardly decide on the best way of presentment. At the same time there were yet others who had no hesitation about the choice, and trusted to verseforms to open a passage to thought. They relied on the nineteenth-century tradition, reserving, of course, the right to eschew sententiousness, verbosity, and imperialism. One cannot add that they found 'the fair guerdon' and 'burst out into sudden blaze'—not in the setting they chose

THOMAS HARDY (1840-1928)

He ceased writing prose before Victoria died, and it is no reflection on his skilful construction, technically effective characterization, and unforgettable tones and tints, to say that his novels are Victorian

in spirit as well as in period.

Meanwhile he had been composing verses since the 'sixties, and not infrequently borrowed scenes and situations from this source to enliven his novels. He found no publisher for the poems till 1898, but thereafter produced no less than ten volumes containing in all 850 pieces. These effusions belong equally to the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. On the one hand there is the old-fashioned sense of form. His best poems are classic in their condensation, slimmed down to the neatest and most epigrammatic expressions, purged even of legitimate ornamentation; the poet's sensibility symbolized or dramatized in a situation. He experiments in rhythms and measures, not always successfully, but always seeking the right music to suggest his mood. He considered 'A Trampwoman's Tragedy'—Time's Laughing-stocks (1909)—to be his best.

On the other hand, his mood is not that of the nineteenth century. Hardy has ideas—the sense of justice, of mortality, of poetic and scientific truth, of humanity sensitized by misfortune—and he applies them to life', but not (to quote Matthew Arnold's dictum) so as to 'form, sustain and delight us'. As if in reaction from Arnold's morality, he takes a rather perverse pleasure in dwelling on man's helplessness, his self-inflicted misery, and the irony of his fate. Nor do his meditations suggest an outlet in action. He has the very modern conviction that our being is rooted in pity and

POETS 77

revolt, not, as a Victorian would hope, in the effort to live well. Poetry, then, should also spring from these same roots, not transforming, much less hiding its dark origin, but taking the artist's only revenge: an escape through the fascination of words; satire

transferred from personalities to circumstance

In this novel and sardonic atmosphere Hardy's achievement is considerable. He might easily have relapsed into the self-importance of the decadents, harping on his own disappointments, as did Edward Dowson But he did not keep his pity for himself Occasionally his wry humour betrays him into sheer paradox and 'crooked thoughts'. Sometimes, again, one suspects that he is only playing with a conceit, fixing a passing fancy for the sake of effect, as in 'The Strange House'—Late Lyrics and Earlier (1922). But at his best, he succeeds in depersonalizing the sorrow of life, merely posing a situation, and leaving the reader to supply the comment, as in 'Unrealized' —Pieces Occasional and Various (1909) and 'Ah, are you digging on my grave?'—Lyrics and Reveries (1914). In these and other such moments reticence is more suggestive than Similarly 'An August Midnight'—Miscellaneous Poems (1902)—actually says no more than any amateur naturalist might say Yet the style and turn of phrase forecast a second rebirth of wonder arising from common observation

Hardy certainly believed that the best way to know things was to experience their meaning, and therefore cultivated an awareness so intense and single-minded that every impression could be crystallized for its own sake in poetic forms, purged of poetic formulas. But he lacked the enthusiasm and constructive power to deduce a philosophy The attitude sketched in 'Hap'—Wessex Poems (1898)—and in 'The Rambler'—Pieces Occasional and Various

(1908)—remained with him to the end.

The Dynasts (1903-6-8) is no exception The theory of unanimism is a mere scaffolding, detailed with far-fetched phantasmagorias, bird's-eye views of battles, together with dramatically appropriate episodes and duologues—an effort at artistic realization, admirably sustained and documented, but in the nineteenth-century manner. The poet is again most himself when he forgets history and remembers his touches of human nature.

ALFRED EDWARD HOUSMAN (1859-1936)

The influence of atmosphere must indeed be strong, for Hardy and Housman have much in common, though no two authors could have lived more different lives. The London Latinist was already known in university circles for his emendations of classical texts, his acrid criticisms of fellow scholars, and his insight into the spirit of Latin poetry. Housman, however, needed something more than an academic reputation; he yearned for eminence; and once, while experimenting in English verse as a relaxation, he discovered

in his compositions a quality which might win fame. Such was the 'continuous excitement', under which A Shropshire Lad (1896) was rapidly created He might well be excited. Like Hardy, he was possessed by the anti-Victorian mood, depressed by the disappointments of life, the presence of death, the complications bf sex, the futility of our pursuits, the transience of nature's charm. He was well versed in the decadent attitude, a contributor to The Yellow Book, yet, again like Hardy, he was too original an artist to be contented with the cult of self-pity. Much as Theocritus and Virgil had made their own rather commonplace sentiments sound new in the mouths of shepherds and tillers, so Housman relieved his spirit through a parody of pastoralism. He chose Shropshire (for no apparent reason), and wandered through the county, whether on his feet or in his imagination, seeking parables in which to externalize his melancholy. As a result, his 'lad' enjoys neither the modern literary consolations of contact with nature, nor feels the unliterary primitive companionship of the seasons and the soil He has a heart restless with cultured discontent, like a young man bred on the land and unsettled by a board-school education—one who knows that he is worth little, and will never be worth more. who expects to die early having never lived, who foresees his sweetheart in the embraces of his best friend, who knows the inside of the county gaol, who is bored at Ludlow fair, who is bored with everything except football and beer.

The reader would also soon have been bored, except for the polished workmanship of the verses, especially the economy of effect, and the colloquial commonplaces, as unrhetorical as conversation. Housman cultivates the simplest prosody, modelled on the Border Ballads, Shakespeare's songs, and Heine's *Lieder*, though the classical student will frequently be reminded of Martial's epigrammatic neatness of thought. Contemporary critics rightly admired his escape from sentimental luxuriance, his lucidity, his unerring instinct for the essential and suggestive. During the Edwardian era he became more influential than Hardy, because he imposed his melancholy on such unmanageable material, re-experiencing and redefining his mood again and again, and not attempting to impair the artistic effect with thoughts on God or destiny. If an idea transpires, it emanates from the still-birth not the re-birth of wonder So the reader is left free to contemplate and absorb the expression of an acrid, stoic, uncompromising personality. Last Poems (1922) still play on the old mood, ringing changes, with surprising variety, on the ever-recurring note; except for the Epitaph on a Mercenary Army, which will live as a triumph in intensity

through understatement.

POETS 79

WILFRED WILSON GIBSON (1878-

His best poem is his life, from which he learnt to understand the poor and therefore unconsciously heroic, as have few others. As a collaborator with Abercrombie and Rupert Brooke in New Numbers, and through the sheer bulk and sustained continuity of his publications, he has become a recognized figure in Georgian poetry, but his performance lacks the touch of genius, and his style is too reminiscent of Wordsworth, Tennyson, and Rossetti Despite his long life and conscientious labour, he has not improved on Stonefolds (1907), Daily Bread (1910), Fires (1912), but sincerity and single-heartedness shine through all he wrote

WILLIAM HENRY DAVIES (1871-1940)

Until thirty years old, our poet was a vagrant, hobo-ing in the States and dossing in the slums of London, if we are to believe The Soul's Destroyer and other Poems (1904) and The Autobiography of a Super Tramp (1906). Both volumes attracted attention, and discerning critics recognized that this half-educated wanderer had somewhere and somehow acquired a sensitive awareness of outward things, including his fellow paupers, together with insight into his own moods. Admirers have traced the influence of Campion and of Wordsworth on his style, but its directness and simplicity are his own, the result of concentrating his whole being on what he sees. So he seldom penetrates beyond aspects which he within reach of the senses; he does not reveal the hiddenness of spiritual things. Now and then he flames at some social wrong or muddle, but for the most part the charm of his poetry abides in his child-like vision. His best work can be found in Collected Poems 1916 and Collected Poems 1928.

EDWARD THOMAS (1878-1917)

He made his living as an hack-writer, publishing very little verse (under the name of Easterway) till the year of his death in France. His Collected Poems did not appear till 1922. In a sense he belongs to no school, for he appears to be quite unconscious of Victorian technique, and at the same time equally unconscious of the experiments being made around him. He wrote in running verses, innocent of emphasis, beat, or rhythm, recording his daily experiences, nearly always in the country; yet suggesting the charm of England with unusual insight, as if he could not help doing so.

JAMES ELROY FLECKER (1884-1915)

If Thomas knew too little about his art, Flecker knew too much. He was academic to the backbone, and retained through all his career as a foreign consul and a poet the passion for traditional culture. Such being his discipleship, he was zealous to hand on the torch brighter and clearer for his transmission, and like Hardy and Housman, aimed at simplicity of outline and an economy of words. The great masters needed trimming. Being a doctrinaire, that is, more in love with authority than adventure, he believed that his generation needed a definite theory on which to work, so he fixed on the principles of the so-called French Parnassians.

There was once in Paris a group of young poets (mostly born in the 'forties) and more accurately termed 'les epigones', which became loosely known as 'les Parnassiens', because their publisher, Lemerre, established his house in 1866 under the title Parnasse Contemporain. This group cultivated the style and attitude of Baudelaire, Gautier, de Lisle, and Banville (the Tetrarchs). They tried to write as if no experience was worth recording for its own sake, but only as a means to artistic expression Ideas were dreams unless the poet could merge his egoism in his technique. He must take his craft, but not himself, seriously. The Parnassians did not believe that a poet should be raised above himself by inspiration, and feel more than he could see and express through some clear-cut object.

It is doubtful whether Flecker understood the Parnassians. His favourite poets—de Regnier, Moréas, Samain—were not pure Parnassian. But he was well advised to cultivate the Parnassian discipline. There was a streak of southern gorgeousness in his imagination; a love of colours, precious metals, majestic and radiant perspectives; and this luxuriance was not discouraged by his Eastern experiences in the consular service. So he needed some authoritative and restraining influence. As it was, he went some way towards reforming English poetry, especially his own. By 1910, in *The Golden Journey to Samarkand*, he had achieved mastery of his technique—clarity, compactness, haunting rhythms,

and the perception of beauty as in an entaglio.

It remained to discover the deeper, more universal implications to be revealed in experience. But though he unlearned the love of himself he had not time to learn the love of humanity. It is significant that some of his most accomplished pieces are translations. Of the others, Hassan (completed 1913, performed 1923) reveals a promising sense of the stage, a remarkable skill in the contrivance of episodes, and the unmistakable intention to symbolize a lifephilosophy within the limit of an Arabian night's entertainment. The climax of the drama is the power of love, which compels poor Hassan to behave like a fool, and condemns Pervaneh and Rafi to a cruel death. The dramatic production was an immediate success, but short lived. Theatre-goers soon felt that the symbolism obscured the thought. The taste of the theatre demanded realism, and realistic scenery confines the imagination to the footlights. In any case the drama's fatalism, hard as polished steel, boded ill for his future development. But, then, Flecker died at the age of thirty-one.

POETS 81

THOMAS STURGE MOORE (1870-1944)

This man of many accomplishments—a poet, artist, essayist, and aesthete—ploughed a lonely furrow within the limits of tradition. Arnold, Rossetti, Swinburne, and Hebbel have been suggested as influences, because his imagination was opulent and romantic, and his thought was austere, sometimes profound. Whatever the impulse, he has produced poetic dramas with Hellenic or Biblical backgrounds, unsuited to the stage, but a joy to the dramatic imagination—for instance, Tragic Mothers (1920); and much poetry which is always impressive except when too crowded with ideas, or too uncompromisingly sincere to be self-critical. A Sicilian Idyll (1911) and The Unknown Known (1939) are perhaps his best volumes, but his work is often difficult to read. A critic has called him 'a knotty poet' and another has spoken of 'the stubborn severity of his outlines'. His name deserves to live because he has searched so far and wide for the material which should best serve a single purpose—the quest of beauty—and because he not seldom attained to it. To some extent he has explained his theory of art in Armour for Aphrodité (1929).

GORDON BOTTOMLEY (1874-

Few traditionalists have made a more interesting attempt to put new wine into old bottles so that both are preserved. He has written many volumes of verse, especially *Chambers of Imagery* (1907), but will be chiefly remembered because he was a dramatist, who aimed at renovating the old tragic spirit and settings in the cold, clear light of modern realism. He drew from many sources, including ancient Greek, Celtic, and Germanic, possibly not uninfluenced by Yeats, but his most memorable adventures were pitched in the world of Shakespeare. In *King Lear's Wife* (1915) and *Gruach* (1921) he recalls to life the fond, impetuous King of Britain, and the ambitious, passionate murderer of Duncan, but while they were still young men, unconscious of the seeds of disaster within their souls.

It was a fascinating experiment. Unlike Shaw in Caesar and Cleopatra or Drinkwater in The Lady of Belmont, he does not misrepresent their personalities in favour of modern humanism. He realizes that Shakespearean character is destiny, and displays no little insight into psychology, and cleverness in the contrivance of events. But in order to revive the bracing intensity of the old art—its crude realism and reckless passions—he resorts to the kind of horrors which were nearly as artificial then as now. Instead of tragedy we have the 'tragedy of blood', a literary interest doing duty for inspiration.

RUPERT BROOKE (1887-1915)

The Fellow of King's College, Cambridge, is a complete contrast, being the last poet of Victorian prosperity expressed with neo-Georgian freshness. From boyhood at Rugby he had been a social, athletic, and intellectual success, the centre of admiring and devoted friends. After graduation he travelled widely, and in 1911 settled at Grantchester, near enough to play a part in the publishing of contemporary verse and the revival of student dramas at Cambridge. Such was life as he knew it.

In this tranquil atmosphere he composed verses conspicuous for their clearness, simplicity, good taste, humour, and a verbal felicity which is often unmistakably individual. Furthermore, they have a high moral tone, the sense of what he called 'goodness', the disposition to appreciate 'the extraordinary value and importance' of everybody and everything he met. In fact, if Brooke is the representative of pre-war culture, his experiences will show the post-war generation how select and generous that culture used to be.

On the other hand, his experiences may also show that this atmosphere does not hold the secret of modern poetry. His range is astonishingly restricted. Here and there the reader notes some touch of mysticism, a sense of the vivid strangeness lurking in the commonplace, but for the most part he is content with creature comforts and homely unfailing pleasures, such as would satisfy any grown-up child with the heart of a poet. We have seen that Hardy and Housman had lost the taste for these simple joys, because they could not replace some more spiritual comfort which was lost. One imagines that Gibson, Davies, Thomas, or Flecker had resigned themselves to the consolations of nature and craftsmanship for the same reason. But Brooke has culture, and wants nothing more. He is no scholar gipsy. For instance, his thoughts on love, death, beauty, or sadness are mere commonplaces, untouched by vision or even restlessness, only enlivened by his graceful style. Witness 'The Great Lover', perhaps his best poem, or 'The Funeral of Youth'.

As he died from blood-poisoning on active service, at the age of twenty-eight, we shall never know how he would have developed, if at all. His slim volumes represent Georgian poetry in its resignation to little things.

LASCELLES ABERCROMBIE (1881-1938)

Brooke was born with a silver spoon in his mouth, while Fate offered Abercrombie only the prospect of a business career. He preferred the precarious independence of poverty, and after a year's journalism at Liverpool, retired into the depths of the country, resolved to live on poetry such as only cultivated people can appreciate. Quite early in his career he outgrew the ego-centricities

POETS 83

of youth, and began to pierce the surface of life in search of other people's tragedies and aspirations. It may have been for this reason that he admired Hardy so intensely.

As a poet, however, he betrays a certain kinship with Tennyson and Browning in choice and treatment of theme; and here and there one notices touches of the mysticism congenial to Meredith Above all, he loved the vividness, splendour, and impetuosity of the seventeenth century, especially Shakespeare and the metaphysical school, and the eloquence and insight with which the poets dramatized the passions of their age, even 'the grand emotional impulse driving all existence'. It became the wish of his heart to write poetic drama and monologue worthy of their spirit.

Almost at once he found his style. It consisted, for the most part, in following the traditional verse forms, but not the traditional rhythms and phraseology. He ventured upon daring and sometimes sinister imagery, and upon exquisite variations in melody and musical emphasis. Without such innovations, he could not have expressed himself, nor the modern consciousness to which he appealed, nor, indeed, the kind of half-scientific mysticism implied in, for instance, 'A Fear' and 'Ceremonial Ode' (Interludes and Poems, 1908). So he became modern as well as academic, sometimes cultivating the dreamy, antiquarian symbolism of Yeats's early period, sometimes the crude, coarse-mouthed phraseology of

Masefield, or the aesthetic impressionism of Bridges.

Had he fully succeeded in fusing the old and the new, Abercrombie would have achieved more than any poet of his age But he was too completely dominated by the passionate urgency of his seventeenthcentury models, and tried to create conflicts as deep as theirs. He searched far and wide for subjects which might give his imagination scope, and found what he wanted sometimes in classical mythology, sometimes in medieval lore, sometimes in the lonely lives and selfcommunings of his fellow-craftsmen, and yet again sometimes among the obscure tragedies and comedies of the underworld. In every situation, we are invited to sympathize with the cravings of the infinite soul imprisoned and individualized among the instincts of each healthy human body—art (he would say) thrives on the undecided tension between the physical and the metaphysical—and only death can resolve the tangle. Such themes obviously lend themselves to dramatic representation, and Abercrombie has achieved some notable exercises in Blind (1908), Deborah (1913), and The Sale of Saint Thomas (part 1911, complete 1930), thus following the best traditions of the Renaissance and at the same time symbolizing his own emotional experience. Would that he had attempted no more; but he became so oppressed by our spiritual and carnal entanglements, that he writes as if he were himself a tortured soul caught in a trap. There is something overwrought in these conflicts. Through his devotion to the great masters, he cultivated their inspiration without acquiring their spontaneity; or, in Gibbon's

84 ENGLISH LITERATURE IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

phrase, 'he expressed the enthusiasm of poetry, rather than the

feelings of nature "

Whether he was beginning to despair of perfection, or of public recognition, he gradually relinquished creative work after 1914, and took to criticism. The poet who in 1912 suggested that for sheer impressiveness of personality Christopher Sly is on a level with a university professor, eventually became one himself. As such he has made some spirited contributions to poetic theory and technique. An Essay towards a Theory of Art (1922), The Idea of Great Poetry (1925), and Romanticism (1926) are his best

1 'The Function of Poetry in the Drama', The Poetry Review, 1912

CHAPTER V

NOVELISTS WHO HELD BACK AND DRAMATISTS WHO PRESSED FORWARD

THE novel was by now firmly established as the dominant literary type. But it had not, with very few exceptions, made the most of its opportunities. It had worked its way into the centre of civilization, but not into the heart of culture. At least, such was the defect of the best-known and most-admired authors They dwelt on the things before their eyes, as if afraid or ignorant of what they could not see. They left untouched the intimations, intuitions, and intellectual refinements without which the most cultured minds do not fully possess themselves. De la Mare may seem to be a brilliant exception, but his speculations in Memoirs of a Midget, and even in The Return, are confined to two narrow middle-class circles. Kipling was manifestly restive within the limitations, and so was Conrad, but neither would have made much progress without the actualities of politics, machinery, money affairs, or navigation. The others seemed satisfied that experience held no secrets beyond the range of their workmanship."

It was easier, and more profitable, to study man as a social animal trying or failing to adjust himself to the claims of the family or the community. This theme gave ample scope as long as the public believed that society spelt progress. But the age was already disillusioned. In these Isles money, morals and manners were beginning to thwart character ¹ After the war novelists were to seek a more spacious hunting-ground, ² but, for the moment, even the most adventurous hardly dared to transgress the accustomed limits. But a few were already insisting on a spiritual secret to be appreciated behind the veil of routine, and their names must be noted because some of them may outlive their more conspicuous contemporaries.

HENRY JAMES (1843-1916)

At first sight, this American Londoner might seem out of place in any survey of twentieth-century literature. He was born in New York, and in 1875 migrated to Europe, avowedly to live by literature. He first tried Paris, but within a year settled in our capital because at that time it seemed to realize the cultured American's dream of upper-class refinement and gentlemanly leisure. In this environment he set himself to perfect the novel of conversation; that is, to create problems or situations which involve the essential realities of life, but can be developed through a series of dialogues and

Ante, pp. 3-5, post, pp. 91, 137, 149. 2 Post, pp 149 ff.

contacts on a background of West-End politeness and easy circumstances. His first masterpiece was The Portrait of a Lady (1881). Then, after several lapses and many experiments, he again made his mark with The Tragic Muse (1890) His insight into society becomes more sombre and searching with The Spoils of Poynton and What Maisy Knew, both in 1897; and he began to realize his final manner It depicts a 'set' of attractive and idle-rich Londoners who enjoy nothing so much as detecting one another's hidden thoughts and secret impulses, beneath the flow of drivial if vivacious conversation. He struck more deeply into the drama of life in his last and accredited masterpieces, The Wings of the Dove (1902), The Ambassadors (1903), The Golden Bowl (1904). But though he now perceived and portrayed the vices which underlay the polished surface, he still kept to the refinements sanctioned by three centuries of culture and conventionality-contacts without conflicts. He did not allow himself to plunge his characters into some broad field of action, nor even to investigate individuality among the unsatisfied aspirations of the age of restlessness. Moreover, the types which played their part in his social comedy were about to make their exits

The insistence on a static society with its expensive toys and pastimes discouraged the general reader. So James might have been dismissed as irredeemably Victorian, in tone as well as in theme, except for the enthusiasts. These more careful readers of his own and of our age noticed that his apparently trivial and purposeless episodes nevertheless lingered in the memory, linked to the progress of the narrative; in fact each novel with its drawing-room scenes was methodically organizing a personality, concentrating the light on a central figure which finally emerged as a human quality, distilled drop by drop This quality was a revelation to twentiethcentury students of the novel. It suggested a human being's individual sensitiveness to right and wrong, a consciousness of human dignity sanctioned by self-respect, and James was demonstrating how such a vision of the 'finer decencies', peculiar to the personage and his circumstances, could gradually be woven into the texture of latter-day civilization. Such an adaptation could not be worked through passion, sentiment, impulse, instinct, or the pressure of social conditions. Yet it sprang from a motive: the exercise of intelligence, first on the part of the character—that is to say, of the author—and then necessarily of the reader. James was recreating his own impression of life through the sensibilities of a character, , bent on realizing his inner self, amid people and things outwardly favourable to the cult of integrity.

It mattered little that these moral and spiritual problems were out of fashion; the treatment opened a new possibility to the novel. The artist had first to originate a dilemma which put someone's will-power to the test. It must involve the qualities which are the crown of European culture. The motive must pervade the structure

of the novel, adjusting each line and contour to its development, preserving verisimilitude, eliminating every unnecessary circumstance, and producing an atmosphere of inward excitement. James himself declared that he had achieved a new aspect of beauty; it was more like a new aspect of human experience, social and psychological—a susceptibility to the more intimate values, such as poets allude to and philosophers infer, but no one else had first analysed and then translated into continuous lines of conduct.

Unfortunately James's intricate and baffling style, his insistence on composition at the expense of representation, his process of 'saturation', make no small demand on the patience and sympathy of the reader, unless he is teaching himself how to write novels.

GEORGE MOORE (1852-1933)

This Irishman, educated in a well-to-do but unprogressive family, went off to Paris, at the age of eighteen, to study art. He fell under the influence of the realistic painters, especially Gaugin, Cézanne, Van Gogh, Picasso, and Matisse, and soon transferred their principles to the business of writing novels. Lest he should lose command of his own language, he migrated to London, resolved to introduce the new technique into English circles and figure as its most eminent exponent. Between 1883 and 1890 he produced seven novels, of which A Munimer's Wife (1885) is remarkable for its skilfully connected sequence of ignoble episodes, and Esther Waters (1894), even better documented, adds a note of unheroic heroism which ensured popularity.

These achievements in Victorian realism were a good beginning. Besides, Moore seemed able to give life and truth to events beyond the range of his own subjective self. But by the time he had published Evelyn Innes (1898) and Sister Teresa (1901; rewritten 1909), critics began to wonder whether his development would fulfil its promise. Superficially, both books are influenced by Balzac; in reality they are even more influenced by the author's craving to express his whole unexpurgated personality. He had yielded to the neo-realist's persuasion that truth to life must involve truth to one's lower nature. Moore had forgotten that he was irreclaimably an artist, not, like Zola (who influenced his early work), a social naturalist; much less an introvert, like D. H. Lawrence. It was his function to express the sense of rhythm, composition, colour, fitness, and human dignity in print, as some others do in paint.

This specialization begins to be noticeable in *The Lake* (1905). even more in *Memoirs of My Dead Life* (1906) and *Hail and Farewell* (1911–12–14). Such reminiscences, so apparently callous and self-centred, are really an escape from self. He was learning how to objectify his past experiences, however unedifying, so as to express his incomparable sense of style, serene and olympic, indifferent to

every consideration, except the arts of presentment.

He nearly arrived at complete detachment in The Brook Kerith (1916), Héloise and Abelard (1921), and Aphrodite in Aulis (1930). Just as Peter Paul Veronese used the 'Marriage Feast of Cana in Galilee' in order to express his genius for grouping, colour, calm, and masculine perfection, so Moore used a biblical, a medieval, and a Periclean theme in order to express three narrative fugues, presenting his idea of how certain personalities (not types) ought to have lived down their past, and thus fulfilled themselves. He chose periods in which humanity was not yet complicated by modern civilization, and could be represented as near to primitive habits of mind. Even these masterpieces fall short of perfection because they are tainted with disillusionment, sadism, and sensual curiosity. Yet he spiritualized the novel in so far as he obeyed nature to rule her; or, in his own words, 'art is a rethinking of life from end to end'. The unobtrusive skill by which he produced these effects can only be felt, not described. He might almost be said to live in the failure of his imitators

ARTHUR MACHEN (1863-

The author of The Great God Pan (1894), Hieroglyphics (1902), The Hill of Dreams (1907), and The London Adventure (1924) should be read in order to appreciate George Moore. Machen was a voluminous writer; he once worked in a publishing house and on The London Evening News; he was a student of metropolitan life, but was rarely able to see it with the eyes of his contemporaries. There was some ghostly presence at the bottom of human nature such as materialists could not see, and which he groped for and tried to symbolize. Some others felt as he did, and at one time he became the centre of a cult. But not for long. Machen's intuitions do not give us the feeling that human nature is, or could be, subject to the primitive supernatural. His style lacks the mystic touch. He could only conjure with the pseudo-mysticism of demonology, magic, and occultism. His romances do not imply certainty, but the escape from certitude.

ALGERNON BLACKWOOD (1869-

The son of Sir Arthur Blackwood, K C.B., gentleman-usher to Queen Victoria, and educated at Wellington College and Edinburgh, began his career by losing touch with the social and professional activities for which his birth and training had prepared him, and ended by losing touch with the culture of his age. Like so many of the next generation, he was possessed by 'the roving spirit'. He set out to find himself in America, and learnt how to fail as a rancher, a hotel-keeper, and a reporter on the New York Sun and the New York Times. In these ventures he experienced all there is to know about the struggle for life—its obscure virtues and blatant

villames—but earned only the freedom to starve. He has told the story of this apprenticeship in the unforgettable Episodes before

Thirty (1923).

For it was an apprenticeship. Thanks to this life of hardship he acquired an uncanny sense of spiritual contacts; or, it may be, he recaptured some primitive instinct for personalities destined to be linked to his and to create a mutual dependency either for happiness or suffering. To him, these affinities seemed to partake of the spirit of the universe; 'a spell that invades the heart and brain like a drenching sea'. Nor could he cease dreaming about 'the eternal scheme' as revealed in the wonders of creation, especially in the night sky full of stars.

In 1906 he started to live by his pen. He could not resign himself to the routine of current fiction; and he could not keep himself from dabbling in nearly every kind of fictional eccentricity. But he failed to make his mark except when he concentrated his visions and intimations on the metaphysics of reincarnation and personal immortality, as in The Wave (1916), The Garden of Survival (1918), The Wolves of God (1921) His spiritualism is quite different from the virtuosity of Le Fanu's Green Tea or Montagu James's Ghost Stories of an Antiquary Blackwood feels in his bones that we now know too much to content ourselves with modern positivism, and he harks back to the whispers and intuitions of ancient wisdom. It is easy to see that his imagination had roamed so far into the heart of things that he often lost his bearings. He can communicate the artist's sense of scenery, especially that of Cornwall, Devonshire, and the Lake District. Yet the historian should note that he was less than a generation ahead of his time; others were to roam in the same direction.

SIR HUGH SEYMOUR WALPOLE (1884–1941)

This name recalls a long and imposing list of novels, once deservedly popular. The author knows how to manage a progressive plot, full of interesting and sometimes surprising situations; his characters are so clear-cut that the reader can feel their presence. Moreover, he continues the authentic tradition. Hawthorne, Thackeray and Trollope can be recognized as his models, and some critics have suggested Meredith. His well-sustained narratives are enlivened with touches of humour, and he writes nothing that lacks a moral interest. Yet the lending library will be the end of his fame.

He began well with *The Wooden Horse* (1909), the story of a provincial community, divided between the progressives and obstructionists; *Maradick at Forty* (1910), in which a conventional theme is handled with originality, and realism is blended with romance; *Mr. Perrin and Mr. Traill* (1911), nearly a masterpiece, a school novel from the masters' point of view. Their dreary pros-

pects and the daily friction of their common-room are exposed so vividly that one forgets to criticize the fantastic ferment in Mr. Perrin's breast So with Fortitude (1913) The idea of anarchist outrages organized in a London bookshop hardly recommends itself. But there was a genuinely psychological significance in the character of Peter Wescott, the youth who completed his character by conquering his past. Thus in one way or another Walpole was firmly established in the public consciousness before the outbreak of the Four Years War

Since then his imagination has travelled far and wide, through the Russian Red Cross Service, the sordid respectability and more sordid underworld of Cathedral towns, the social struggle in Mayfair, the sinister machinations of submen, and child life in the nursery. His creative energy culminated in *The Herries Chronicle* (1930–33), a 'saga' such as Galsworthy had rendered fashionable, symbolizing the rise of the modern English spirit, with the refining of its manners

and the softening of its cruelty.

These workmanlike novels are cast in the old mould, but conceived in the new mood. Walpole's heroes are not amiable individualists trying to find their niche in the social scheme. They are, indeed, normal characters, but rendered abnormally sensitive because of the powers of Evil Evil is a convergence of tendencies, each in itself negligible, but combining to produce stagnation, meanness, and stupidity, or any other spiritual or moral perversion which thwarts the men of goodwill. The central character is generally a young man wandering among the impalpable perils of comfortable, middle-class society, floundering in a Slough of Despond, amid scenery which Walpole loves as only a prose-poet can. The result is unconvincing—length and breadth without depth.

Superficiality was inevitable because the novelist was fishing in waters too deep for him. His early manhood was a succession of failures as a lay missionary, a private tutor, and a schoolmaster. Then he turned author, and quickly rose to fame and fortune. Through the long period of prosperity he tried to tell the story of his own unhappiness, externalizing his conflicts, as a craftman should, confronting his characters with some impersonation of the Evil which haunted his imagination. So he conjured up human spectres. As Clemence Dane says, 'He could not find what he sought, so he put something else in its place'. The casual reader thoroughly enjoys bad men and women when contemplated behind the barrier of 60–100,000 words; but the thoughtful reader knows that Evil is an abstraction, only to be suggested to his thoughtfulness

HENRY MAJOR TOMLINSON (1873-)

In general terms, one might say that James, Moore, Blackwood, and Walpole were seeking some intimate and impalpable quality

which influences our lives, but which, as it turns out, can only be sensed and suggested. Meanwhile the cult of direct impressions and contacts continued to thrive. A striking example will be found in the work of Tomlinson, a journalist and war-correspondent, who knew the sea first as a landsman in Poplar, close to the traffic of the Thames. Yet he developed into one of our most effective author-travellers. In The African Coast (1907), recording a Mediterranean voyage, he gives an unforgettable impression of the force and confusion of a storm. His masterpiece is The Sea and the Jungle (1912), the story of a voyage up the Amazon and the Madeira, fired with 'the force of a personality formed but not yet too mature to feel wonder and to respond to it '. 1 Tidemarks (1924), the journey to Singapore and through Indonesia, is more finished and pictorial, but the wild sea and wilder land no longer wake the almost boyish delight in romance and exploration. The war had already left its mark on his mind; and henceforth he grows over-careful to note? the encroachments of our mechanical civilization, with its vulgarity and platitudes, and more and more to regret the effacement of oldworld habits and adventurous personalities. In Gifts of Fortune (1926) he returns to memories of his seafaring life and of the great travel-books which have pervaded his imagination, and meditates on man's spirit confronting the Ocean. But he cannot for long help thinking of the disintegration of society, the tyranny of privilege, and the abuses which call for reform, or at least for protest, as in The Wind is Rising (1941).

Dickens (especially in American Notes), Thoreau, and, of course, Conrad and Melville are the masters who inspired him But it is significant that he cannot escape the gloom of the Interwar Period. It is more significant that he joins or anticipates those authors ²—for instance, Stevenson, Cunninghame Graham, E. M. Forster, D. H. Lawrence, Somerset Maugham—who seem to write most freely

and imaginatively when they have escaped from England.

LITERATURE AND THE THEATRES

The drama depends on so many conditions outside the world of books that its progress may have nothing to do with the progress of literature. Such, however, was not the case at the dawn of the twentieth century.

Its decadence since 1777 was largely due to circumstances. London was becoming every year more densely populated, not with leisured and thoughtful people, but with business men jaded in office routine, and women jaded in family routine. Whereas two or three theatres were all that the eighteenth-century metropolis could claim, the Theatre Regulation Act in 1843 (legalizing all play-houses) multiplied that number ten times over by 1900; since ground rents increased as fast as the population, these resorts had

¹ E. C. Bolles, The Literature of Sea Travel, 1943. ² Post, p. 149

to attract large audiences and rely on long runs As the fashionable dinner hour was postponed to the end of the day, performances became a night entertainment, and the evening is the time for relaxation.

So the English drama had degenerated into a many-sided industry of which the actor-manager was the centre. The business of the playwright was to keep himself out of the picture—to contrive situations, to project character-types, to invent phrases with which the leading performers could conjure. He wrote for the actor, not the audience. Hence the success of pieces, otherwise so different, as The Bells and Charley's Aunt, Trilby and The Importance of Being Earnest.

Even so, the nineteenth-century theatre was far from negligible. It produced a generation of expert and resourceful dramatists. thoroughly experienced in the technique of their craft, and two generations of magnetic actors and actresses, who were sufficiently masters of their art to make you believe in the unbelievable, at least while they were on the boards. The performance might be enlivened by romance or ridicule, and was not devoid of ideas, but in any case, both actors and authors left you with the impression that the scheme of life behind the show was good enough for the best The audience need not be perplexed with the dread of social change—not in the theatre.

This standard of entertainment suited the middle-class majority. but not the unclassed minority, which had no interest in society as it is, nor in the stock Victorian types—women with a past, professional men with a present, youths and maidens with a future, stage Irishmen, and comic clergymen. The Unclassed wanted dramatists to write for the audience rather than for the actor, to tell them about the changes creeping over the world, and about the obscure folks, hidden in the nooks and corners of society, who had not even heard of the Leicester Lounge, the Albany or the Beef Steak Club. They wanted the spirit of literature to be made flesh

behind the footlights.

THE NEW THEATRE

The movement is supposed to have begun with the introduction of Ibsen to the British public. The Norwegian was certainly influential; we have already seen how Shaw welcomed that influence; 1 so did Gosse, William Archer, Pinero, and H. A. Jones. Janet Achurch, Herbert Waring, and Tree brilliantly interpreted some of his parts. But we have also seen that Shaw valued him as a whetstone on which to sharpen his own blade, and so did the others. Ibsen was accepted as a fellow-worker who knew how to make a drama as searching as a French and Russian novel combined. If he was ahead of England, it was because, in his own country, he had

¹ Ante, p. 34.

both actors and audiences adapted to his method. We must do likewise.

So between 1891 and 1910 societies and repertory companies were formed all over the country; and various managements rented London houses every year for a 'season' with short runs, generally in cycles. These ventures aimed at the simplification which denotes good literature. Henry James, the conscientious artist, had written by 1907, 'No character in a play (any play not a mere monologue) has, for the right expression of the thing, a usurping consciousness; the consciousness of others is exhibited in exactly the same way as that of the "hero". Such was to be the artistic ideal of the new theatre. Ideas were to be more important than 'idols'; the cast were to serve the play rather than their own artistic personalities; the public were to think more of the plot than of the scenery. They could not do so, unless the presentment also was untheatrical. It should follow the apparent inconsequence of real life, each episode beginning and ending as if by accident, and the characters attracting attention by their conversation as much as by their presence. So the 'curtain' and the 'aside' were always, and the monologue was frequently, abolished.

We have already seen that Shaw and Galsworthy made full use of the new tendencies and enlarged their scope. So did Yeats, whose The Land of Heart's Desire was produced in London in 1894 and The Countess Cathleen in Dublin in 1800. But he did better service as a leader of the Irish National Theatre Society. This movement affected the English drama, for the most part, by showing how French naturalism and Ibsenite thoughtfulness could be ex-, pressed in local Irish colour and character; for instance, W Boyle's The Mineral Workers, T C. Murray's Birthright, and especially Lady Gregory's neat little sketches and quaint dramatized anecdotes -each and all isolating some primitive human trait elsewhere perverted by civilization. John Millington Synge had something more; to show. After he ceased brooding on Parisian modernism and went back to the Aran Isles to study not literary defects but tinkers, topers, farmers, fishermen, beggars, and innkeepers, he taught his contemporaries that you can find material for the liveliest comedies and the darkest tragedies, among folk who had altogether escaped modern civilization. You could faithfully portray the primitive human being, without the primitive grossness. Above all, you could dramatize in the simplest outlines the immemorial pathos of man always missing the happiness he always dreams to be just within reach.

The importance of the Irish movement can easily be overrated. Its range was hopelessly limited. On the other hand, it demonstrated that a door is never locked till you have tried the handle. And lest any critic should object that its choice of characters gave little opportunity for the best acting, Sarah Allgood, J. M. Kerrigan, Arthur Sinclair, and Fred O'Donovan, in their London seasons,

⁴ Pref. to The Tragic Muse (N.Y. Ed. of Works).

proved that the most talented could rely for their effects on the cadences and imagery of the spoken lines, and merge their personal identity in the interpretation of their parts. Moreover, their local colour was an encouragement. Dramatists awoke to the freedom as well as the artistry which novelists enjoyed. Why should not playwrights capture the spirit of the age where and how they chose to find it—like the Irish players?

There was even the prospect of developing the deeper and higher truths of poetic drama It will be remembered that not only Yeats. but Bridges, Masefield, Bottomley, Sturge-Moore, George Moore, Flecker. Abercrombie, and even Chesterton (though only in his prose fantasy Magic), created plays more or less designed for the theatre, and also for the lovers of drama in the grand style. Shakespeare was, of course, at the back of their minds. It was argued that the lavishly realistic productions of Tree, Irving, Forbes Robertson, Hackett, and in a lesser degree of Benson and Ben Greet, all distracted the attention of the audience, dwarfed the actors, and failed to create the tragic atmosphere. As Maeterlinck declared, 'The efforts of the poet to create above all a superior existence, a life closer to the soul, has been nullified by the introduction of an enemy substance '.1 In poetic drama the visual effects ought to suggest the presence of the invisible. Edward Gordon Craig championed this spiritualization in On the Art of the Theatre (1911), Towards a New Theatre (1913), The Theatre— Advancing (1917), maintaining that scenery could and should be symbolic. He advocated bold and simple outlines, colour-tones contrived by drapery and screens, the distribution of lighting and shadow, the imaginative arrangement of the perpendicular and horizontal, suggesting far-flung perspectives and towering portals, or, contrariwise, low-lying roofs and interiors seemingly contracted, because the lines converged. At about the same time Max Rein-≈ hardt at the Berlin Deutsches Theater, and even more at his Kammerspielhaus, and yet again in his productions of The Miracle and Oedipus at the London Olympia, exhausted every device to bring the play-goers as near as possible to the play, even mingling the performance with the audience. In his American production of Samurûm, he presented a drama in which costume, music, lighting, and decoration carried the play. Both Craig and Reinhardt believed that masks could effectively be worn by the actors, as in the old Athenian and Roman theatres, and that such was the importance of atmosphere that the characters might function best as marionettes.2 Both went too far in their impressionism, but both enforced the conviction that a poet's vision can be enjoyed in the theatre more spaciously and intensely than we thought possible, but not through scrupulously accurate realism.

¹ Pref to Pélleas and Mélisande (NY. ed, 1911)

² See also A B. Walkley, Drama and Life, 1918; Pastiche and Prejudice, 1921.

This movement towards literary ideas presented in front of simplified and symbolic scenery, means a return to the spoken word. We have already noted the tendency in Yeats's love of recitation and Shaw's and Galsworthy's use of actors and actresses to express their views. It was felt that literature should be more of a human contact than an author's self-revelation in print. But it was also soon to be realized that the drama could thrive only on a spacious stage, with the prospect of many full houses in a centre of multitudinous activity. Provincial managements and amateur societies relied on London as their guarantee of success and hallmark of merit St. John Ervine and Dunsany soon drifted thither, Shaw, Galsworthy, Barrie, and Granville Barker seldom left it. As soon as the drama of ideas began to be tested in this atmosphere, before a miscellaneous audience, an unforeseen problem arose. The innovators expected that all spectators would take themselves and the play as seriously as did the author. They forgot that literature could not be made dramatic unless it obeyed the laws of the drama. They thought it could be presented as easily as a book.

A book accomplishes its purpose if it produces its full effect on the single reader, then passing on to a second, and a third, and so on. A play must produce its effect on an assembly of ill-assorted auditors, comprehensively, in a flash. The spectators must be unified at a stroke, and unless they suddenly become aware of the generous contagion and give it back across the footlights, the dramatist's utterances have not come to life. A stage-performance is a triple collaboration between the author, his interpreters, and his audience, all three under the spell of mass psychology. That is why phrases which seem colourless when read as literature, sometimes assume an overwhelming significance when diffused among the rows of eager upturned faces, radiant with laughter or tense with expectation. The auditorium contributes at least a third of the author's meaning and more than half of his wit or pathos. The new school of dramatists frequently sacrificed these necessary effects to ideas. They were too engrossed in their situations to cultivate their stage-sense.

ITS PRACTITIONERS

Such is the risk of discarding the one-man-play led by a genius for impersonation—a risk that even Shakespeare did not often run. We must now consider some dramatists who endeavoured to overcome the disability. They are men of ideas who collaborate with actors, electricians, stage-carpenters, the management, and the audience. As far as they succeed, they produce literature

SIR JAMES MATTHEW BARRIE (1860–1937)

The future dramatist who left Kirriemuir and Edinburgh to become a journalist, eventually on the St. James's Gazette (in 1884),

first made his mark by unpretentious stories of bachelor life in London and village life in Scotland. These experiments initiate the reader into the kindly and sympathetic study of human nature at its simplest, but despite their individuality of tone, they are too superficial to survive in their own right. The author was not expressing himself. He was an eccentric, impulsive, and rather ill-balanced personality, not easily at peace with himself and the society in which he was to carve an unusually comfortable niche. In self-defence he had captured and treasured a child's dream of what life ought to be, and can be, if only we see it in the scarching light of innocence, and show ourselves the truth by showing it to other people. So all through life he searched for the child's ideal, and thereby developed an unusually keen eye for the vices and vanities of grown-up people.

He did not find his characteristic and original note till he had completed The Admirable Crichton (1902). It begins as a drawingroom comedy somewhat in the manner of Pinero, Jones, or Alfred Sutro's Walls of Jericho (which was to appear in 1904), except that Barrie discovers a hero where the world-worn humorist had seen only the snobbish and obsequious tyrant on the wrong side of the baize door-in the heart of the family butler. The events which waft him and the family he serves onto a Swiss Family Robinson island develop into a schoolboy's dream of natural life, and culminate in a joyous and innocent orgy killed by the report of a gun fired from an approaching ship. So we awake from romance and return to the day-to-day comedy of London life, having learnt to know what each character really is worth, by the simple process of renewing our youth in the theatre The excursion seems to be convincing not because it is probable, but because the improbabilities are adjusted to the realism of the stage.

So with Peter Pan (1904) 'the masque of adventurous childhood'. Young people enjoy the rapid succession of story-book characters come to life, even those youngsters who would be puzzled by The Blue Bird and vote The Golden Age as dull as lead. Old people enjoy being implored to believe in fairies. Neither class spares a thought for the dramatist because he has hit upon just those episodes which the

stage can present with the reality of dreams and memories.

For the next few years Barrie seems to have lost his knack of testing human contacts by the wisdom and innocence of childhood. He made a half-way return to his peculiar art in What Every Woman Knows (1908), The Twelve Pound Look (1910) and The Will (1913).

In 1916 Barrie completely regained his earlier manner with A Kiss for Cinderella. The fantasy is sometimes dismissed as sentimental, it should be accepted as sadder, if not wiser. The author has now realized that childhood's desires can become more real to the child than actual experiences, and if not effaced by adolescence, may develop into obsessions. Owing to the cheap fooling with Mr. Brodie and the policeman, one is apt to overlook some other fooling which is

not cheap. For instance, 'Celeste et Cie—The Penny Friend' may be a fantastic whimsy, but out of it emerges 'Cinders', a sublime if ludicrously imperfect figure, the soul of human goodness disguised as a kitchenmaid in a serio-comic pantomime. If Saintsbury is right and humour is 'jesting with serious thoughts behind', this modern interpretation of Perrault's fairy-tale is Barrie's best effort in dramatic humour

Unless that achievement was reserved for *Dear Brutus* (1917). The underlying idea is not impressive. It assumes that character is destiny, not only in Shakespeare, but in the prosaic dealings of the twentieth century; and the characters are as commonplace as the idea they exemplify. But they reveal their petty idiosyncrasies with such comic artlessness, and their creator manages his stage effects so cunningly, that the plot dawns upon the audience as an illumination, refreshed with humour. Few dramatists have succeeded so well in prolonging our sense of expectancy till the end of the last act; none, since the Elizabethan era, have so effectively

suggested the proximity of fairyland.

Barrie never surpassed these achievements, not even in The Boy David (1936), his last and most ambitious drama. His theme is the candid soul of boyhood, as the grown man would wish it to be, this time not only dreaming of action, but suddenly brought to the threshold of great deeds. As we would wish, David's soul is divided between diffidence and aspiration, and he wins all hearts, even that of Saul. The dramatist's problem consists in interweaving and contrasting this future champion, the Lord's Anointed, with the comedy of domestic life and the perils of a public career. Unfortunately, the element of surprise (always one of Barrie's best effects) is weakened because the story is too well known; and the 'suspension of disbelief' (his other principal resource) cannot be replaced by the imagined presence of God, and the visualization of his prophet. Nor does scenic art seem equal to the dream visions and the symbolism of battle For the first and last time Barrie's imagination transcended his means.

In fact, he was a skilled technician, who kept his head in an age of experiments. At a time when advanced drama threatened to degenerate into talk, he never allowed his plots to stand still. His episodes grow out of each other with refreshing unexpectedness, yielding to crisp dialogue and contrasts of character. Actors and actresses can always do justice to his situations, however fantastic or sentimental, because they are in reality true to life. With rare insight he discovered that theatre-goers, like himself, wanted the sincerities of childhood in an age of adult affectations. So he showed them, more intelligibly and sympathetically than Proust, how to start on la recherche du temps perdu. That is his title to literature.

EDWARD J M D PLUNKETT, LORD DUNSANY (1878-

If Barrie tried to make romance realistic, Dunsany could not help making realism romantic. He could gaze on the mud flats of the Thames wondering what diaphanous sprite of beauty or quaintness might rise from that slimy bed, or draw inspiration from S. H. Sime's Japanese-like schemes and harmonies, without knowing what the designs meant He could also (like Stevenson) gaze on preda.ory adventurers, outlaws by instanct, all too ready to feel cramped in the routine of civilization, nor could he help noting how inevitably society revenged itself on these irreconcilables.

His early work attracted little attention; nor did he care was himself something of an adventurer, an amateur scribbling for his own satisfaction, merely because visions would keep rising from the depths within himself So he might well have left nothing but a few whimsical trifles, for instance some experiments in 250-word tales, such as the unique The Assignation, some dramatic sketches like the unforgettable Bureau de Change, and some autobiographical facts and fancies, like those breathing the joys of health and hunting in The Curse of the Wise Woman (1933) Such is the memory he leaves behind in Patches of Sunlight (1938).

However, at Yeats's suggestion he composed for The Abbey Theatre The Glittering Gate (1909) The theme was not wholly to his taste because it was not wholly his own. But the effort imposed on his manner a steadiness of outline and the art of following other people's imagination, that is, concentrating dramatic interest on some symbol which could be kept before the eyes of the audience. So much he learnt from Yeats, but he did not drop into Yeats's habit of exploring the twists and turns of thought—by which the characters reached their consummation, while the plot stands still. Dunsary devised dynamic figures, too simple to be explored, and plunged them into the situation which tested their mettle. His first complete realization of the technique was King Argimenes and the Unknown Warrior (1911) It will not be forgotten that the slave-king unearths a sword which becomes the symbol of conquest and reconquest.

Since Dunsany economized his plots, simplified his characterization, and relied on suspense to emphasize his climax, he stands apart from any modern movement, more in sympathy with the classical school. But where was he to find the naked, typical humanity of the story-teller's art which he hoped to intensify by stage-effects? Rarely by the reproduction of modern life, which is 'thickly veiled and cloaked with puzzles and conventions' and labours 'in the agonies of self-consciousness', as he confessed in Romance and the Stage. So, like Yeats, he created a world of his own, independent of time and place, with a preference for Oriental colour and mysterious magic, because he could not repress his imagination. He had no

intimate knowledge of the East till 1913. Having alluded to himself as a romantic dramatist, he has been taken at his own valuation.

He has also published fifteen volumes of stories, of which A Dieamer's Tales (1910) and Tales of Wonder (1916) are amongst the best, though it is doubtful whether they imply enough to be honoured as literature. Nor is he likely to live in the history of the stage. Though he knew far more than Barrie about the depth and power of human nature, he knew far less about the mannerisms by which human nature can be revealed. Despite the classical regularity and romantic unexpectedness of his plots, he frequently created situations in which his actors could merely recite their lines, and though Yeats would have approved, the audiences remain cold.

And yet it is his dramas, at their best, which have given us literature. Now and then he has done justice to the stark truth underlying his exotic settings or paradoxical conclusions; notably in King Argimenes (1911), The Gods of the Mountain (1911), The Tents of the Arabs (1914), A Night at an Inn (1916), If (1921). In these and such-like pieces the author's quizzical, ironic imagination jolts the reader out of his settled habits; he feels a sense of awe, wonder, suspense and moral illumination; and fantasy compels him to accept what he would shrink from in fact

HARLEY GRANVILLE-BARKER (1877-)

Few craftmen of the theatre followed Barrie's or Dunsany's lead. Most tried to inspire thoughtfulness within the limits of common experience. They aimed high, yet often without much financial or artistic success. Granville-Barker is a case in point. No one has done more for the establishment and organization of repertory companies, the encouragement of 'little theatres', and the cult of small audiences. It is claimed that he is the most capable stage trainer in the English-speaking world. During his long and intensely active career, he has produced some of the greatest master-pieces from Euripides to his own time. He has not only produced but composed at least five plays which command attention and stimulate discussion.

He aimed at creating what should rank with the best literature of the printed page—that is to say scenes and situations which compel the audience to revise their values, and order their lives more wisely. Having studied Ibsen and Shaw, and having at least heard much about Nietzsche, he felt more deeply than a Victorian could, that society was depressing or perverting the life-force, a vital urge more essential than any sanction or tradition, however venerable. He was not primarily concerned with class distinctions and economic readjustments, like Shaw, nor extreme cases of domestic and social injustice, like Ibsen or Galsworthy, thereby running the risk of oversimplification—His chosen theme was the study of individualism

and the now recognized right of self-direction in normal healthy personages, who owe a duty to society, or at any rate to their own

circle, as well as to themselves

This special emphasis was unfamiliar to the theatre, and so was his method. He elaborated something like the 'atmosphere play'. The projected environment gathers like a mist, developing tone and colour Then it forms into figures which detach themselves from the background; one or two assume marked firmness of outline. and stand forth as the protagonists. The misty background clings to their movements and they seem to be resisting it. In this resistance we soon discern some personal problem sufficiently ambiguous to exercise our moral sense, and convince us that conduct in our century is an intellectual more than a religious puzzle. Besides, these characters, though seldom to be met in a novel or a play, are often to be met in life; or so it seems, because they are consistent and presented with animation and humour Moreover they say many unexpected and memorable things. But being devoted to selfrevelation, they say too much and do too little They lose themselves and us in discussions by no means so simple as the issues which Shaw managed so deftly. Out of the atmosphere grow the characters, out of the characters an idea which monopolizes attention.

For instance, in *The Marrying of Ann Leete* (1901) the intention is clear-cut, but the domestic environment is indistinct. In *Waste* (1907), his most ambitious drama, Trebell breaks his heart, because he cannot live his own ideal. The climax might have been worthy of the *Ajax* of Sophocles, but the author cannot keep the others from discussing his fate. *The Madras House* (1910) is a well-informed and otherwise enjoyable exposure of suburban and commercial life, both domestic and professional. The revelations of character are as striking as ever. Unhappily Barker tries to cover the whole field, which is too vast and varied for a single play. It would need

a Ben Jonson with his comedy of humours.

In fact our dramatist who had handled so many classic plays was himself too modern to observe classic restraint and artistic economy. Witness the success of *The Voysey Inheritance* (1905). It contains no moral lesson which is not obvious; and for that very reason the dramatist is able to concentrate his plot in a few tense scenes so full of humour, characterization, and actuality that the public forgives its inconclusiveness. It is also noticeable that in collaboration his work becomes less distinctive but more convincing; for instance *Prunella* (with L. Housman in 1906), *Amatol* (from A. Schnitzler in 1911), *The Harlequinade* (with D. C. Calthrop in 1918). But when he set himself between 1919 and 1922 to create another drama single-handed, *The Secret Life*, the wave of post-war disenchantment engulfed him.

So Granville-Barker is one of the few stage experts who failed when he wrote for the stage Yet his failure is most instructive to the student of literature. He dramatized the individual who cannot

casily adjust his inner self to his outward life—surely a time-honoured theme for the drama as for the novel. This individual encounters no barriers nor pitfalls worth conquering, but on the other hand no encouraging opportunities for his better self; merely a spiritual void. So he cannot focus his own needs. The more successful writers of the period had felt this atmosphere somewhat vaguely and had pitched upon some accessory grievance—notably Wells and Shaw—or had taken refuge in sheer art—for instance, Conrad and de la Mare—both classes avoiding moral issues, because they could find no reflexion in the manners and modes of their time. Granville-Barker was one of the first of this age to study spiritual aimlessness for its own sake; hence his claim on our attention. The next generation was to give definite outlines to the picture.

ST. JOHN E. C. HANKIN (1860-1909)

If Granville-Barker was ahead of his time, he nevertheless introduced to the public an older man who knew better how to temper his ideas to the conditions of the theatre. Fashionable audiences were indulgent, because on first nights Hankin seemed to be continuing the society drama of Pinero, H. A. Jones, and H. H. Davies In reality he renovated the late Victorian themes by introducing Edwardian disillusionment, criticism, and impatience with moneyed folk who got more out of life than they put into it. Hence his characters are more distinct, more temperamental, more ignobly revealing than those of the older school; and having brought them to some crisis in their rather fussy, futile lives, in each case he works it out to a logical conclusion, when possible avoiding a happy ending, or at any rate, a conventional ending. Though dialogue is his inevitable organ of exposition, he rarely allows himself to be caught in a static conversation. Even when two or more personages are simply talking, the plot continues to twist and turn.

Of his four plays, The Return of the Prodigal (1905) is the most amusingly cynical, The Charity that Began at Home (1906) the most original, The Cassilis Engagement (1907) the best constructed and sustained, The Last of the De Mullins (1908) the only one which helps the old world to move forward. But all persuade the spectator or reader that the new world should not tread in the steps of its predecessor.

STANLEY HOUGHTON (1881–1913)

Theatre audiences are often surprised into thought if the picture is a remote reflection of themselves, a parable not a reproduction. So Miss Horniman did well to stage Houghton's early one-act plays at her repertory theatre in Manchester, and thereby encouraged his talent for the local colour of the Midlands. Thanks to this training he won a considerable success with *The Younger Generation* (1910),

which interested the London public in the folk of the Lancashire cotton-mills, especially their attitude to the humours of parental control, a situation as ancient as Plautus in the history of comedy So he prepared the way for *Hindle Wakes* (1912) This drama is memorable because of what William Archer describes as 'that strain of suspense, that throb of emotion, which was, is, and ever shall be the central secret of the drama'. It is even more noteworthy for the climax in which Fanny Hawthorn surprises the audience and epitomizes the new womanhood, likewise the spirit of the new generation, merely by refusing to marry for the sake of the proprieties, however advantageous the match.

The situation is not of wide application, but Houghton raised an essentially human issue, without Shavian dialectic

ST. JOHN G. ERVINE (1883-)

This earnest and rather over-sensitized Irishman attempted to create tragedy, where Houghton created comedy; and did so out of everyday life such as he himself had experienced or observed. He discovered in family circles that the man, having grown old and lost zest in his small battle of life, often hardened into a monomaniac, clinging instinctively to some religious or social dogma, his only hope of self-assertion in the presence of the rising generation; whereas the woman, thanks to the instinct of motherhood, and long experience in the exigencies of rearing a brood of children, retained her humanity and even-mindedness. The tension between these two attitudes might well develop into a tragedy

Ervine served his apprenticeship in the Irish National Theatre, and his first notable attempt, The Magnanimous Lover (written 1909, produced 1912), is a comedy morally akin to Hindle Wakes, The Last of the De Mullins, or even Galsworthy's Eldest Son, except that the repentant seducer is a formalist, superficially comic, but charged with ominous perversity, a victim of his own virtue. This effort is a curtain-raiser. Mixed Marriage is a four-act tragedy, presenting an Orangeman's hatred of papists, complicated by industrial unrest, and crossed by his Presbyterian son's love for a Catholic sweetheart John Rainey sacrifices his humanity and peace to his convictions, and his heroic wife, with her practical motherly wisdom, is not only a well-executed foil to his character, but an example of high thinking in low life.

By this time the Irish movement had spent its force; Synge died in 1909; and Ervine was looking to the London stage. Jane Clegg (1913), a dramatically expert study of English lower middle-class life devoid of tragic horrors, is memorable for the narrow but indomitable womanhood of his heroine. His masterpiece is John Fergusson (1915) The scene is once more in Ulster, and this time a narrow-minded, bigotted Protestant, the head of a well-conducted

¹ The Old Drama and the New, 1923.

household, is plunged by coincidence and the vileness of one or more rather stagey villains into every calamity within reach of his homestead, and yet preserves his faith and integrity to the last.

Ervine wrote little more that can reasonably be ranked as literature. The subject nearest his heart was the man of divided impulse, a theme as ancient as Sophocles, Shakespeare, and Racine, but obscured among the distractions of the multitudinous modern world, in need of a new setting. Ervine revived the type among his own people, in the backwaters of Ireland and then among uninteresting people in England—one more sign that the static, fashionable classes had lost value in a fluid society, except as a subject for satire

CHAPTER VI

THE CRISIS IN POETRY AND ITS WOULD-BE REFORMERS

THERE can be no doubt that the literature of this period was full of life, nor that its vitality was most marked in prose. The Edwardians and neo-Georgians enjoyed looking at themselves in the guise of somebody else; they realized that an indirect mirror was the most serviceable; in other words, novels, plays, essays. At the same time poetry was by no means neglected; there were poets in plenty, but for the most part they were inclined to use the direct mirror; we have already seen that Kipling, de la Mare, and Masefield were exceptions; but the majority wrote of themselves subjectively; and on the whole the result was disappointing.

This situation is significant. If we take thirty as the age at which a poet usually begins to master himself and his material (whatever the accidents of publication) we find that of all the versewriters then living or just dead, Doughty, Dobson, Hopkins, and Bridges matured in the 'seventies, Watson and Housman in the 'eighties, Trench, Kipling, and Binyon (born 1869) in the 'nineties, and then de la Mare, Sturge Moore, Davies, Hodgson, Bottomley, Chesterton, Gibson, Masefield, Thomas, and Monro in the first decade of the twentieth century, and Abercrombie, Flecker, and Brooke early in the second decade. The first ten or fifteen years of our era produced at least twice as many as did the preceding thirty years. This short period was also the time when the new unclassified reading public, born of the Education Act of 1870, also began to mature and make its influence felt. The middle class was no longer the one voice of culture, as in Arnold's and Trollope's day; and the intrusion of this multitudinous presence was bound, of itself, to confuse the stream of culture, and may, perhaps, eventually dominate its course. Under this influence, we should have expected these younger poets to be erratic, if not iconoclastic, but those already discussed 2—the majority—kept to the old tradition. They presented their reactions either to everyday experience (for instance, the sights, sounds, and characters of country life), or their reactions to the themes and sentiments already celebrated in great poetry. Their conservatism may be partly due to the fact that they were still self-trained in the world of books, but they must also have been satisfied with their material. In any case, they unquestionably fell short of the best.

Their prevailing defect is fairly obvious: they were too self-conscious. They had the talent to express their moods, not the

¹ Ante. pp. 8 ff. ² Ante, p. 54.

genius to express ours. Poetry had become a cult, and, like all cults, needed leadership Neither Kipling, de la Mare, nor Mase-

field, however outstanding, had the qualities they needed

How was poetry to regain its old power, and make the reader feel the same delight as the poet felt, or tried to feel. Not merely by widening its view, for all eternity can be focused in a raindrop. It is diction and prosody which release and direct the spirit. The poet can feel and express everything, and the reader will respond, if only the word kindles the thought and carries him along, inspiring insight through artistry. Literature is immortal through style. By such means the great masters had worked their way into the hearts of their readers, but magic seldom travels the same road twice. New spells and incantations were needed;—so said the self-appointed guardians of art, when they contemplated the correctness and conformity around them.

One would expect the very young poets to lead the way. It is more accurate to say that they eagerly followed, even in defiance of well-tried standards. The first attempt was made by certain of their predecessors, already middle-aged, one already dead, because they belonged to the older culture which was fading away, and were quick to save the relics. The reform of poetry, they said, must begin with the reform of diction, and instead of breaking with the Past, they searched its storehouse for half-forgotten words and rhythms. Innovation was to be achieved by renovation k.

CHARLES MONTAGU DOUGHTY (1843-1926)

He would seem to be out of place in a history of twentieth-century literature, till one remembers that in his old age he was as full of protest and experiment as were his youngest contemporaries. From the dawn of manhood he was possessed by the desire tox reform his countrymen by reforming their language, reviving the toughness and straightforwardness of pre-Renaissance England by reviving its idioms and diction. His first opportunity came in 1876, when he started on his two years' pilgrimage through and around the Arabian Peninsula. These experiences called for some literary record which might, he felt, also serve as a first step towards his self-appointed mission. So, after ten years of incessant labour, he published Arabia Deserta in 1888.

This classic is inspired by the ambition utterly to discredit the superficiality and emotionalism of late Victorian culture. Doughty eschews the kind of graces which, for instance, adorn A. W. Kinglake's Eöthen, likewise the Biblical interest emphasized, for instance, in E. H. Palmer's The Desert of the Exodus and Sir R. F. Burton's A Pilgrimage to El Medinal and Mecca. His purpose is to bring before the reader his contacts and encounters with primitive personalities so vividly and directly that you can hear the tone of voice and see the play of feature. In order to produce these graphic

effects he wrote after the manner of *Hakluyt's Voyages*, in which travellers do not try to suggest their own susceptibilities, but only what they see and hear. As far as possible he confined his vocabulary to words current in Middle English. For instance, he would say 'hot-hearted' instead of 'passionate'. Despite these rather pedantic and eccentric mannerisms, he has described the East as never before, except in *The Old Testament*.

Thus he prepared himself and (as he hoped) his readers for his volumes of poetry, especially The Dawn in Britain (1906), Adam Cast Forth (1908), The Cliffs (1909), The Clouds (1912), The Titans (1916), Mansoul (1920) He lacked neither sensibility nor a sense of beauty and grandeur, and his responsiveness sometimes finds expression in the felicities of genuine poetry. He had more than his fair share of what Longinus and Arnold called 'high seriousness'. At the same time he can write imaginatively about games and earth-born fairies in The Cliffs; and in The Clouds he foresees the part to be played by aeroplanes and even radio in modern war. He describes the forces of the physical universe as if he were a contemporary of the ancient Eddas, yet he adds a background of science, in order to indue his mythology with a touch of the twentieth century. In fact his scheme and scope are as vast as any in literature.

He might have been a force But he missed his chance, because he was more bent on purifying our vocabulary than our hearts, not realizing that language has its own destiny independent of those who use it. Instead of the intellect dancing among words and casting images on the visual imagination, we meet, too often, a linguist manipulating an archaic diction. The poet even allows himself the licence to suppress particles and auxiliaries, forgetting that ellipsis is permissible only in the spoken word. He intended to reinstate a tradition which had declined—in his judgement—ever since Shakespeare showed posterity how to play with language as with toys, thus inducing anarchy. We must, he implies, return to the phraseology which seemed fresh and vigorous when Chaucer and Langland were fusing Anglo-Saxon with Norman French

GERARD MANLY HOPKINS (1844-89)

This artist, musician, scholar, thinker, Jesuit, and poet, dead eleven years before our era opens, is nevertheless more a part of the twentieth century than Doughty is. During his brilliant career at Oxford he was much impressed by Jowett, Arnold, Pater, and Wilde After his conversion (1868) he abjured all his other enthusiasms, and did not even write poetry for the next seven years. Gradually, however, the spiritual exercises of his order recalled him to himself The Rule of St. Ignatius (the Jesuit discipline) enjoins that the votary in all his thoughts and actions should yearn towards identification with Jesus. So Hopkins learnt not to eschew outward and sensuous experiences, but enjoy them sacramentally

as intimations of Divine Presence. A priest could live in and for God, and still be a poet.¹

With this high purpose, he resumed writing. A flower, a sward, or the view of Oxford, now stirred his poetic sense more than ever. He could perceive God in so far as he could perceive in any object its distinctive virtue of design or pattern, the inner kernel of its being as expressed by its outer form—the soul peculiar to each manifestation of beauty. He borrowed from Dan Scotus the terminacape to denote this imminion quality. For instance, in writing

of the bluebell. 'its inscape is mixed scrength and grace'

Such intuitions could hardly be conveyed through the thetoric of Victorian verse. Not only trees, grass, and prospects, but each human spirit had its personal inscape, a mystic creative force which shapes the mind and must express itself in an 'individual distinctive beauty of style'. Thus the poet was most likely to find his proper diction among words and phrases originating in Anglo-Saxon or folk-lore, and preserved in the parlance of common people who spoke from the heart. So also with prosody. Quantitative metres, every syllable counted as in Latin, were (in his opinion) imported conventions. In conversation we stress significant words and syllables, with so much emphasis that accompanying syllables and words are left to take care of themselves. Such should be the scheme of living, spoken verse: a system of beats and stresses, as in Old and Middle English.

But there was this difference. The modern mind works on two planes. The poet can perceive the beauty around him, in a moment of heightened mental acuteness, and reproduce his impression in the best words; that was the lower intellectual level. At the same time he can also be raised above himself by the inspiration which comes from God, and on this higher level create what is beyond the range of human observation. The two impulses work side by side, and the interplay should be suggested by the manipulation of rhythms; by interweaving an under-current with an over-current, one melody added as accompaniment to the other, like musical counterpoint, thus producing counterpoint rhythm, which Herbert! Read defines as 'rhythm pointed counter to the proper flow'.2) This effect was generally produced by ringing changes on the beats and stresses, inducing the metre to run back on itself; sometimes making a second line reverse the movement of the one before; sometimes in the same line confronting a metric foot by its opposite, for instance, an iambic followed by a trochee. As these variations produced the momentary effect of a break or split, Hopkins borrowed a nautical expression (e.g., spring a butt) and called the device spring rhythm. There are striking examples in 'God's Grandeur'. Sometimes he added 'slack syllables', as in 'Felix Randal'; again and again he experimented in alliteration and assonance.

¹ J. Pick, G. M. Hopkins. Priest and Poet, 1942 ² G. M. Hopkins, in Collected Essays in Literary Criticism, 1938

There may be a profound justification for these technical experiments R. W. Dixon described his quality as 'a right temper which goes to the point of the terrible, the terrible crystal'; and it may be that through his religious discipline acting on his unique genius he had gained insight into what Father Lahey called 'the bleak heights of spiritual night with God '; and if so, his apparently freakish diction and fantastic symbolism may be the only means of suggesting the initiation. There certainly runs through his verse an emotionalism which he described as 'poised but on the quiver', as if he had seen more than a mortal ought to see and was at times struck down by 'a horror of height' ('The Windhover') or sunk in 'the swoon of a heart' (ibid), and was then trying to fill the spiritual void with what others call the simple beauty of nature. and love for the virtues of common folk. Under such pressure a poet would have to originate his own methods. He might rely on Old English phraseology and prosody, thus apparently reviving tradition, but if his work was to be truly an illumination, he would have to let it create itself; 'each poem growing according to the laws of its own nature, but in a line which is more often the irregular though entirely natural shape of a tree '.1

So indeed he did, and he certainly revived the poet's divine right to mean more than he can say. But he did not often compass the poet's duty to say what would help others towards his meaning. Some few of his lyrics are sublime, but the majority are vexatiously obscure. His mind lacked the ordinary man's perspective, and his failing health no doubt intensified the difficulties of self-expression. Very few pieces were published during his lifetime. His friends, especially Dixon, Patmore, and Bridges, criticized and encouraged his efforts, privately circulated, and now and then slipped a little masterpiece into an anthology. His Poetical Works did not appear till 1918, and a second edition was not called for till 1930. His correspondence and literary remains and life history were not published till 1935 and 1938 by E. E. Abbott His style and expressiveness are now being exhaustively analysed by W. H. Gardner in Gerard Manly Hopkins. A Study of Poetic Idiosyncrasy in Relation to Poetic Tradition, of which Volume I appeared in 1944.

His present vogue, apart from his intense personality, is largely due to his theories. Yet they are not new. All the great masters have exemplified sprung rhythm, especially Milton, all divined inscape, though they did not think of the word. Hopkins gave a nomenclature to the intuitions of his predecessors, that is what the twentieth century needed; hence one reason for his influence.

¹ Edith Sitwell, Aspects of Modern Poetry, 1934

ROBERT SEYMOUR BRIDGES (1844-1930)

The poet who first recognized Hopkins's genius—more or less—and rescued something from his broken career, lived an almost ideal life. After an enviably wide training as a classical scholar, a traveller, and a hospital physician, studying man's battle against our heritage of suffering, he retired from professional life at the age of thirty-seven, and devoted the next half-century to the interests of culture—pure English, phonetic spelling, the split infinitive, art, hymn-singing, Milton's reputation as our greatest verse-technician, and, above all, the creation of poetry

His own output was sustained and prolific, his first volume appearing in 1873 and his last in 1929. During this long, laborious period, he produced almost every type of poem, from dramas to quantitative hexameters, but the most notable is his immense body of odes and lyrics. From first to last he experimented in the choice of words and the techniques of versification, including 'neo-Miltonic-syllabics' which were published in October and other Poems (1920). No contemporary humanist was more convinced

that to reform the poet, you must reform his prosody.

The reader will at once appreciate the impeccably good taste, the sensitive and scholarly handling of words, the chastened pleasure in Nature's everyday beauty, and sometimes what Hopkins called the manly tenderness and flowing, never-failing music'; the quality which Charles Williams has termed 'beauty in restraint'. Edward Thompson, in Robert Bridges 1844-1930 (1944), has depicted the old-world, scholarly atmosphere in which the poet lived, and makes much of this middle period of lyrics devoted to 'the growth of love' and the study of Nature's charm. Yet this sometimes rather superficial faultlessness was not the goal of his career. It was a preliminary. Even his most felicitous lyrics—for instance, 'London Snow' and 'Asian Birds'—despite their 'assured quietness' and 'more certain knowledge', are exercises; mere glimpses of a much wider; synthesis. Bridges had grasped the varied and apparently conflicting essentials of scientific and artistic culture, and then, as he wrote to Henry Bradley in 1901, 'beyond that, stretching out to infinity, the realm of the imagination' Since the vision, after ranging over humanism and science, found its spiritual focus in the necessity for what is fair and lovely, he entitled his exposition The Testament of Beauty (1929).

This long, elaborate poem, the climax of his life, is one of the most revealing and characteristic documents of the twentieth century. Many poets have felt, consciously or subconsciously, that a sense of beauty is the crown of culture, even the blessedness of religion. Bridges differs from all of them in that he is an inveterate teacher and tries to convince as well as persuade. He invokes modern science and scholarship, not stopping short of the most

recent and disputed theories, 1 to establish and amplify what his predecessors knew by instinct or intuition.

Like any physicist, he agrees that man in his origin is a mere combine and complex of atoms and electrons, pervaded by 'the spontaneous functions and motions of life', and in that sense a part of the lower creation around us. Like any psychologist, he further agrees that as we ascended the scale of evolution, we became conscious that we are distinct from our environment, we learn to live a life of our own, to indulge the impulse towards self-assertion, and sex (which Bridges terms breed). In a word, we acquire selfhood. but with it a certain distrust of Nature's influences So we turn our thoughts inward, towards our own species and its works, as if we were an existence apart, the masters, not the product, of our environment. While this estrangement endures, our selfhood is incomplete. Since we have passed through the lower stages of creation, we are still under its laws, and since these laws have helped to raise us above our original selves, they must be good The proof is, that primitive peoples and children look out on the world not only with interest and wonder, but also with love.

The mature and civilized man must recognize the secret of this attraction: it is like tending to like. The rhythms, harmonies, outlines, and gracious schemes which charm us in Nature are part of ourselves. Our lives are penetrated by 'eternal Essences which exist in themselves', and since we have shouldered the responsibilities of self-direction, we must voluntarily lend ourselves to their magic. We can do more. These vital influences do not only refine our senses, they inspire us with Nature's own creativeness, so that we can second the spirit of her design, and give her back her own idea, humanized and embellished for our proper use. Such is the only guise in which Truth is revealed to us, and under its spell we can perfect our selfhood, and attain to the wisdom we call the knowledge of God.

The Testament of Beauty is chiefly important because the poet writes with the exaltation of a mystic, and is yet in sympathy with D'Arcy Thompson and A N Whitehead, who maintain that the structure of matter corresponds to the morphology of art, and with Santayana, the materialist who accepts super-material values, a believer in 'the realm of essences'. Bridges must also have known S. Alexander's Space, Time and Deity (1920), R. W. Sellar's Evolutionary Naturalism (1922), and C. L. Morgan's Emergent Evolution (1923), which examine in what senses evolution creates new powers out of its ascending line of development, even a nisus towards deity It is also noteworthy that to a certain degree he anticipates Herbert Read's definition of art as 'mankind's effort to achieve integration with the basic forms of the physical universe and the organic rhythms of life'. If the poem should be compared to any other work, it should not be to Lucretius' De Rerum Natura, nor to Words-

¹ Post, pp. 136 ff.

² Education through Art, 1943.

worth's Prelude, but to J. B. Haldane's The Philosophy of a Biologist (1935), which asserts on scientific principles that God is the will to perfection, evoked in us by sorrow, sin, and perversity, and consummated in art and aspiration However, Haldane wrote in prose.

Some critics wish that Bridges had done likewise. The discipline of prose might have saved him from distracting digressions and discontinuities; whereas he wrote in 'loose alexandrines', in which he expected his argument to move freely. It moves so freely that he now and then rambles with an almost senile freakishness, and he still believes that good verse is made better by antiquated words and fancy spelling.

T E. HULME (1883–1917)

If Bridges relied on the sense of beauty, this restless, rather reckless thinker went back to the history of man's idea of himself; and came to the conclusion that the decay of poetry was due to the decay of spiritual humility, which began with the humanism of the Renaissance. In that age poets and philosophers made too little of God and too much of themselves. Montaigne set the example, and the climax was reached in the Romantic Movement. Hulme sees hope only in our return to the pre-Renaissance belief in a power, influence, or standard infinitely higher than ourselves and independent of our existence, by which 'man himself is judged to be, essentially limited and imperfect'. To clinch his idea he revived the doctrine of original sin 'We may define Romantics', he declared in the preface to his translation of Sorel's Reflections on Violence, 'as all those who do not believe in the Fall of Man' This contention seems more revolutionary than it really is. Indeprecating classical humanism, the would-be innovator is amply forestalled by, for instance, Milton. He was original only so far as he applied to modern literature what the seventeenth-century poet applied to Greek.

Our decline has been mirrored in our poetry, and it is through poetry that the decline must be arrested. Hulme had already perceived, more than a decade before Principles of Laterary Criticism² appeared, that verse has a psychological power penetrating to our, nerve-centres, unobtrusively pervading the reactions of our whole being. So verse must keep to the limitations of that being. Since we are incapable of perfection, it is futile to dream about it, or aspire to the Absolute. Our consciousness is confined to what we can see and feel, and the same should apply to our imaginative literature. It should eschew intuitions and find expression only in pictures. If the poet could keep to a succession of images following one another in the prism of the mind, we might perceive all the truth that he can reveal, and all the truth that we could safely know, without transgressing the limits of our humanity.

¹ Paradise Regained, IV, 285 ff

³ Post, p 138.

In compensation, words would mean much more than they do now At present they are handled like algebraical signs to indicate abstractions which cannot be seen or felt. So the average person is like a vain babbler. But if he could see the thought as a visual presentment, he would perceive it with all the nerves of his being—with his eidetic self—that is, see it freshly, with surprise, see each word with an image sticking on to it, never as a flat word passed over a board like a counter.

This is much what D. H. Lawrence meant when he wrote of a 'stark, bare, rocky directness of statement', and T. S. Eliot of 'poetry so transparent that we should not see the poetry'—both after the Four Years War. Hulme himself summed up his doctrine in the formula, 'poetry should be dry and hard'. Yeats, in his

old age, would agree.

Hulme's theories were not published till 1924, 1 seven years after the author's death at the Front But they were freely ventilated in London in 1908–12, among all those dissatisfied with poetry as it then was. He himself produced only five short specimens to illustrate his idea. Nevertheless, his insistence on visualization continues to influence poets, while his anti-romanticism and anti-humanism continue to disunite critics, and group them in opposing camps 2

THE IMAGISTS

In 1909 Hulme's disciples referred to themselves as the School of Images; in 1912 Ezra Pound, in his preface to Repostes, christened the movement Imagisme, and the practitioners Imagistes. Their efforts appeared sporadically till The Egoist, founded in 1914, became their organ. In 1914 Pound brought out the first anthology: Des Imagistes, with eleven contributors. Thereafter Amy Lowell, with her purse and personality, captured the leadership and brought out Some Imagist Poets in 1915–16–17. A final Imagist Anthology appeared almost apologetically in 1930, for the movement provoked much opposition and had died a natural death at the end of the Four Years War.

Yet it survived as a ghost. The Imagists insisted on turning word-pictures into entaglios, and this cult of precision has continued to inspire and chasten subsequent verse-writers. But its influence as an avowed cult was restrictive. It distorted self-expression, and confined adventures in thought. Meanwhile its erstwhile practitioners were realizing that even if twentieth-century civilization discredited the old values (as Hulme and others maintained), it also involved moral and social responsibilities, and, above all, a vague expectation that unexplored feelings and unfamiliar emotions were almost within reach. There is nothing so interesting as a wall behind which something is happening. So it is not surprising that the best-known contributors—for instance, James Joyce,

¹ Speculations, edited by Herbert Read

² Post, pp. 139 ff.

D. H. Lawrence, T. S. Eliot, Hilda Doolittle, R. Aldington, Ford Maddox Hueffer, and Ezra Pound himself—soon went their own way. All wanted release from the older forms of verse, none wanted a control not of their own choosing. So they tended to subdivide into sects—vorticism, cub:sm, dadoism, unanimism, fantasism, surrealism—seeking freedom and a following under the dignity of a label. While all the time what they really sought was

ready to hand under the capacious term—prose.

Prose-writers had always been libertarians, whereas poetry was so ancient and sacred a trust that its morphology had gradually governed its subject-matter. For instance, the sentiment appropriate to an ode could not adequately be developed in a sonnet Yet Conrad or de la Mare could put all they thought and felt into this or that chapter of a novel, contriving their own opportunities Why should not the poet of the present or future be equally free 'In poetry', says Herbert Read in English Prose Style (1928), 'the words are born or reborn in the act of thinking.' So, between them, the poets connected with Imagism tried to blend the subtleties of verse with the elasticity of prose, and thereby established Free Verse.

FREE VERSE

The hint came to them from the French innovators of the 'eighties, not only Jules Laforgue and Gustave Kahn. These poets and their school had recognized that prose could and often did reveal a content that was nothing less than poetry. In fact Mallarmé asserted that there was no such category as prose: only the alphabet and then verse, more or less compact or diffuse ¹ It was termed prose when the object was lucidity, and poetry when the object was spiritual revelation. In these heightened moments, language acquired a music of its own; not the music associated with verse-forms, based on the rhythm of metrical feet; but cadence which was bound to no counted syllables or even lines, but rose and fell with the emotions, and the flow of the words. Within its own law of cadence, this way of writing had no absolute rules, otherwise free verse would not be free.

Our poets need not have waited for the example of the French. Every lover of English literature knew that certain passages in Sir Thomas Browne, Jeremy Taylor, Johnson (though few are aware of it), Ruskin and Meredith have an emotional and imaginative power which the most studied poetry cannot surpass, and that certain passages in Langland, Shakespeare, Webster, and Milton carry their intense meaning all the more effectively because they can be read as impassioned prose. But it needed the French to demonstrate that prose-poetry is more recognizable if not written as prose or poetry. The clauses should have their own special alignment, tempered to the cadence, the words patterned so as to

^{&#}x27;Enquête sur l'Evolution Littéraire,' Echo de Paris, 14 March, 1891.

suggest their proper harmony to the eye as well as the ear, and also to mark the progress of the thought, thus rendering the intention visible as well as visual. Readers could then again encounter Sheridan's neat rivulet of text meandering through a meadow of margin, except that the rivulet is seldom neat, and often broken into weirs and stretches.

Thus Free Verse is not so much free, as freed from the restrictions peculiar to formal verse, while the unexpectedness of the composition suggests spontaneous growth: something that is immediate As D. H Lawrence asserted in his preface to and instinctive New Poems (1920, American edition), 'In free verse we look for the insurgent naked throb of the instant moment'. On the other hand, these impulsive gestures often take not only the reader, but the author by surprise; the latter not always foreseeing whither his cadenced clauses were leading him; hence obscurity and disconcertingly abrupt transitions. There was another cause. Poetry must, of course, always be approached in a mood of sympathetic understanding, and in the old days metric form usually served the purpose. The vershbristes, having discarded those accustomed aids, were tempted to resort to 'enchanted difficulty'—that is to say, some artistically designed inconsequence or paradox which focused attention, and when the enigma was solved, came with an illuminating

Up to the present, the illumination has frequently failed, because Free-verse, a dangerously difficult art, appears to be even more dangerously easy. Yet that is not the principal reason why it has almost certainly come to stay. Though a seemingly irreverent innovation, it is really very old A ninth-century author of the Life of St. Wulfram notes that prose tends towards the cadence of verse, ad quandam tinnuli rhythmi similitudinem; and so it used to There seems little doubt that language evolved out of a series of connected sounds, which conveyed a rudimentary emotion or thought, with the help of gestures and facial expression. Even now one gathers the gist of a spoken sentence more by the rhythm and emphasis than by the words, of which one catches only a few distinctly. It was first the art of writing, then much more the art of printing, which introduced the insistence on verbal precision, as if a continuous flow was not enough, as if meaning could best be conveyed by the notation of nouns, verbs, and suffixes transition can be appreciated by comparing the opening lines of The Canterbury Tales with those of The Vision concerning Piers the Plowman; or Beowulf, with any of the famous romantic odes in which every epithet has special significance. Free-verse is an attempt to recapture the old abandon: to use words so loosely that one cannot pin down the content to grammar and alphabet, but can catch the urge and impulse as did primitive man through verbal gestures, the modulations of the voice (suggested by the alignment), and by symbolizing the idea without expressing its name. So here is yet another example of reformers who had to become old in order to seem new.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS 'CRISIS IN POETRY

The developments imposed by Doughty, Hopkins, Bridges. Hulme, and their followers amount to a crisis because they are a challenge to our literary habits, a challenge more fundamental than one might suppose. The art or science of criticism used to be justified because it referred our experiences to a centre within ourselves, to a constant element amidst the flux of impressions. We not only enjoyed our enthusiasms, but assessed their worth, and thereby confirmed our identity as thinking beings The point of reference used to be, not so much an inheritance of literary standards as the common heritage of good sense, proportion, fitness Discipline, it had been found, leads to insight and elevation. Each new publication should be used as a stepping-stone towards a clearer assurance of our powers of judgement. The 'new poetry' offers no such exercise. It is more or less an effort towards temperamental expansion. It cannot be subject to laws, unless extemporized by itself (e.g., imagism), since each effort is an adventure into the unknown and therefore the unlegalized. Consequently the critic should ask no questions, but try to keep pace with the poet and, if successful, translate the poet's quality into prose. His function was elucidation. Of course every critic has not bowed to this order of the day, but many find it worth their while, and-a sure sign of the times—a number of literary periodicals has arisen to give the elucidator his platform. This encouraging atmosphere may in the end be the best thing for literature. In the meantime it challenges one of the rights of culture, and foments licence in literary taste.

These adventures and controversies reached their climax after 1920, but they are the product of pre-war mentality intensified by post-war confusion.

HAROLD EDWARD MONRO (1879-1932)

The 'new poetry' would have made more enemies within and without except for Monro. After graduating from Cambridge in 1901, it took him ten years to discover that his mission in life was to serve the cause of modern poetry. So in 1911 he began by founding the *Poetry Review*. In 1913 he opened 'The Poetry Bookshop', in which it was possible to obtain the works of any contemporary versifier who had struggled into print, and on the upper floor he instituted weekly readings in recently published verse. In the same year he founded the quarterly *Poetry and Drama*, and after the Four Years War he started the *Chapbook*. These and such other ventures did much to attract public attention, and Monro's unfailing hospitality helped to create the spirit of a

fraternity Thus he became the recognized advertiser, if not prophet, of the movements, and exercised no little influence as an ubiquitous lecturer. Nor could he himself resist writing verse.

These efforts cannot be compared to the best work of his contemporaries, whom he always befriended, sometimes inspired, and, when possible, interpreted Despite his activities, he was a lonely soul, and is at his best when regretting his isolation among kindred spirits who might mean so much to him, as in 'Hearthstone' and 'Unknown Country', and contrariwise, when he shakes off his melancholy and indulges his affection for animals. In both these moods he reverts easily and effectively to the traditional style. But at other times he broods on the physical crudities of life and death, or studies his own soul by watching its reactions to outward things (e.g., 'Natural History' and 'The Empty House'), or discards ideals and hopes unconfirmed by science, for instance in 'Earthliness'; and while in this vein he is tempted to sacrifice charm to metrical experiments which he has not quite mastered. He is another of those who cannot live without poetry, but can only help to find it; and he tried to do so by standing between tradition and innovation

PART II INTERWAR PHASE

CHAPTER VII

MOVEMENTS BENEATH THE SURFACE

IN the first chapter we glanced at the social and moral atmosphere of the age: the thoughts which people shared, the mood in which they faced the business of existence, their consciousness of the knowledge which leads to pleasure and power, their chances of life, their reliance on progress and culture, their contacts with the publishing world. We saw that existence was full of opportunities, and that the reading public were far from blind to them. But when we turned to the authors who ought to mirror this progress we have noticed that something was missing. There was a hint of frustration because of disunion The intelligentzia could not quite make up its mind. Writers were unable to agree on essentials, so they played with sidelights In fact the first fourteen years of the twentieth century becomes a period not because its authors wrote alike, but because the same causes made them write differently. We saw at the outset that amongst other causes the reading public had become multitudinous and many-sided, and secondly that however different their ideologies, they all wanted to make the most of civilization in order to make the most of themselves. They wished to play with life rather than meditate on its inner meaning.

We must now consider the less obvious influences which gathered strength during the period and gradually became prominent in the period which followed, not without leaving their mark on the authors we have already studied.

THE ACADEMIC INFLUENCE

It must first be confessed that our Universities all unwittingly added to the instability of letters. By 1914 the best of them had introduced English literature and language as a first-class subject, and every year thousands of students availed themselves of the opportunity. The movement was expected to increase the body of scholarly critics, and inaugurate an age of good taste. But Universities were at that time valued as trustees of learning; their function was to systematize, elucidate, and transmit to posterity all that was worth remembering. So the authorities rightly insisted on a grounding in our earlier literature and language. Even when exploring the masterpieces of the last two centuries, there was a tendency to keep to the older classics which needed the most elucida-

tion, had stood the test of time, and offered the most attractive material for historical research. Most teachers were inclined to stop at 1850, then at 1875 It was not till after the Four Years War that

lecturers, as a rule, ventured as far as 1900.

The system is to be known by its fruits. One of the most representative figures was George Saintsbury (1845-1933), for ten years a schoolmaster, for twenty years a journalist, and for twenty the professor of Rhetoric and English Literature at Edinburgh, a genial master of erudition tempered with common sense. He was an ardent and wide-awake critic of contemporary politics, yet he gave the best years of his life to the production of short histories and primers which cover an immense field of medieval and post-medieval studies and, when middle-aged, he constructed his two monuments of scholarship. A History of Criticism (1900-4) and A History of English Prosedy (1906). He gives you an ordnance map of the Past and leaves you to find your own way through the Present Cambridge History of Literature (1907–16) is perhaps even more typical. A systematic and continuous survey of the whole panorama was obviously beyond the capacity of any single brain, so contributions were invited from specialists all over the world, including some of the younger and less conspicuous. The outstanding authors were briefly yet adequately discussed, but it was the chief care of the editors (Sir Adolphus Ward and A. R. Waller) to explore the lesser names, and what one might call the background and undergrowth of literary development. They amplified their contributors' generalizations with elaborate bibliographies.

Hundreds of other examples might be quoted to demonstrate that academic influence, at that time, tended towards the training of the intellect—habits of research, organization and insight. Incidentally the treasures of the Past were made public for all who cared to possess them, not forgetting the evolution of language, thought and method. Nevertheless thousands of students were annually being encouraged to look backward for their culture, and to appreciate excellence only after it had been perfected and stereotyped in certain styles, rhythms, myths, and even figures of speech which had acquired the charm and unreality of a vanished world. So the majority could hardly help being prejudiced against novelty. Where their treasure was, there would their heart be also. It was equally inevitable that young people with an instinct for creativeness and experiment should be irritated by this immense and impervious body of academic opinion, so much so that many of them in the all-licensed interwar period should assert that only quite modern literature was worth reading. It is significant that T. S Eliot is almost the only original writer who has taken an honours degree in literature.

THE DECLINE OF VICTORIAN SCIENCE

For these irreconcileables, science might be expected to supply the most handy and inspiring ideology. The reign of Darwin. Huxley, and Haeckel had dawned on culture like a second Renaissance. Their doctrines promised certainty, and then led to socialism, politics, the investigation of morality, and religious controversy; and we have already seen that Kipling, Wells, Shaw, Bennett, Hudson, even Bridges, each in his own way, made full use of the opportunity. Meanwhile the reign itself, which began in the 'sixties, was declining in authority from about 1905 onwards. There arose a suspicion that science might continue to explain phenomena, but not existence. It resembled 'a theory of symbols which economizes thought' or 'a statement of coincidences which can be observed under the same conditions by all men', or 'the problem of classifying and interpreting facts, fitting them into patterns called theories and laws'. As early as 1892 Karl Pearson in the first of his many editions of The Grammar of Science defined it as 'the classification of facts, the recognition of their sequence and relative significance'. But the facts themselves were becoming too elusive. The evolutionists—for instance W. Bateson, de Vries, and the recently discovered Mendel-were confessing that evolution did not depend solely on environment. Life was an energy, an impulse which transcended circumstances and consequently our compre-This impression gained ground because Nietzsche 1 and Butler 1 became known, having just died (1900 and 1902), and Bergson, because he wrote with French lucidity; and in 1907-8 Hans Driesch delivered his Gifford Lectures on The Science and Philosophy of the Organism.

Since science was losing faith in its own finality, since evolution was unaccountable, it was felt that man could not fulfil himself through adaptation to environment, even in the social, economic, political, or humanistic senses of the word. On the contrary, he must look into his own heart, and there he would find an urge to resist the vagaries of evolution, an impulse to fulfil aims and ideals which have nothing to do with its frequently ignoble purposes. In the myriad forms of life around us there must be something, which corresponds to our deepest intimations, our striving towards self-expression, our instinctive kinship with bird, beast, and clod, our susceptibility to seasonal and astral influences. Our best hopes lay not in adaptation, but integration; not world-knowledge but

life-knowledge.

These aspirations had been voiced in the nineteenth century, but the romantic and Victorian poets had offered convictions, not. proofs. The twentieth century, though distrustful of science, could not unlearn scientific habits. They wanted to be persuaded logically.

It is a fortunate law of progress that when civilization is in need of an invention or impulse, someone supplies it, as if inspired by the atmosphere. In this case it was Edward Carpenter.

¹ Ante, p. 33.

EDWARD CARPENTER (1844–1929) AND BERTRAND RUSSELL (1872–) GIVE A LEAD

In the late 'sixties he read mathematics at Cambridge, and for the next ten years studied the physical sciences. Towards middle age he became discontented with his work, not because his learning was leading him down the wrong road, but because it was not leading him anywhere at all. It divorced the intellectual part of man from the instinctive and had therefore come to a standstill. The scientist was merely classifying facts without seeing whither they led. A

perspective was needed.

Carpenter found it in the recently established sciences of anthropology and folklore. Sir Edward Tyler had published Primitive Culture in 1871 and Anthropology: an Introduction to the Study of Man and Civilization in 1881; Andrew Lang Custom and Myth in 1884, and Myth, Ritual and Religion in 1887; Sir James Frazer had begun his monumental series of The Golden Bough in 1890. Carpenter used this knowledge and these conjectures to look at scientific phenomena, not indeed with the eyes of a prehistoric man, but with those of a modern man who had remained true to the best in his prehistoric heritage. He was much helped by Walt Whitman, Schopenhauer, William James, and R. M. Bucke's

Cosmic Consciousness (1901). Viewed from this standpoint, civilization had given us much and deprived us of more For untold ages human beings had lived a communal life, spiritually as well as socially, conscious of themselves in everything they saw, induing animals, trees, plants, and even streams and rocks, with the same emotions and habits as they shared with each other. They did not think in the modern sense of the word; they acted on instinct and race-memory, each organ; contributing its proper impulse, so that a current of subconscious energy pervaded the blood-stream and the nervous systems then, in the last few thousand years or less—a fleeting moment in the immemorial history—civilization had imposed a wider sense of security, and therewith forethought, the conception of private property, and the institution of class government. Human beings became conscious that they were individuals, and lost the sense of altogetherness'. They insisted on differences, watched first their interests and then themselves Man trusted more to his brain and less to the intimations of his bodily functions. He raised one organ into governance which conflicted with the others and broke up the unity of his nature. Hence also the sense of shame and the consciousness of sin, since civilization, even at its best, brings us face to face with our own weaknesses. Finally, relying on his powers of analysis and synthesis, he obscured knowledge, classifying observed facts objectively, ignoring the human and personal elements un phenomena.

Neither Carpenter nor anyone else would wish to resign our hard-

won victories, but he protested against regarding them as final. The civilization-epoch should be viewed as a tentative and not wholly successful period, necessary in order that men could acquire the 'Knowledge of Good and Evil'—that is, self-knowledge! The modern error was wilful persistence in this intermediate stage, blindness to our estrangement from Nature, from our true selves, from our fellow-creatures. We should use our enlightenment to recover the arts of unanimism, to merge our individualities in the cosmic-self', to feel and worship the elemental life coming to us through sex and the influence of the seasons and the heavenly bodies, as in the old nature-religions To achieve this consummation men of science must unify, not disintegrate, knowledgel merging mechanics into physics, physics into biology and zoology, and these last into sociology and ethics, all culminating in the conception of personality, human and divine. The artist must unlearn self-isolation and realize that he is psychologically a part of all he feels, and that his inspiration wells up from a consciousness diffused through his whole body, the organic functions contributing their flow to the life of the emotions, the nerve-centres playing their

proper part no less than the cerebrum.

Carpenter's naturalism is implicit in all he wrote and explicit in Civilization, its Cause and Cure (1889) and The Art of Creation: Essays on the Self and its Powers (1904). Neither book is fundamentally original. In 1862 Herbert Spencer defined philosophy, as 'the unification of knowledge' (First Principles), and as we have seen, the ground was prepared by anthropologists and students of folk-lore; in fact this modern reactionary might have derived his inspiration from Montaigne's brilliant and paradoxical essay 'Des Cannibales', published 1580. Carpenter is significant because he applied this knowledge to elucidate the moral, social and artistic perplexities of his age, and thereby demonstrated that it was already possible to start ideas which were later to become conspicuous; for instance, Bernard Shaw's advocacy of anti-vivisection, vegetarianism, the abolition of capital, the vindication of criminals, D. H. Lawrence's vitalism and unanimism, Somerset Maugham's insistence on selfexpression are anticipated in these earlier works. The reader will find much in sympathy with Bridges' Testament of Beauty (1929), J. B. Haldane's The Philosophy of a Biologist (1935), C. S. Lewis's The Problem of Pain (1939). He has prepared the way for the younger poets' claim to write from within, with their whole body; and for quite recent novelists, like Graham Greene and Frederic Prokosch, to dwell on the primitive streak in modern character. What is most remarkable, he has drawn attention to the ancient Oriental wisdom which has become almost a fashion from the 'thirties onward.

Carpenter startled his readers into thought and showed them where they went wrong. But he did not put them right, except through the most general and controversial assertions. He went no farther than the contention that men and women would recover

the old feeling for humanity only through the influence of a reformed society. He asserted but did not explain the principle on which that reformation should be worked.

The explanation was undertaken by Bertrand Russell in *The Principles of Social Reconstruction*. The lectures were written in 1915 and delivered early in 1916. They are affected by the War only so far as they feel in advance the tightening of Government control, and the consequent spirit of irritation. Otherwise they are

pre-war.

Russell recognizes that we are imprisoned by civilization, but goes on to argue that only civilization will set us free. The modern man can only be true to himself if he is true to his social institutions. These must, of course, regulate his complex, multitudinous existence, but must also give each individual the power to express the excellence peculiar to his individuality; the social soil must let him grow as spontaneously as a tree. Every human being has some creative impulse which ends in service to his fellow-creatures, whether through knowledge, art, or goodwill, and neither religion, marriage, education, nationality, nor—worst of all—property must be allowed to serve any other end. This idea of the State is at least as old as Plato, its direct challenge to our most approved English institutions was new. Russell bequeaths to the younger generation the problem of fusing their social obligations with their right to self-expression.

FREUD'S CONTRIBUTION

Both Carpenter and Russell exclaimed, 'know thyself'. Neither told their contemporaries exactly where to look for the raw material. Anthropologists and their interpreters might suggest the direction, but the inquirer would have to continue where they left off, and use his own eyes. That is why a certain Viennese neurologist was able to give poets, novelists, philosophers and essay-writers something to think about.

Sigmund Freud (1856–1942) was already beginning to explain that our avowed thoughts and reasoned attitudes were the product of education, social conditions and family life. This manufactured mask or garment—the ego—concealed and often perverted the universal hormaic man—the id—fermenting beneath. Each personality is like a bubble blown up on the surface of a dark, deep stream. This stream is the volume of life-energy with its crosscurrents, rhythms and race-memories, perhaps originating in prehuman sources, at any rate common to all humanity. The submerged river permeates our blood-stream, ganglia, and organs, notably the sex-glands, and creeps up into our brains, colouring, though not creating, our thoughts and wishes far more than we suspect.

¹ This simile is borrowed from Herbert Read's Education through Art.

Yet the brain, as known to the average man, is not the place in which to examine our 'lower nature', this id, as Freud calls it The mind of man lives a life apart, consciously adapted to environment, intent on its own susceptibilities. Or, at least we must catch our brains in their unguarded moments when instincts, impulses, and fancies rise of their own accord; best of all in sleep, when the reason relaxes its hold and dreams whisper the secrets of the animal, secrets dimly contacted and contraried by the habits

of the ego. Freud's influence was indirect and second-hand, but none the less He discovered 'the subconscious' to an age eager to know more about itself. In the past, other and greater writers had penetrated with a wider sympathy into that mystery, but as if by accident, dropping hints. The artist's insight was nearly always narrowed by the narrowness of the reader who expected characters to be clear-cut, all compact of unified avowable motives. Freud came with a scientist's authority and reminded us that such sculptured effects were due to artistic simplification. Our sentiments and intentions may seem to spring full-grown out of our heads, but in reality they are each a rearrangement of our unbidden day-dreams, lunatic fears, and unavowable cravings; and heretofore literature had generally suppressed that truth, thus excluding one half of human nature. We now understood more clearly how the outward pose betrays the inner man. Nor need the new knowledge always end in disillusionment. If psycho-analysts began by showing that the functions of the brain or body might suffer because some instinct was twisted out of its course, and a human being become anti-social because inhibited, they also proved that we could sublimate our basest and most scatological impulses into heroism, unselfishness,

and art. Traumdeutung appeared in 1900 (transl 1913)

Thus Freud prepared the way for the introspective novel, the internal monologue, impressionism, surrealism, and revealed possi-

bilities in the problem play and autobiography.

We have now seen that the pre-war period was an age of vistas, and that there was necessarily much initial uncertainty about their direction. We have also seen that there was no less uncertainty about the manner and means of exploring them. The most prominent writers kept as closely as they could to nineteenth-century models. The others, who were less effective or popular, nevertheless felt in their bones that their thoughts needed a vivid and unfamiliar presentment either more traditional or more anti-traditional. Both prosewriters and poets have been compared to a man desiring a new suit of clothes, and not quite satisfied with the fashions and materials either of Savile Row or Petticoat Lane

And all the time an Italian was helping them to make up their minds.

CROCE (1866-) AND PURE INTUITION

On the 2nd September 1908, in Heidelberg, Benedetto Croce delivered his lecture on L'Intuizione Pura e il Carattere Lirico dell'Arte. He began by discrediting all the established schools of criticism, because they were the work of previous ages. We cannot keep to the Past, simply because it is the Past. With our presentday experience and culture, we must begin again to ask what is poetry, and we shall find that it arose because long-ago human beings opened their eyes and felt by instinct that certain objects impressed them. Such is the meaning of intuition. These primitive observers could not reason or reflect. Their impressions produced a state of mind, not a sentiment. It was pure intuition, based on appearances, or the sense of reality, unobscured by after-thoughts. It needed language to become conscious, just as a spirit needs a body, and an impulse needs an action. Such is the origin and quality of poetry—a vision, fantasy, figuration—the first effort towards knowledge, the root out of which culture has branched and flowered, but neither the flower, nor the branch; the naive and simple gesture of a child's mind, a gesture which continues to accompany the progress of the spirit down the ages, as often as the impressionable, primitive being reappears whom we now call poet.

We recognize his quality by the power of his personality, but it is not the willed personality of the prophet imposing his convictions on his fellows. In that sense all true poetry is impersonal. It is the spontaneous personality of the dreamer realizing his dreams in what he himself sees. The dreams themselves do not matter much; but only the sincerity with which they are dreamt, or rather, the intensity of sensation revealed in the ardour of composition, not the idea evolved; the act of creation, not the ideal created; the flight

of the arrow, not the target hit.

Thus, of all human activities, poetry is the earliest, humblest and weakest; and therein lies its value. Without the poet's child-like wonder, our reflections and abstractions would lose much of their meaning, and our lives the sense of continuity. It is true that no impression or desire can be recaptured, because no event can happen more than once But the poet, by registering not the experience, but what it means to him, can save its significance, enshrine it in the imaginative tradition, and raise it above space and time. Life passes, but art remains.

These views, initiated in the lecture at Heidelberg, were amplified and amended from time to time, notably in *Problemi di Estetica* (1910) and *Breviario di Estetica* (1914). In England they quickly attracted the attention of experts, and gradually penetrated so widely that after the Four Years War many were repeating Croce's idea without having read a word of him, even in Ainsley's translation. His theory may be one-sided, but is not subversive; he applied it to Dante, Aristo, Shakespeare, and Corneille without destructiveness.

But in a restless, transitional period, he was often interpreted as discrediting some of our greatest poets because they were intellectual, and seemed to insist so encouragingly on creativeness that young verse-writers affected spontaneity or sought the sheer and exclusive pleasure of matching an image with exact words, because the image could give no other pleasure

LITERATURE ACQUIRING A PERSPECTIVE BUT WAITING AN IMPULSE

So ends a period of efforts, experiments, and divided aims. Moralists, like Julien Benda, looking back on the brilliant beginnings and incomplete endings, have suggested la trahison des clercs; rather unjustly, since it resulted not from faithlessness, but perplexity. It is not the function of the intelligentzia to put their heads together and construct a philosophy, religion, or social order. They are intermediaries between those who think and those who act or talk—spies trained to explore an unfamiliar country and bring back the information which their own people most need. They turn ideas into ideals and concepts into conduct. Such a synthesis at the best of times is difficult, and in this age v as more difficult than ever, partly because culture was no longer united under one harmonious body of knowledge, and partly because cultivated people were otherwise distracted by social disunion, political antagonism and international rivalry

As far as any agreement could be discerned, culture was beginning to disown the civilization from which it could not escape and to seek wisdom among the emotions we inherit, or are supposed to inherit, from primitive man; at any rate to admire, though it was impossible to imitate, the vigour, spontaneity, and single-heartedness which had nothing to do with modern sophistication. We have seen that some even revived the diction and style of the uneducated ages, some others protested against capitalism and the slavery of the working classes, yet others dwelt on the pettiness and futility of the well-to-do.

However, in this country public opinion rarely sets itself to choose between the cross-roads until some national event has changed its habits and disturbed its peace of mind. The needful impulse came with a vengeance in 1914.

CHAPTER VIII

IMPACT OF THE FOUR YEARS WAR

THE international catastrophe brought the forces of transition to a crisis, even in the remote valley of the shadow of books. It is significant that no one seemed to remember Zola's Débâcle or Stephen Crane's The Red Badge of Courage. At first there was something like a return to Victorian idealism. This 'war to end war' would solve our personal entanglements, our social antagonisms, and our political uncertainties. In that spirit the younger generation joined the last volunteer army in English history, and, if endowed with any literary aptitude, found their inspiration ready-made. The prosewriters were not remarkable; the theme was more worthy of poetry; and some 'temporary officers' certainly succeeded in bringing out their sense of strange beauty, their feeling for nature, and the acceptance of death in a great cause. Some descriptive pieces, like R. Nichols 'The Assault' (1916), Sassoon's The Rearguard (1917), are as vivid as dreams. Charles Sorley has, perhaps, succeeded better than any in expressing the charm and gracefulness of his talent on a background of trench warfare. The best single poem is Julian Grenfell's 'Into Action' (1915), though Laurence Binyon's 'For the Fallen' (1916) will live longer.

After the Battle of the Somme the lyrical or romantic spirit mirrored in E. B. Osborn's *The Muse in Arms* (though not published till 1917) passed away. It is true that most of the poets of the mobilization period lost their lives in that 'Push', but as one can see in F Brereton's *An Anthology of War Poems* (though not published till 1930), there were others at least as talented to take their place. It was simply that the whole nation reawoke to the realities of life; first of all to the bitterest disillusionment that had ever attended the British arms; secondly to the discovery that scientific and social progress could culminate in such a sequence of dreary horrors;

thirdly to the tone of civilian life which was beginning to wilt.

The quality of this second group of poets (from about the end of 1916) has been under-estimated. They still believed in human nature, they were alive to unobtrusive heroism, they had a more discerning social sense. Instead of chanting martial commonplaces their patriotism became forethought for the common soldier who shared their life in the trenches. But the spell of sympathy became so unbearably strong that they lost the poet's detachment. What should have been dreams turned into nightmares, soaked in mud and blood. Any sentiment less embittered by protest seemed to be no better than a conspiracy of silence. Their diction and manner were awaiting them in the pre-war neo-realism, for instance, in Conrad without the backgrounds, or Masefield in his 'blackguard' period.\footnote{1}

¹ Ante, pp 22, 55.

SASSOON, OWEN, AND BLUNDEN

The extreme example is Siegfried Sassoon, born in 1886 to a social position and opportunities such as favoured Rupert Brooke's tranquil youth, though there was more of Jorrocks in Sassoon's composition. His earliest war pieces do not lack a sober sense of heroism, but in his disillusioned period he felt that literary refinements would mislead both the poet and his audience, whereas violent, foul-mouthed expressions would surprise the public into visualizing life, and especially death, at the Front. So he exposed the bestial and mechanized inhumanities of the trenches with too much technical effectiveness, and thereby missed his full effects. His coarse or silly blasphemies often blind the reader to the deep sympathy they imply.

What Sassoon only implied Wilfred Owen nearly succeeded expressing. He was killed a few days before the Armistice, leaving behind a manuscript which Sassoon edited as *Poems by Wilfred Owen* (1921). These verses are by no means blind to the twentieth-century 'tragedy of blood', but he is also fully persuaded that the very vileness and humiliation of the soldier's lot can evoke a certain fortitude, even heroism, of which the obscure hero is unconscious. For instance, 'Strange Meeting' and 'Greater Love' give curious glimpses of his battered idealism. On the other hand, as an artist, he is not yet quite sure of himself. He relies too much on the academic manner of modern French verse, possibly not uninfluenced by Laurent Tailhade, whom he came to know in 1914 His complete works were published in 1930.

The least exceptionable is Edmund Blunden (1896—), who had already served his apprenticeship as a poet of nature, imbuing the pre-war countryside with a pastoral charm, of the earth earthy. He entered the war with his eyes wide open for its inner significance. He too sometimes yields to the sheer clammy horror, and relapses into terrorism (see *Third Ypres. A Reminiscence*). But on the whole he is ready to love everything: the genial warmth of comradeship, the suspense and excitement which keep our spirits alive, even

the mystery of desolation.

These three represent a quite numerous group which expressed or tried to express something more than disillusionment. They treat the war as a visitation fresh from hell, or anywhere else outside this planet; something which desecrated the flower of English poetry. They cared little or nothing for the vast issues at stake, but only for the plight of the common man, who, like themselves, was there because he was there. This touch of denationalized humanitarianism was then unusual, and has since, in the thirties, become a recognized trend of contemporary verse. The war-poets to some degree mark its beginnings. To reach that attitude they had to record a volume of human misery, death and mutilation not easily equalled in literature or history. On both these grounds

they are worth remembering. They also helped to make current the anti-God sentiment already suggested by Housman and Hardy. As the anonymous atheist declares in The Diary of a Dead Officer (1919), 'if there is a God at all responsible for governing the earth, I hate and abominate Him—I rather despise Him But I do not think there is one.'

After the Armistice there was the inevitable reaction, and war literature became unpopular, until the boys and girls of 1918 had grown up, and wanted to know more. So there was a revival, and the revivalists apparently understood the public taste and supplied anecdotes, experiences, and impressions, weaving their reminiscences into autobiographies or novels, of which the centre is themselves. D. Jones's In Parenthesis (1937) is interesting as an imaginative study in verse as well as prose, and Herbert Read's In Retreat (1925) and The End of a War (1933) have all his usual earnestness and distinction But the only permanently significant figure is Manning

FREDERIC MANNING

This little-known author epitomizes the transition from the old order to the new disorder. As an Edwardian, he fully recognized that man was no more than a man, but that within those limits he could achieve self-fulfilment, thanks to the union of science, philosophy, and literature. For him religion was 'the contemplation of the Unknown from the sum of man's experience'. In this spirit he studied culture and projected his conclusions into vivid and dramatic episodes (Scenes and Portraits, 1909) which represent the most critical stages in the progress of man's quest for Truth, and illustrate any thinker's dependence on his own perceptions; for the human mind can mirror only itself—It sounds abstruse, but rarely has a scholar mixed so much imagination with his erudition, and rendered humanism so human. It is noteworthy that his best 'scene', The King of Uruk, illuminates primitive mythology.

So with his verses (Poems, 1910). Superficially derivative, they

are as clear-headed and clear-sighted as his prose.

These speculations attracted little attention because their author was too critical to be sympathetic. He trusted no man farther than the historic environment in which his mind must have been formed A similar positivism might again limit his popularity, but would certainly not impair his judgement, if he ever set himself to handle contemporary experience. So it was well that Peter Davies offered to publish his impressions of the Four Years War. The result was Her Privates We. By 1922 (1930), the story of a ranker who (unlike Manning) received a commission but was killed before he discharged it. There is no outraged humanitarianism, pity, or selfpity, except so far as Manning holds war to be a crime for which the punishment falls on innocent people; merely an unique record of

lice, trench-feet, bad air, worse champagne, casual companionships, and now and then the most vivid impressions of night raids and shell-fire, varied by visions of sudden death and prolonged stretches of 'fatigue duties'. The prevailing note is friendliness and fatalism projected into half a dozen types so skilfully drawn that they seem to be individuals. The author has apparently lost his culture, though not his perceptiveness and powers of assimilation. On the other hand, he has convinced himself that a civilized man can quickly learn to do without civilization or sentiment, and that in these circumstances it is impossible to feel heroic or, indeed, that one's existence matters to any but oneself; least of all to the nation

To this record the future historian will add Robert Nichols' Anthology of War Poetry 1914-18 (1943), not because the collected poems open new vistas, but because the rather long introduction, in the form of a dialogue with Julian Tennyson, is the first attempt to contrast the war psychology of 1914-18 with that of 1939-43. The former was inspired by outraged sensibility, disgust with the attitude of the Home Front, and fear of being called a coward. 'This battle is for the private life. Religion, as Whitehead has pointed out, is what the individual does with his solitariness.'

So far, we have considered the verdict of those who went to the war Its impact on those who stayed behind is equally important, but less easy to trace. Yet a curious synopsis will be found in the history of 'Barbellion's 'mind.'

BRUCE FREDERICK CUMMINGS (1889-1919)

This son of a provincial newspaper reporter had a passion for knowledge, and being a child of his age, turned to science. Being also poor and self-educated, his science was out-of-date. He began by pinning his faith to Huxley and Haeckel, and believed that biology led straight to Truth and self-expression With difficulty he obtained a foothold in South Kensington Museum, and then learnt that twentieth-century specialization was very different from nineteenth-century vision, and offered little scope for his wider more human curiosity. In reality he was born to be a man of letters, with a poet's sensibilities, a novelist's curiosity, and an artist's eye for the essential Moreover, he read widely among the authors who unsettle nineteenth-century habits of mind, from Sir Thomas Browne to Bergson. But having learnt from them how to start thinking anew, he did not also assimilate their vision as well as their introspection, and following the vistas, looking for a faith in something beyond the perception of the senses and the scope of the reason; something that must be sought rather than observed. Scientific scepticism had sunk too deeply. So his restless, acquisitive spirit was turned in on itself. Before 1914 he realized that he had not long to live, and he began to keep off the thought of death by creating a portrait of himself which would survive in a book Such was his preoccupation; he made himself familiar with the memoirs, auto-biographies, and confessions which perfected the art of self-examination, and tried to 'move about in this ramshackle old curiosity shop of a world, sampling existence '—especially his own.

The attitude is conspicuously Edwardian. But under the pressure of the war-atmosphere, he learnt to observe other people as closely as himself, to see them as they are, some unconsciously heroic, others eaten up with ignoble desires, others again plain hypocrites. He came to think the thoughts of the interwar generation. He perceives that we have each three aspects to our being: the respectable member of society, the scurrilous, often foul-mouthed commentator, 'the real but unknown I'. He is able to switch himself in and out of his most cherished emotions, even to exercise his scientific analysis on the girl he loves best. He notes that lovers are drawn to each other 'in obedience to a powerful gravitation' far deeper than consciousness. He foresees a time when obscenity will disappear because we shall no longer treat the facts of sex as a whispered and ignoble joke. He is aware that we all continually hold an *internal dialogue* 1 with ourselves, which is as real as our formal thoughts.

These ideas and ideologies (more might be quoted) were commonplaces among writers who made their mark after Cummings's death. We should have expected at least one projection of himself into a novel. Instead, he has left only The Journal of a Disappointed Man, by W. N. P. Barbellion (1919), 'Enjoying Life' and other Literary Remains (1919, posthumous), A Last Diary (1920, posthumous). And yet these collections of entries, especially the first, constitute a novel of the new school. The central character, Barbellion, happens to be the author who leads him through a sequence of experiences, aimless except so far as they reveal spiritual contacts through language and the eddying stream of consciousness. Many novels and poems were to be made out of similar material.

H. G. Wells styled him 'the complete egoist'. He had no time to get outside himself and range his existence among the many who were, or hoped that they were, all parts of a super-personal scheme. Had he lived longer, he might have sought confirmation in some esoteric cult.² In our age an author must achieve detachment before he can impose his personality on experience.

¹ Post, p 176.

² Post, p 141.

CHAPTER IX

THE INTERWAR ATMOSPHERE

BY the end of 1918, the world was supposed to be entering on an age of peace and reconstruction. It was a great opportunity for the artists and intellectuals, as well as for the political and social workers. Many vested interests had disappeared. The 'movements beneath the surface' discussed in Chapter VII, especially the theories of Carpenter, Russell, Freud, and Croce, had quietly been gaining ground. Educated people were free to try out these values, and if approved, to adjust them to the post-war situation So much had happened that society seemed now for the moment to be one common body, only divided into those two immemorial classes: the intelligent and the unintelligent. All depended on whether that cleavage could be closed; whether the vast reading-public would support its leaders. At first it looked as if the nation was in the right mood, and as if the transition would be smooth, as is illustrated by three notable successes which have nothing else in common

THE DEMOBILIZATION SPIRIT

In 1921 A. S. M. Hutchinson, a journalist and the author of three novels which circulate in lending libraries, published If Winter Comes, an ordinary domestic novel, apparently reminiscent of Dickens, but inspired by a good-natured indifference to standards honoured in the pre-war middle-class novel—Its hero, Mark Sabre, is a character too selfless, single-hearted, and amiably tiresome to fit into this pettifogging Georgian circle. The war is not mentioned, but the public recognized the demobilization spirit—Shelley's 'if winter comes can spring be far behind?'—and the story became the best-seller of the century.

At about the same time, W. R. Inge, an authority on theological 'modernism', who believed so deeply in the mysticism of the human spirit that he could accept science and disregard ecclesiastical traditionalism, having completed in 1918 his researches into the *Enneads* of Plotinus, produced *Outspoken Essays* (1919, 1922), two series of journalistic discussions on taxation, the value of money, the effacement of culture, and the doubtful future of society. His contentions were provocative, and sometimes ill documented, and he wrote as one of the pre-war generation, righteously outraged by the drift of post-war mismanagement. But he also wrote in a lucid, penetrating style, he handled familiar facts in an imaginative and almost recklessly intimate way; so his enlightened conservatism was accepted by a generation bent on thinking for itself, and they bought his two volumes by the thousand.

The test example is Strachey.

GILES LYTTON STRACHEY (1880-1932)

He went in 1899 to Cambridge, where he learnt, like E. M. Forster, to value aesthetic emotions and personal relationships as the true end of existence. His intimate friends were like books, and his books like intimate friends, both fascinating illustrations of human character. He first attracted some little attention by Landmarks in French Literature (1912), which gives the impression of an Augustan born out of due time, always in love with craftsmanship, wit, and insight into character through art, especially in love with French exquisiteness, lucidity, and humanism, in the cultured epoch of the Ancien Régime. In fact he was always a bookman who studied the great wits because they helped him to realize his own sense of form and detachment, and who never admired a dead man without bringing his personality back to life Such are the qualities of Books and Characters (1922), Portraits in Miniature (1931), and Characters and Commentaries (1933).

No writer seemed less likely to be popular. But by a stroke of genius he once had the idea to portray certain outstanding figures of the nineteenth century as they would have appeared to the eighteenth and as they ought to appear to the twentieth; that is to say, shorn of their borrowed plumes, intensely alive, and therefore unmistakably incomplete, a challenge to our sense of fitness. He was rarely blind to genuine flashes of goodness and grandeur, but he was also maliciously alive to those lapses of character which we note in our best friends and worst enemies, but which our fathers were inclined to overlook in public figures qualifying to survive in history Eminent Victorians (1918) and Queen Victoria (1921) were being read when the political consequences of the war convinced us that the old order ought not to be revived and when J. M. Keynes's The Economic Consequences of the Peace (1919) convinced us that the old order could not be revived. Strachey's Victorians represented just those institutions which were most open to criticism—for instance, public schools, the Church of England, party government, bureaucratic administration, imperial expansion. Now and then his mockery gets the better of his judgement, but on the whole he leaves us convinced that to master the Present we must acquire the wit and wisdom of the Past—and its classic style

Many of his readers for the first time perceived the importance of the personal factor in public affairs, even more admired his disposition to criticize without controversy, and all half suspected that humour is the safest criterion of truth.

THE DEMOBILIZATION SPIRIT EVAPORATES

So the interwar period began well. It continued well until about the middle 'twenties Thereafter, the tone of the nation seemed to change. England was still full of life; if anything too full; but the atmosphere was not congenial to great literature. So the majority of talented authors, including (as we have seen) some of the most prominent, wrote down to their public, and, whether young or middle-aged, were financially successful. The more original went to the opposite extreme, as if in self-defence, in order to assert their artistic freedom; and this resistance to environment became an obsession. These strayers from the drove did not make big incomes, but some made big names.

Such was then the current explanation; and it is certainly incomplete. At the same time the inevitable reaction had supervened with unexpected suddenness, like rain-clouds obscuring the sun. The gloom of disillusionment overspread the reading public and to some degree influenced the writers who are all members of that same reading public, even if they refuse to follow its lead. So the dis-

contents of the period must be mentioned.

Many of the younger generation cherished their ideals up to Armistice Day, despite its orgy of neurotic joy-making. Thereafter they were brought face to face with the difficulties of political, social, and financial reconstruction and had to realize for the thousandth time in the world's history that human beings cannot for long be just to each other. Many young fellows who had risked their lives (as they claimed) to win the war, and rather naively expected a free hand in winning the peace, now found themselves cold-shouldered out of the world of administration by experienced politicians, and utterly ignored by that other unadvertised group of cosmopolitan business men, whose combines pervaded the social fabric. In fact the community was far from resolving itself into the single antithesis of the intelligent and the unintelligent.

As the problems of the first war had not been solved, a second war seemed inevitable, and this prospect favoured a continuance of war morality. The burden of the age was insecurity. If public men made hay while the sun shone, the pleasure-seekers, like soldiers on leave from the front, followed their example. Why take thought for the morrow? It was not an agreeable subject for meditation. It was quicker and more spectacular to possess a motor-car (then first becoming fashionable) than to possess oneself. So the Smart Set, like Galsworthy's white monkey, sucked the orange dry and flung it

away, mocking and jazzing.

This feverish futility was by no means universal, nor bone-deep. It might have passed almost unnoticed except for Noel Coward, whose indulgently cynical and technically perfect comedies advertised the pose and rendered it fashionable, especially in *The Vortex*, Easy Virtue, Fallen Angels, Private Lives (1922–1930). Society as a whole was slowly shaping itself. But the new order with its conflicts and claims, its readjustment of social units and regrouping of the population, all tended towards an unobtrusive encroachment on individual liberty and the effacement of personalities. The old English tradition of unconventionality seemed

likely to disappear. Along with it, there would disappear that other tradition of leisurely rusticity, the nurse of English character in olden times. Nowadays urbanization was inevitable, otherwise civilization could not progress. Yet urbanization either polluted the country-side or lengthened its distance by an interminable wilderness of suburbs. Town and country were still unreconciled, perhaps irreconcilable, and the divorce was all the more regrettable because city-life isolated the individual among artificial relationships which lacked the sense of permanence or membership.

The author who tried to diagnose the 'body politic' would come to the conclusion that its temperature was either above or below normal. These symptoms might cause anxiety, but they neither excuse nor explain interwar literature. Genius thrives on opposition. For instance (to take a few chance examples), neither Euripides. Cicero, Dante, Shakespeare, Milton, Shelley, Condorcet, Hugo, nor Dostoevsky could derive much inward peace from outward events. Besides, these years were not only a period of puzzlement and frustration The duty which England had disowned in her days of opulence was now being discharged almost without friction in her hour of need That is to say, the national income was so distributed that no human being need die of want, few need fear the degradation of poverty, and all, especially the highest and lowest, could taste at moments the luxury of feeling like everybody else. There was another consolation. If life was less reliable, death was less immi-We have already noted the progress of Victorian surgery and medicine, and its influence on humanism.1 Since then, the arts of healing had won and are continuing to win astonishing victories over our common enemy and his invidious allies, disablement and deformity. The survival of the unfittest might worry eugenists, but not the humanitarians, nor those who can rejoice that life is more and more like a freehold worth cultivating, and less like a leasehold burdened with restrictions, terminable at a moment's notice. All the while, pure science had advanced so far that it had outstripped positive knowledge. Its discoveries, as we shall see later.2 were to embarrass alike the rationalists and intuitionists, but it did not fail to remind us that existence is still full of wonders.

And yet the period produced no one able to catch and sculpture human nature in its essential mood and form, under a twentiethcentury disguise. The interwar literature is disappointing, and if that disappointment is to be explained we must look farther for the cause.

WHY INTERWAR LITERATURE APPEARS TO BE AN ANOMALY

In the first place we are apt to forget that a literary movement depends on two or three leaders The English Renaissance would have been quite different if Spenser had been drowned on his first voyage to Ireland, if Shakespeare had been executed for complicity with Essex, Ben Jonson had lost his life in the Netherlands, and Bacon had died early of one of the epidemics which visited London every five or ten years. So now, we cannot tell how much genius was lost at Loos, La Bassée, Bapaume, and Paschendael; nor again how much diverted into business, economics, social studies, or a political career. Others again, as never before, were compelled by the sheer cost of living to write only what the million would read in their leisure hours.

Nevertheless there was still a number of very talented authors—some competent critics would say men of genius—who had begun to attract attention in the pre-war period, but had not yet developed their powers, or had not yet established their reputation. There were, of course, others who had not taken up their pens till they had laid down their arms. But it was these slightly older writers, still young enough to be adaptable, who had the future in their hands. None quite succeeded in maintaining their grasp on it. They could not present their idea of human nature in the form (whether verse or prose) which represented the really heart-felt fundamental interest of their time. They caught certain facets, and relied on style to do the rest.

The prevailing note was a new or intensified curiosity, especially about oneself. As we saw in Chapter VIII, this curiosity was brought to the surface and sharpened by the ordeals and contacts of the war. People had become conscious of feelings and thoughts to which they had formerly been strangers 'Barbellion' was a striking example.1 And as we also saw, 'Barbellion's' curiosity was fostered by his reading and scientific experiences. Most of his interwar contemporaries, those who cared about ideas, had read more widely, if superficially, than Cummings, and were directly or indirectly aware of the disturbing theories started just before the war and discussed in Chapter VII. They had, at any rate, heard of the doctrines of Carpenter, Croce, Russell, and especially of Freud. In 1920, A. G. Tansley's The New Psychology and its Relations to Life, and in 1922 the publication of Introductory Lectures on Psycho-Analysis (translation by J Riviere) marked the beginning of a vogue which was bound to prevail because it raised so many questions about one's inner self. Assuredly a background of ideas was not lacking.

Why was the new knowledge so intractable? Because it offered artists their human material in a form which could no longer be ignored. It touched them too nearly. But it inspired, rather than satisfied their curiosity; it classified mysteries without giving them meaning. It left gaps in their sense of what must be true. It was so uncongenial to the traditional manner and mood, that it slipped through the fingers of those who would prefer to practise the traditional manner.

tional technique.

¹ Ante, p 129.

THE PECULIAR INTRACTABILITY OF INTERWAR IDEAS

Most of these ideologies were not so much new, as newly affirmed. presented in a guise which perplexed the serious student of humanity. For instance, Victorian science had imposed the duty of facing facts. 'Logical consequences', said Huxley, 'are the scarecrows of fools and the beacons of wise men.' But when the post-Victorian novelist assumed that duty, the new psychology taught him to portray vicious characters not as vicious but mistaken, merely the victims of a situation to which the author would have succumbed, thus effacing the ethical appeal.1 Psycho-analysis did, indeed, argue that our heredutary vices could be transformed into social virtues by a mental process; but neither Freud, Jung, nor Adler even hinted at the inner moral force which accomplished sublimation. Emergent evolution has already been glanced at; the theory apparently influenced Bridges' Testament of Beauty.² But when examined, the doctrine (also known as holism, creative synthesis, and epigenesis) seemed to teach that when certain elements combined, quite new qualities emerged, entirely distinct from the component parts. In the case of human beings, no solid base of character should be looked for, nor inward growth. The child had ceased to be father to the man. One could count only on a process which eluded the moralist.

These few examples, out of many, help one to realize the inconclusiveness of this new and supposedly positive knowledge. The intelligentzia were asked to renounce rationalism with its axioms and accept 'the nature of things', thus returning to irrationalism. They would have to follow divergent, ill-marked bridle-paths, leaving certainties behind them, guided not by a definite idea, but by an hypothesis which happened to appeal to this or that man's temperament, and might lead anywhere. When confronted by discrepancies and conjectures, a human being is liable to take refuge in a nomenclature. In fact a 'high-brow' has been defined as one who believes an explanation if labelled with a Greek or Latin name. So now, amid contradictions and inferences, terms tended to lead on to terms by their own affinity. Even so, they could not always be trusted to keep the thought on its own track. They threatened to overlap and crystallize the feelings, impose an intellectual tyranny, restrict insight to a connotation; like railway signals which ought to guide trains but are reverenced as if they ran them.3

These many disabilities concerned man's duty to himself, but it was by now recognized that he was not fully a man unless he also discharged his duty to his fellow-creatures. There were the social sciences to be reckoned with, especially the now fashionable study of Political Economy, all which imposed plans for the re-employment of wealth, the adjustment of machinery to progress, the reorganization of

¹ K. Burke, Attitudes towards History.

² Ante, p. 110.
³ J. H. Muller, Science and Criticism

industry, the reshaping of public morals based on mutual service, and education for citizenship. These and such-like preoccupations certainly encouraged one's sense of other people, but left the worker just as much a stranger to himself as if the private person had no right to exist as such and was much better without the instinct for self-possession and self-expression Besides, though the plans for society might produce an ideal state, the state was at present far from ideal. The novel had established itself as the best expression of social life since the eighteenth century, but we have already seen that some novelists could not make the best of their characters in that setting. It had become too money-ridden, disunited, and ignobly competitive.1 It no longer brought out the best in a man, unless he set himself to reform its abuses, thereby sacrificing those qualities which a novelist loves to depict. So we shall find that the most original masters of fiction-for instance, Maugham, Lawrence, Joyce, and Virginia Woolf, and later E. R. Eddison, Graham Greene, Forrest Reid, and Frederic Prokosch—in their perplexity, tend to stray from the beaten track and portray characters rather than careers. Their plots degenerate into experiments in human contacts, preserving the atmosphere, not the substance of a narrative, just enough to conform to type and hold the reader's attention. Settled society was no longer an inspiration.

Here again, there was disunion. Roughly speaking one might say that some of the older novelists (including Wells, Galsworthy, and Bennett) still interested themselves in their external environment, and inaugurated what might be termed the photographic period, a brief and hurried interval of sheer descriptiveness, much of it executed with a trained artist's skilfulness, all of it a joy to those readers who like minding other people's business. They believed that the comedy of life remains the same at heart, though it changes its face every thirty years. The succeeding generation (with exceptions) believed that the comedy had changed not only in semblance but in substance, because we, the observers, had changed. Our environment was within us, in our brains, but also in our bodies. The novel should be an initiation into a more intense order of being, in which the social background is perceived as so many symbols and suggestions of what we could make of ourselves-too often what the novelist could or could not make of his own private self. He then became so absorbed in self-examination that he lost sight of the reader

The same temptation was even more likely to beset the would-be poet. He, too, must assimilate the inconclusive knowledge of the age and adjust it to his own spiritual needs. He, too, must decide on the most appropriate technique. Ten years earlier Free-verse had been introduced, and by the elderly critics was dismissed as a youthful indiscretion. Now it was accepted as the shortest and simplest means towards spontaneity and self-revelation. Those

¹ Post, p 149.

who trusted in freedom were encouraged by noting that Croce ¹ had anticipated their ideal of art as a sheer act of creative intuition. The poet should clear his mind of culture, feast his eyes on the object, and then give vent to his emotion, as would a child or a primitive man. At this epoch the object was likely to be himself.

Free-verse, however, suffered from a peculiar disability: freedom to know the worst. As soon as a susceptible man turned his gaze inward, he ran the risk of being distracted by a swarm of conflicting impulses; emotions jostled one another in his consciousness; his identity seemed to be broken into facets. The approved prosodies helped the poet to collect his thoughts and imposed the appropriate mood. This modern technique was less amenable to discipline which was all the more needed because writers in this inconclusive experimental age often lacked moral and religious points of reference. So poetry might have taken a somewhat different direction if it had not been for I. A. Richards.

THE INFLUENCE OF 'PRINCIPLES OF LITERARY CRITICISM'

This treatise on aesthetics appeared in 1924. The author was a psychologist as well as an aesthetician, fully alive to the ordinary man's difficulty in co-ordinating his reactions—like bells jangling out of tune. The human being is possessed by impulses which need an outlet in action. Only rarely can each find its appropriate practical outlet, and therefore they obstruct each other; hence waste of energy It is the function of literature to supply a substituted outlet It reconciles and releases these mutual inhibitions inwardly, through some thought or flight of the imagination, and Richards termed the power which touched the spring a value. The defined the word as 'capacity for satisfying feeling and desire in various intricate ways' The poet was the master of the solvent. He achieved this value as often as he created and suggested a state of mind in which our inward agitations are harmonized as if on the point of finding their natural vent, suspended but united, on the verge of fulfilment, imagination and mood taking the place of opportunity. These 'imaginal and incipient activities' were termed attitudes.

What exactly are the poetical values which produce these attitudes? How does the poet instil this 'inter-inanimation and balancing of impulses'? Not indeed by insisting overmuch on the theme which happens to occasion his poem, but by communicating his own serenity through the manipulation of words, images, and rhythms—a substituted poise and stability through the healing power of art. Poetry, then, is a magic spell, practised on the senses, and thence pervading the nervous system, soothing it, bringing its restless promptings to a single point. It induces a subconscious act of self-mastery followed by the enjoyment of release from stifled or mutually destructive impulses.

This integration does not end with the ending of the poetical experience. The after-effects work their way into the structure of the mind; the attitude becomes a habit; he who knows how to read poetry knows how to look on life with acquired competence and sanity, and with a deeper insight into its possibilities.

Richards's aesthetic is not remarkably original except in its method of presentment and emphasis. It is obviously adapted to an age doubtful about the older sources of inspiration, and partial to a scientific exposition. The author admitted as much in his short sequel, Science and Poetry (1926). But he does not breathe a word against the values of the classic poets, only against our misunderstanding of their influence. Yet Principles of Literary Criticism has exercised a wide and deep influence. It has brought the prevailing tendencies to a head; it has confirmed suspicions; above all, it has assigned to the poet a duty which no one else can discharge. According to the author, he is not, as Browning said, 'one of God's spies', on the look-out for touches of perfection in a seemingly imperfect world. He is an artistic psychiatrist, less concerned with man than with his own disordered self, and on that unabashed evidence he cures his fellow-men.

It was only too tempting to infer, perhaps unfairly, that his art consisted solely in the manipulation of words, the juxtaposition of syllables and open vowels, or in the management of incidence, alliteration, broken rhythms, unexpected images, and the shock of surprise. At least such might well be the only avenue of approach in this disconcerted age. The treatment could be so effective that the reader might succumb to his essential charm without fully understanding the import of the poem. Impulse and instinct were the stuff out of which attitudes should be woven into patterns, so Free Verse would continue to be as free as the subconscious

CLASSICAL v. ROMANTIC

There is, of course, no inherent reason why this way of writing should point towards decadence. The released impulses may aim at a higher realization than is possible within the limits of sensuous experience—a gesture of the spirit, impatient of earthly impediments, eager to envisage strange meanings in ordinary things. If so, as Herbert Read suggests in Annals of Innocence and Experience (1940), genius may be, as it were, a leap into the dark. Such flights would emphasize the contrast between life as it is and as it could and should be, between our earthly fate and heavenly destiny. The poet has the right to take these liberties. In highly wrought moments he may have to catch at any symbol or secret token—dreams, allegories, mysteries, or even mere phases of feeling—and may claim that his allusiveness expresses the inner structure and rhythm of his being, with which others should be in sympathy; again, the right of every poet.

But, it can well be objected, the reader also has his rights. Many of them feel in their bones a sense of order and fitness, a fixed intellectual centre to which they can refer their manifold experiences, a standard founded on self-discipline and self-examination; so much so, that literature loses half its value if one cannot apply this norm and measure. These disciplinarians are averse from science because it tells too little, and from symbolism because it tells too much. It is (they believe) the symbolist's function to see 'more than cool reason ever comprehends', but his duty to embody his imagination objectively, within the scientist's range of observation, among the things that every enlightened person can know and judge T. E Hulme ¹ had certainly gone too far when he wished to confine poetry to a succession of clear-cut earthly images; but, on the other hand, many of the greatest poets had succeeded in breathing their other worldliness into worldly experiences, as a consummate artist should.

These are questions of principle and literary taste, open to discussion, irrespective of any school or epoch, and preferences largely depend on temperament. Unfortunately, the virtues of order, economy, and justness are most conspicuous in the so-called classical and classic authors, and the spirit of adventure, unconventionality, and self-concern are most conspicuous in the so-called Romantic Movement. Consequently professional critics, always on the lookout for a party cry, have revived the nomenclature; apparently on the ground that the present crisis is the last stage in the divergence, the qualities on both sides having reached their extreme development or decadence. Hulme had given the lead with his 'after a hundred years of Romanticism we are in for a classical revival'. The controversy has produced some able apologists, notably Wyndham Lewis (Men without Art) and Herbert Read (Introduction to Surrealism) for the romantics, and Norman Foerster, Irving Babbitt, and Elmer More (Humanism and America) for the classics, but on the whole the exchange of ideas is academic and sectarian. It throws down an apple of discord where unity is so much needed and could so easily It is, however, a hopeful sign that T S. Eliot advocates and practises a fusion of the two manners, and that I. Evans (in Tradition and Romanticism, 1940) labours the rather obvious point that most great English poets had already done so.

THE RELIGIOUS REVIVAL

In the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, an age like ours in its transitions, controversies and disastrous wars, people believed that the devil was more than usually rampant, and besides reviving the Faust-legend, they burnt 100,000 witches, accused of complicity with Satan. Like our forefathers, three hundred years later we are again beginning to fear the powers of Evil. Wherever the modern man looks—whether into himself with his endocrine glands, sexual

impulses, and inherited animalism, or into society with its rivalries. injustices, and the horror of its devastating wars, or into traditional culture with its old-world sentiments and values—he meets with disillusionment. There may still be much good in the world, but nature and civilization seem to be opposed to goodness. Hulme may have begun to put the idea into the heads of this generation when he became known as a believer in original sin. The war poets and diarists certainly reinforced the impression, and since then C. S. Lewis has openly discussed the principle of Evil, not as an abstraction, but an immanence, in The Problem of Pain (1935), and C. E. M. Joad in God and Evil (1942), both insisting on its obtrusiveness. This recrudescence of Satamsm is significant because its effect is very different from that produced at the Renaissance. It is now argued that if the soul is sick and conscious of its sickness, the cure must begin at the source; the soul must heal itself; and as if by some instinct of the spirit, it escapes from facts, towards 'something that gives meaning to all that passes and yet eludes apprehension' (Whitehead)

Some moralists have left the West behind them and consulted the spiritual physicians of the ancient East. They learn from Zoro-astrianism how to distinguish Good from Evil. The Good is the peaceful, laborious life; Evil is simply no-life, the power which destroys. They learn from Buddhism that Evil is nothing else than desire—the cravings which combine in each human being and isolate him from his fellows, condensing (as it were) into a wilful restless personality. This individualism can be effaced through meditation and asceticism, and reabsorbed into the one universal human Self, which partakes of God. From Taoism they learn that there is a divine order or principle to which all things must conform, and from Confucianism how we can convince ourselves of this truth and convert its divine sanctions into human virtues.

The Persian Zarathustra (in Greek Zoroaster), the Hindu Gotama (entitled Buddha the Enlightener), and the three Chinese moralists Lao-tse (founder of Taoism), Confucius, and his disciple Mencius, all flourished about 500 B.C., and all appealed to AD. 1920, because they taught the inquirer how to simplify and concentrate his idea of himself; instead of following his nose round the circumference, how to rest in the centre. In that year 1920 Edward Carpenter published, in Pagan and Christian Creeds, his two lectures on The Teaching of the Upanishads, which were mystical treatises, each attached to one of the four Vedas, the ancient sacred books of the Hindus. They do not enjoin the unquestioning receptivity expected from the modern layman, they impose the arduous self-discipline of thought-control and sustained contemplation, and they lead to the enlightened and restful sense of absorption in something infinitely greater than oneself. Man possesses himself by discovering that; his spirit is a part of the cosmic whole; his body does not matter. Such was the value of Oriental wisdom. It helped the learner to

think beyond and beneath the distracting piecemeal theories of his age, to work his own salvation by hard thinking, to trust those agelong intimations which have served mankind since the dawn of culture; and finally, to transcend thought and reach the Truth in what can only be termed a state of higher consciousness or 'one-pointedness of mind'

The way for the revival was prepared by Schopenhauer (passim), Max Muller (Sacred Books of the East), Von Hugel (The Mystical Element in Religion), A. Waley (Three Ways of Thought in China), R. Guénon (East and West and The Crisis of the Modern World), and has since been explained by Charles Gore (The Philosophy of a Good Life), Radhakrishnan (Eastern Religions and Western Thought), P. D Ouspensky (A New Model of the Universe), C. E. M. Joad (Guide to Philosophy and God and Evil), and K. Walker's two fascinating volumes, Diagnosis of Man and The Circle of Life, both in 1942 There are many others; amongst them Aldous Huxley in Ends and Means, 1937. Somerset Maugham has added to his portrait-gallery of eccentrics a more than usually sympathetic study of the young man who was single-hearted enough to live the wisdom of the East (The Razor's Edge, 1944).

The way of the ancient Hindus and Chinese was not everyone's way. The Orientals were more concerned with the duty to oneself than the duty to one's neighbour; they had no ethic; whereas the Westerner cannot generally possess his soul and heal it unless he shares it with his fellow-creatures. So many erstwhile free-thinkers are beginning to return to Christianity. Some have found what they sought in the Roman Church, and in those cases their conversion influences literature only so far as it steadies their point of view. It is more important to bear in mind that others shrink from dogma and ritual, whether Anglican or Catholic, as uncongenial to the modern mind, symbolisms which have lost significance. exchange the institution for its founder, instead of a doctrine a moral ideal in the persons of Jesus and St. Paul Some, as if taking a hint from Strauss's Leben Jesu and the other Christologists of the nineteenth century, go so far as to look up to Christ as the myth or symbol of what twentieth-century life should be-not the Galilean prophet who suffered under Pilate, but the ideal personification of Christian society which subsists in Christ 1 Others are satisfied in the quest of the 'historical Jesus' as the social Jesus, freed from the complications of socialism—that is, the human being who lived the perfect human life, convinced that good-will was the only necessary passport to salvation, and yet claimed intimacy with God. Yet others still keep to humanity as the One God and believe that every man has within him 'a soul which is spirit and which has a greater value than the whole physical universe (J. Maritain), but neverthe-

¹ Alfred Lossy, La Morale Humaine. See also M. D. Petre: Alfred Lossy, 1944

less depends on society for its realization—a reformed society, good in that it aims at the good of each member.

Whether the religionist is Roman, Anglican, or free-lance, his cult is most significant. It represents man's last effort to transcend the limits of ordinary human existence. The twentieth-century Christologist feels that intercourse with the Man-God can be so intimate and spiritual that at moments it is possible to reach the borders of eternity. He resumes in thought the sacred experiences of the New Testament narrative, and feels their influence so deeply that nothing obstructs communion with a Being outside and above mortality—that is, outside and above the Three Dimensions. We can travel backwards and forwards in time, almost in touch with the Eternal Presence

It sounds like an old story, till one notices the emphasis on the idea of time. That is the only indisputable contribution made by pure science to the life of the spirit. We have seen how the human sciences, whether practical or speculative, were disappointing; but there was still Physics, which studies the mysteries of the Universe and should satisfy man's need of wonder and worship. In the preceding centuries that need had not been fully satisfied because the cosmic miracles had gradually come to be represented as parts of a machine, vast as space. But with the twentieth century, Physics, like the other sciences, advanced into uncertainties. In face of relativity, the quantum theory, and the electron, we were once more moving in 'worlds not realized'. If God were a mathematician, mathematicians should bring us back to God.

It need not be added that this expectation was unfulfilled. Physicists did not handle the materials of direct sense, but the underlying ideas, and rendered them so metaphysical, so extra-human and occult, and yet so logically inevitable, that we could not even 'believe because it is impossible to understand'. Nowhere was it more difficult to fit oneself into the scheme of knowledge. The humanist in search of a value would be rewarded only with the artistic pleasure of noting the physicist's constructiveness and presentment—his 'communicable pattern'.¹ The one exception was the idea of Time.

As we saw in Chapter I, the modern man is tempted, almost compelled, to regulate this idea by the face of a clock and the pages of a calendar. This system is indispensable to an age of fixed hours and appointments, but cannot ever satisfy man's consciousness of what passes in his own head. It suggests or confirms the fiction that life is a sequence of continuous yet distinct moments, a perpetual birth of instantaneous impressions, whereas all artistic and imaginative experience insists that life as we perceive it is duration. For example, the first note of a fugue is still present when the last note is struck; the first scene in a well-constructed drama is as close to us as the episode on which the final curtain descends. All life is fusion as well as flux; so much so, that childhood's impressions become part

¹ M. Johnson, Art and Scientific Thought, 1944.

of the grown man; and Past combines with Present to form the outlines of the Future Some visionaries claim the power to relive their own antecedents and to be present at what is going to happen. These persuasions might be, and generally are, dismissed as wishful thinking, though the acceptance of eternity implies the acceptance that all facts are forever present; but now science was beginning to hint that Time takes place within us

The man of letters would not trouble to follow the speculations of Aristotle, Isaac Barrow, Newton, Einstein, and Minkowski, though he would probably have heard of them, but if he glanced through Sir Arthur Eddington's The Nature of the Physical World (1928) he would gather from Chapter III that we perceive more than what is instantaneous and simultaneous. What seems to be happening now, may really have happened seconds, minutes, hours, days, or even years earlier, or we may be able to see it as if happening later. The mistaken impression of a time-sequence prevails only so far as our perceptions are restricted to the first three Dimensions as revealed in Euclid—that is, the so-called static relationships of matter. It appears quite otherwise when the mind acquires a sense of the Fourth Dimension and learns how to move backward and forward in that 'continuum.' So there may well be scientific truth in the intuitionist's claim that insight transcends our limits of the Present.

From the earliest ages it has been believed that the Past and the Future lie about us, felt but not seen. Now hard-headed thinkers are hinting that the mysterious veil may be of our own making, due to the limitations of our intellect, itself operating within the prison of

Time

These doubts and guesses have been profoundly stimulated by the recent work of psychologists and metaphysicians, notably by S. Alexander (Space, Time, and Deity), H. L. Bergson (Évolution Créatrice and La Perception du Changement), J. E. Boodin (Time and Reality), B. Bosanquet (Value and Destiny of the Individual), F. H Bradley (Appearance and Reality), J. W. Dunne (Experiment with Time, and The Serial Universe), J. A. Gunn (The Problem of Time), W. R. Inge (God and the Astronomer), J. M. E. McTaggart (Nature of

Existence), Von Hugel (Eternal Life).

Those who ignore popular manuals on Physics are not likely to have studied these philosophic treatises, but they all emphasize the recent importance of the problem, and are making their influence felt, even on those who know something of their gist only by hearsay. They all help us to realize more deeply that Time is not a matter of timepieces and almanacks, but of events happening one after another in space; that sometimes this sequence is so closely knit and the changes operate so slowly that we know them only as persistence or duration—at least, such is their appearance to our perceptions, which are notoriously unreliable. Nevertheless if these impressions can be taised to the level of our super-intellectual experiences, we become conscious that 'going-on-ness' implies a cosmic growth and progress,

of a quality to be inferred only through study of the apparent flux around us; perhaps (as Alexander puts it) a nisus towards Deity; perhaps (as Bosanquet puts it) a principle of impermanence, novelty, and originativeness which are 'the very source of our freedom and inspiration'. If so, the ancient image of the Womb of Time may be a philosophic truth. Its real nature may be such that we can indeed relive the 'Past' and foretaste the 'Future'. Nay, more, if we examine the phenomenon philosophically, we may possibly be able to deduce, as McTaggart believes, that Time is but an appearance, misperceived by our senses, and that the only reality is the Eternal Now.

Thus the modern spirit of inquiry is leading us back towards ancient Oriental mysticism and medieval theology. At any rate we have yet another field of sentiment and speculation in which the imagination

can wander, and the reason learn to extend its range.1

Some poets, especially the Symbolists, are convinced that they can slip into the hidden area, and prose-writers are following them. We have already seen how Hinton, Wells, and Newbolt ² played with the idea. Henry James laboured on it in *The Sense of the Past*, which he left unfinished. C. A. E. Moberly and E. F. Jourdain presented an intriguing aspect of the problem in *An Adventure* (1911). P. D. Ouspensky in *A New Model of the Universe* (composed 1910–29) speculated on the possibilities. R. A. Wilson worked the idea into *The Miraculous Birth of Language* (1937). We shall see later how Aldous Huxley and T. S. Eliot looked to this hypothesis for an assurance of reality. Had its influence been more penetrating *The Unquiet Grave* (C. Connolly, 1945) might not have been so unquiet, nor even a grave.

¹ For one of the latest discussions, see M F. Cleugh's *Time* (1937).

² Ante, pp. 26, 74.

LEADING INTERPRETERS OF THE INTERWAR PERIOD

WILLIAM SOMERSET MAUGHAM (1874-)

To be consulted: P. Dottin, Somerset Maugham et ses Romans, 1928; F. T. Bason, A Bibliography of the Writings of William Somerset Maugham, 1931; S. Guéry, La Philosophie de Somerset Maugham; R. H. Ward, William Somerset Maugham, 1937.

[Son of a solicitor attached to the British Embassy in Paris, where he passed his boyhood. At the death of his father, transferred to the guardianship of an old-fashioned and egoistic clergyman in a depressingly provincial parish, and sent to King's School at Canterbury. When his time came to leave, he insisted on spending some months in Germany (mostly at Heidelberg), and enjoyed his personal liberty so much that he thereafter refused to submit to the undergraduate restrictions of Cambridge. So instead of taking the Law Tripos, he entered St Thomas's as a medical student in 1892. There he escaped from the philistine respectability of his own station in society and acquired a grim impression of life, from which he never escaped. The first fruits of this experience was Liza of Lambeth (1897), a piece of descriptive realism, crude and formless, but terribly true to the need of birth-control in the slums.

Did not take his M.D., and as his first literary effort attracted notice and promised success, he drifted almost at once into writing as a livelihood.

1898–1908, produced eight novels and two farces without much success 1911–1913, produced eight plays also inconspicuous till in 1907 he employed the Golding Bright agency, and secured in that year three placements which ran concurrently. This unusual success made his reputation.

1913-1920, abandoned the theatre. In 1915 published Of Human Bondage, an autobiographical narrative, as inconsequential as Liza of Lambeth, which nevertheless expressed the contemporary mood and eventually became a household word, in that the author confessed to all the less objectionable frailties of human nature. Thereafter, partly in War service, but also to counteract his own self-consciousness, he took seven long journeys in both hemispheres (including the South Seas and China), in search of more objective material. The result was The Moon and Sixpence (1919) and The Trembling of a Leaf (1921), his first masterpieces in narrative

1920–1924, returned to the theatre, producing eight plays, of which The Circle (1921) and Our Betters (1923) mark the maturing of his dramatic talent. 1925–1928, produced three novels, especially The Painted Veil (1925). 1928–1932, produced some of his best work both for the theatre and the book-market, notably The Bread-

winner (1930), For Services Rendered (1932), Sheppey (1933) for the former; Cakes and Ale (1930), The Narrow Corner (1932), A Christmas Holiday (1940), The Razor's Edge (1944) for the latter.

Though born in the 'seventies and an author in the 'nineties, he acquired neither his style nor his attitude till the interwar period. At his best he is more cosmopolitan than Kipling or Conrad, while his interest in human nature and all its contradictions is perhaps deeper and certainly more expert than theirs

Out of nearly fifty publications, he will be remembered for about a dozen

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

As a student at St. Thomas's Hospital Maugham began by acquiring a medical man's attitude to life; that means a professional familiarity with the defective organization of the body and consequently of the mind. So much so, that creation seemed quite purposeless. He never forgot having once treated a young child who was born simply to die of meningitis; and with such evidence daily before his eyes, he could not help concluding that conduct is influenced not by principles, but by the impact of desires. As an evolutionist, he was all too conscious of the caveman stirring within us, impatient to break away from the civilization which we had not the intelligence to control and readapt to our best purposes. Yet even in his student days he realized that despite all these futilities and misfortunes, man sometimes rose above himself by producing or perceiving beauty.

His literary biography is a history of these discoveries and rediscoveries, and of the arts by which he projected them into his impressions of conduct and character. But he had first to arrange and unify his ideas, and, if possible, to co-ordinate and interpret his observation of facts. He claims to have dipped into some of the best philosophers, from Berkeley to Whitehead, but if so, he found little to disprove his pessimism, but something to encourage his artistic instinct: what he called 'the poetry of disciplined thought'.1 These thinkers had constructed a pattern of spiritual life out of their educated self-consciousness. Could he not similarly impose his personality on experience, using plays and novels to express the result? If he learnt anything more definite than an attitude, it was Schopenhauer's doctrine of The Will as the only reality within the range of human experience, the innate, immanent, and inseparable quality which preserves and asserts the identity of each particular person or thing, and which can be more intelligibly suggested by art than induction.

At any rate our author was led by his temperament and experiences to visualize this aspect of reality in ordinary human beings, including thimself—'the need of self-assertion which is in every living thing and which keeps it alive. It is the very essence of man.' 2

¹ The Summing Up, 1928.

This philosophy had to be presented in a form which satisfied the author, but also pleased other people. Obviously the bourgeois novel and domestic comedy of the nineteenth century would not leave him a free hand within their conventional limits. In fact he travelled abroad so far and frequently in order to escape the middle-class sameness of Europe The experiences he sought would sooner be found in a jungle than in a garden. But he had to learn all he could from his predecessors, and it is significant that among English authors he valued Dryden, Swift and Fielding for the lucidity of their style, but modelled his point of view and constructive methods on Voltaire's Nouvelles and de Maupassant's Contes. He particularly admired their arts of surprise, and the make-believe which, avoiding the detailed documentation of the professed realists, aims at artistic effect

The portrait is not yet complete. This scientifically minded student of self-assertiveness was not likely to ignore his own need of self-assertion. But he has assured us in *The Summing Up* that he was then poor, infirm, insignificant, nervous and unloved. Not being able to command respect, he was compelled to buy it. He must make a fortune out of best-sellers and plays with long runs, and become a man of importance. So he began his career by cultivating the tastes of his contemporaries rather than his own. Consequently he did not find his, true scope till he was verging on middle age. In the meantime he taught himself how to tell a story objectively, with an artist's regard for the economy of effect.

HIS APPRENTICESHIP

The success of Pinero, H. A Jones, Wilde, Shaw, and Hankin convinced him that the theatre was the quickest and most gentlemanly road to fortune. Inclination led him to believe that the playwright had a better chance, if already known as a novelist. So he began by writing stories such as the English middle-class were accustomed to read. *Mrs. Craddock* (1902) is his most successful effort.

So with his early plays. He acquired the knack of ridiculing the fashions and frivolities of his age with elegance and humour Now and then he strikes a spark out of human nature as revealed in the Comedy of Manners, taking Congreve as his master. The Land of Promise (1913) is the consummation of this phase

He reached the first turning-point in his career when he temporarily withdrew from the theatre and tried to weave his own experiences and frustrations into a life-pattern. Existence might be a jigsaw puzzle, but the fragments could be worked into a design It finally appeared as Of Human Bondage (1915)

These confessions had an unexpected success because Maugham applied the technique of *Candide*. Voltaire had invented an *ingenu* whom he dragged through the cruelty, injustice, and false sentiment of the eighteenth century. Our author invents a child of nature

with a club foot (instead of his own stammer), and trails him through the futile routine of our overcrowded middle-class occupations, riddled with cant, economic slavery, and the sexual instincts which civilization excites and perverts. Maugham is just as detached as Voltaire. Yet his narrative is even more devastating because he feels the sting of writing about somebody very like himself. At the same time Philip Carey is too much the scapegoat of his age to be a reliable self-pertiait. He has no mind of his own, self-control, or spiritual refinement. Lacking any talent or aptitude, he drifts. In the course of his vagaries he meets other drifters, as futile as himself, but more tragic because more self-deceived. The author had intended to entitle his novel 'Beauty out of Ashes'. There are ashes in plenty, but no beauty. The narrative is prolix and ill constructed, and the ending is an anti-climax.

Nevertheless, Of Human Bondage is a remarkable achievement. Maugham may be solely concerned with the telling of the story, but the reader closes the volume with two other impressions which ought to unsettle everyone's habit of mind. First, one perceives that Philip, and indeed the other characters, are not their own true selves. Modern society seems to insist on the collectivization of the entire human personality. Even the study of art and of science, is vitiated by its encroachments. So the irreconcilable individualist can no longer maintain his inward freedom; he can only escape, with burnt wings, into some factitious egoism. In the next place, this enslavement may concern a social thinker, but offers little scope to a novelist. If the European civilization of safe-careers and salaried specializations gives no elbow-room to the inner man, it also gives none to the creative writer—at least not for novelists like Maugham.

HIS MATURITY

When Of Human Bondage appeared, Maugham was already abroad. Intermittently he wandered East and West for several years, picking up copy. Henceforth he is to be ranked with the fraternity of cosmopolitan authors. He certainly returned to his art a new man, having observed decivilized human nature. His mind gained freedom while studying those who were free. Though he subsequently revived the themes of his first period, he seldom forgot the insight learnt through travel. Many younger novelists to the same reason have searched far afield, including Forrest Reid, E. R. Eddison, Graham Greene, and Frederic Prokosch.

Maugham lost no time in applying his lesson, drawing as always on facts, sometimes to excess. Paris was beginning to 'discover' Gaugin, and was impressed, somewhat superficially, by his deep burning colours, strange savage faces, naked bodies, and luxuriant vegetation, which glows and palpitates. Here was beauty imposed

¹ Cf. Nicholas Berdyaev, Slavery and Freedom (1944), whom Maugham to some degree anticipates.

² Cf. ante, p 91

on wildness, and the artist set himself to do with his pen what the painter had done with his brush So The Moon and Sixpence was published in 1919, the story of a second victim of collectivization. who revolted just in time at the dangerous age, like Gaugin surrendered himself to the artist's ferocious egoism; became a veritable bear without a ring in his nose; and died of leprosy at Tahiti, where Gaugin had died of syphilis The theme is unusual; the treatment even more so Its resemblance to Conrad is superficial because Charles Strickland, the erstwhile suburban stockbroker, is not haunted by his past. His character is conceived on the principle that a man is not tied to his own identity. We can always and at any time die and be reborn, or as T. S Eliot would say, 'In my end is my beginning' Nor could this kind of transformation be developed by the older novelistic method as an inner biography or autobiography, because the transformed character is himself unaware of the stages through which he has passed; or so we are led to So Maugham borrowed from the technique of a stageplay and recorded the death and rebirth through their influence on other people's thoughts and conduct. Strickland's altered personality lives in broken reflections spread over the perplexity and amazement of his circle, including the author We glimpse a fresh aspect as often as we glimpse the sayings and sentiments of the secondary characters, who do not alter, though they are portrayed with admirable insight and humour.

Within the same period Maugham produced other fiction in a similar vein, especially *The Trembling of a Leaf* (1921), a collection of short stories on characters observed in the South Sea Islands. All depict the erratic will-power of Europeans seeking their true primitive selves stupidly, recklessly, or tragically, amid the lan-

guorous beauty of tropical scenery

Maugham was still barely fifty years old, and with this widened horizon before him, one might have expected more discoveries of eccentricity hidden in human nature, since he knew where to look for them. But fiction rarely brings in money as quickly as the theatre, and is more difficult to write. Besides, an audience, in Maugham's experience, is comparatively easy to satisfy. It is susceptible to mass suggestion, and therefore enjoys recognizing familiar notions in an unfamiliar form. So within these lucrative limits our playwright-novelist was well advised not to spring psychological surprises on his patrons. It was safer to play on current sentiments, relying on his craftsmanship to keep his 'houses' together. These precautions, of course, did not exclude oriental backgrounds and the humours of character.

The result is notable. Maugham now appears as the masterly technician in stage-sense, and also as the mirror of interwar sentiments and sensationalism. He swung from the comedy of manners to that of morals, or rather of immorality. His protagonists are not sordid or subhuman, but apparently insensible to any motive but

their own inclinations and otherwise as good as you and I. Nor does he conceal that his adventurers, sensualists, and law-breakers are playing with fire. One feels that the ghost of tragedy is lurking somewhere behind the scenes, waiting for the cue, which does not come, because there is no eternal law to vindicate. There is only the artist's ability to manoeuvre events and sustain interest through craftsmanship. His best examples are *The Circle* (1921), *The Letter* (1925), *The Sacred Flame* (1928). It is wonderful what tactical concentration can do.

HIS LAST PHASE

Before Maugham was sixty he at last began to feel sympathy with our frailty, and a sense of human goodness. This change of heart is unmistakable in Cakes and Ale or The Skeleton in the Cupboard (1930), his greatest or second greatest novel. It is not a satire on Hardy (as at first supposed), but on the humbug surfounding an author of Hardy's eminence The 'skeleton' is that in all our cupboards: our real and original selves, a wraith which goes its solitary way, squeezed between the public character we put on, wherewith to face the world, and the more private but equally artificial character we absorb out of our domestic and personal habits. Lawrence had for some years been writing much about this 'original self' as a matter of the diaphragm and thoracic ganglia, revealed in the anomalies of sex attraction. Maugham reveals it in petty subterfuges and affectations, and refers to it as 'a jest in the eternal mind'. This cosmic farce is not a complete success, because the author makes too much of the puzzlements, surprises, and humorous mistakes of the secondary characters. But no reader can mistake 'Rosie', the eternal lover, incidentally a nymphomaniac and adultress, yet sublime in her tranquil beauty and kindness of heart, untamed by the parochialism which the others cannot escape.

What Maugham just failed to engrave on his novel, he could only suggest in his next two plays. For Services Rendered (1932) is a drama of post-war misery, of which the plot is keenly pointed and the histrionic opportunities are admirable. There is an unmistakable note of deep pity and indignation, but the pathos is depressing without being impressive. Sheppey (1933) is a tragi-comedy touched with farce. The hero has a heart of gold and also the oddities of a stage fool. Unfortunately angels in disguise are not easily naturalized in stage-land. The novel was the only medium for this erstwhile cynic's humanitarianism. After some more attempts, notably the vivid The Narrow Corner (1932), he achieved complete and characteristic expression in A Christmas Hohday (1940). It is an experiment in a character which can be felt without being seen. Berger is the mainspring of the neatly adjusted mechanism, an attractive, artistic and humorous beast of prey who

cares nothing for the human species, least of all when embodied in himself. We never meet him in person, but we do meet those affected by his personality, and they introduce us to the heartaches, false values, desperate expedients, grinding poverty, and, above all, heroism gone to waste in a world-capital. Still true to Voltaire's technique, he develops this study in futility through the eyes of an ingenuous young Englishman who knows nothing of life outside the well-bred, leisurely comfort of the upper middle-class. Charlie, and perhaps the reader, is left wondering, at odd moments, whether existence must be always nothing but a painful mystery

That question is partly answered in The Razor's Edge (1944), which presents a circle of well-nourished, well-salaried, and wellmannered Americans-interwar civilization at its best. And yet they are not truly civilized. Had their circumstances been less comfortable, their characters would not have stood the strain. As it is, their worldly preoccupations render them self-centred, superficial, and at times ridiculous All except the young Irish airman, who knows something of the mystery of death, and has resolved to know more of the mystery of life He gets nearest to the revelation through the paths of Hindu mysticism, and thereby learns how to ignore all the commercialized values and creature comforts of his environment, absorbed in union with the Universal Spirit, and therefore in love with his fellow-creatures. Maugham, as a storyteller, is judiciously vague about Larry's researches and convictions. but he is the only character whom his creator respects. So do we. Apart from these moral implications, the student will find in this last novel the most complete example of Maugham's technique. Assuredly the old craftsman has lost none of his cunning.

MAUGHAM'S FUTURE

As regards his comedies, the best will hold the stage (after the usual post-mortem interval) because their author understands the mass-psychology of the theatre, and knows how to relieve a situation with touches of humour which are all his own. So he gives the cast ample opportunity to do justice to his ideas.

His novels are better than his plays, but their position is less assured. At first Maugham impresses us as a most accomplished representative of the old objective school, a disciple of Voltaire and de Maupassant. Then we notice that he has added to his skill in narrative the technique of the playwright; his protagonists become vivid through their effect on the 'feeding' characters, again a tradition, much honoured by Molière. By these means his studies in eccentricity dawn on the reader with a shock of surprise, all the more real because astonishing, and with a unique comic flavour. In his first two periods he presents life as a disappointing business, offering the sole consolation of insight through art. With advancing years he perceives that human oddities may be so, chiefly because

they are more earnest and less seif-seeking than conventional people, and therefore worther members of society, though still just as comic. His work has a progressive quality, without which an author seldom survives. If England is about to undergo a period of State administration in which social service will be coupled with intellectual conformity, Maugham may be honoused as a champion of individuality. But posterity, already overburdened with its literary heritage, is likely to overlook one who neither founded nor followed a school, especially as certain contemporaries are more conspicuously original

DAVID HERBERT LAWRENCE (1885-1930)

To be consulted: Letters of D. H. Lawrence, with Introduction by A. Huxley, 1932; J. M. Murry, Son of Woman (1931); H. Kingsmill: D. H. Lawrence, 1938.

Son of a miner and a talented woman with middle-class aspirations. 1901, began as shop assistant, then qualified for his teaching certificate at Nottingham, and taught for five years at Croydon. 1910, his mother, who had restrained and possibly absorbed his sexual impulses, died, and her son began to develop rapidly. In 1911 published The White Peacock, and after a severe attack of preumonia quitted teaching and lived precariously by his pen In 1912 seduced and in 1914 married Frieda Weekley (née von Richthofen), and under her influence, living much of the time in Switzerland or Italy, produced Love Poems and Others and Sons and Lovers in 1913, The Rainbow in 1915, and Women in Love in 1916 (not published till 1920). Though not a pacifist, he was an indiscreet individualist, and 1916-18 fell under public suspicion amounting almost to persecution. In 1919 he tried living abroad, mostly in Mediterranean countries, convinced that postwar England was exhausted as a source of culture. Not finding what he sought except as revealed in Aaron's Rod (1922), he returned in 1023 to his own country. Then resumed his wanderings, this time in search of the primitive, chiefly in Australia, which he soon quitted, and New Mexico, which inspired some of his best prose and poetry. To this period belong Birds, Beasts and Flowers (1923), The Kangaroo (1923), The Plumed Serpent (1926), Lady Chatterley's Lover (1928), Pornography and Obscenity (1929)." 1930, died of consumption at Venice.

His troubled, restless career is one of the most remarkable records of man's effort at self-realization. He made many enemies, and few authors of genius have had more reason to complain that the worst of them was himself?

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Among gifted authors, Lawrence is the one self-made Englishman, who did not even try to assimilate middle-class customs and

culture To his dying day he remained a plebeian well read but ill bred; too talented, of course, to associate with his own people; too morbidly eccentric to mix with the better educated, except a group of friends, with whom he generally quarrelled So he stood alone, impatient of literary standards and traditions, starting with a working-man's sense of life, and an artist's passion for self-expression.

Self-development begins with self-knowledge, and he began by trying to extricate his instincts from the muddle of lower-class existence, mostly passed in a stuffy miner's cottage, and that is why he dwells more than is just on his mother's influence. In the next place, as the son of a miner, he had ample opportunity of undervaluing the industrialism and mechanization which are the life of democracy, and of overvaluing the life of the soil, among the farms which encircle the colliery belt He went 'back to the land', at heart a child of nature, using his education to regain this birthright of which civilization had dispossessed him. His love and understanding of animals have won him many admirers.

So has his sense of natural surroundings. But he was less concerned with their effect on his readers than on himself. That effect became tremendous. When writing on Hardy he speaks of 'the vast uncomprehended and uncomprehensible morality of nature or of life itself, surpassing human consciousness',1 and he was gradually convinced that if this 'waste enormity' and 'terrific action' could be reconciled with society's modes and manners, a 'supreme art' would result. So he surrendered himself to these mysterious forces, never shrinking from what he imagined to be their influence and impulse F. R. Leavis talks of his 'terrifying honesty'; Vernon Lee declares that 'he sees more than a human being ought to see '. Such is the rhetoric of contemporary criticism In reality, Lawrence reveals nothing at which to close one's eyes or stop one's ears. Many have tasted his experiences, though few have externalized them and used them to create a deeper, more satisfying sense of their own personality Lawrence is unique because he tried to do so. Each novel or poem was one step more towards a complete self-portrait. Nevertheless it is undeniable that nature seemed to penetrate and trouble his being in some mysterious way, and he could not help trying to realize how and why he was part of the mystery.

Hence his impatience with Victorian traditions, whether literary or social. His artistic ideal was spontaneity—the expression of his original, uncontaminated selfhood retraced to the vital sources of Universal being. To a poet the revelation might come on the windswept downs, in the silence of a forest, amid the liveliness of a farmyard, or when struck by the beauty and strength of animals. To a novelist it would come with human contacts, especially the

¹ Phoenix, The Posthumous Papers of D. H. Lawrence, edited by E. D. McDonald, 1936

attractions and distractions of love. Lawrence most certainly had the perceptiveness of a poet, but even more the sympathies of a novelist, because his sexual problem was his most urgent pre-

occupation

He was born and bred in the class familiar with love as a physical act, undisguised by prudery. On the other hand, he began to think and observe in a cottage surprisingly well supplied with books (if Sons and Lovers is a true picture), and dominated by his mother's insistence on proprieties. As a student at Nottingham, and teacher at Croydon, he had little opportunity to know himself till he fell in love with Frieda Weekley. He describes their association as 'a wonderful, naked intimacy, all kindled with warmth which I know at last is love'. The experience made him an artist, but it was not complete, because the relationship was not complete. Though the undercurrents of their being brought them together, the overcurrents kept them apart. She was the daughter of a German Freiherr, and he the son of a drunken miner. The tension pervaded their married life, and consequently the husband's writing. He was unable to think of love except as sexual attraction or repulsion.

It is obvious that Lawrence, more than confident in his unaided genius, was little beholden to the culture of his time, except so far as it encouraged or enlarged his intuitions. E Seillière ¹ connects him with the neo-naturalism inaugurated by Schelling's *Ideas towards a Philosophy of Nature* (1797) and developed by Rudolph Steiner and Ludwig Klages. Lawrence does not allude to these or any other such pseudo-Oriental doctrinaires. In his letters he alludes to his interest in Frazer and Tylor, ² and affects an indifference to Freud, whom he must at least have discussed. The basic articles of his philosophy can severally be found either in Carpenter's Civilization; its Cause and Cure ³ or Cummings's Diary of a Disappointed Man. ⁴ His inspiration and expressiveness owe very little

to books and very much to life.

HIS PRE-WAR PERIOD

The White Peacock (1911), his first important work, composed easily and spontaneously while he was still a teacher at Croydon, is his most natural though not naturalistic novel. His manner has been compared to Hardy's, but the resemblance is superficial. In the first place, the innumerable conversations seem pointless till one notes that they all dally with the outer fringe of sex attraction and repulsion, and secondly, Cyril, the self-effacing observer, is homosexual in so far that he needs another man to lean upon and is disappointed in George Saxton, the virile, well-favoured young farmer who fades away into drunkenness and death, because he has

¹ David-Herbert Lawrence et les Récentes Idéologies Allemandes, 1936. ² Ante, p. 120. ³ Ante, pp. 120-1. ⁴ Ante, pp. 129 ff.

no true sense of life and its spiritual possibilities. The country atmosphere and touches of animal life are the book's abiding charm.

Lawrence had learnt much by the time he published Sons and Lovers, his most autobiographical novel, in 1913. It tells how a family of boys are so dominated by their mother's affection that when they grow up they cannot love, but only lust. That was the fate which the author had just escaped Nevertheless the interest in the Morel family, especially in Paul the artist, is admirably sustained, and this achievement is all the more remarkable because. though the characters are always doing or saying something, our attention is fascinated by their underlying thoughts. Lawrence now knows how to let his personages go their own way, according to their own lights, quite indifferent to the social framework in which they are supposed to move However, the novel is too much of a 'One sheds one's sicknesses in books.' But if so, confession. like any other invalid, whether physical or moral, he makes too much of his ailments. He has not yet learnt to efface himself in the many-sided spectacle of human nature Nor did he ever learn.

Lawrence must himself have realized this limitation, for his next effort, The Rainbow (1915), is much deeper and more varied. He may have learnt something from Balzac's, Zola's, or Proust's studies in domestic ramifications, for this 'novel of the family tree' covers the destinies of a numerous and ill-assorted kinship spread over many pursuits and two generations. There are pictures of contemporary society, farm life, existence in suburban villas, and the activities of University students; above all, the primitive, pagan magic of the land, with the rhythm of the seasons, and the uncanny, sensual power of nightfall in summer heat. Some of these passages

are as good as any in twentieth-century literature.

So it would seem that the artist had acquired a wider, more externalized sense of character and destiny, and thereby achieved his own integration. Yet in reality the advance had left him in a veritable wilderness of self-discovery. Some of the most vivid pages describe prurient marriage-bed antagonisms, and even more impulsive and surreptitious adulteries, all ending in the humiliation of the male and the dehumanizing of the female. He is already bringing into his fiction the emotional tensions of his married life; and the strain has uncovered his naked, prehuman self. In the first place, he tends to blur the outlines of his men and women, and to merge their individualities in the universal sex instinct. the next place, he tends to diffuse not only the characterization, but the structure of his novel in a pervading sense of atmosphere is the weakness of the composition according to academic standards, such is its strength according to D. H. Lawrence He feels that he is at last penetrating to the heart of nature, outside time, a part of the earth and skies, in harmony with the vague impulses and influences which must for countless ages have swayed human beings.

¹ To A. D McLeod, 27 Oct., 1913.

Consequently intellect, will-power, and the tricks of personality are mere accretions which encryst the dark, deep stream of life; mere superficialities hiding the essential truth. He compared his study to the rainbow, a mysterious and immemorial symbol which seems to rise from the earth, traverse the skies, and descend again to the earth. The novel is an original and disturbing adventure among ideas; and was banned by the censor.

A similar story is reflected in Love Poems and Others (1913), Amores (1916), Look We have Come Through (1917). These verses explore every family quarrel and every lascivious secret, so that he can let his imagination loose among the subterranean currents of his being and indulge them without sacrificing his artistic con-Almost every poem can be attached to some expesciousness rience. They are born of passion, but not of 'passion recollected in tranquillity'. Each is an effort to isolate the passing mood and fix it for what it is worth at the moment. 'Life, the ever present.' he asserted five years later, 1 'knows no finality, no finished crystallization' On the other hand, as D S Savage has pointed out 2 this fixation of the 'instant present' has not the collected meditative power of experiences matured and fused into new and significant combinations. It is outside the tradition of authentic poetry Lawrence would agree and add that 'free verse' is not meant to be like 'restricted verse'. It aims at catching the impulse in its flight, flashes of lightning which the great masters have never been able to photograph, a revelation which transcends the established methods. As such, these experiments are not completely successful -if success is possible-but an impartial critic must recognize the poet's sense of rhythm adapted to the mood, his alertness, his sincerity, and the vigour and directness of his symbolism.

Both in prose and poetry Lawrence had developed a genius for expressiveness, but his mind, which seemed to be prospecting everywhere for truth, had crept into a narrow, tortuous channel.

HIS INTERWAR PERIOD

Up to this point the tendency of Lawrence's art was unpremeditated. He simply followed his feelings. But the outbreak of war brought many troubles and trials to him and his German wife, and gave an embittered and aggressive turn to his ideas. He now began to believe with Otto Spengler that European culture had run its course and was sinking down into a second Dark Age. That was yet another reason why artists, if any were left, would have to begin their quest all over again, starting as if from the Bronze Age. He now turned of set purpose to the current ideas mooted in folklore and anthropology. He persuaded himself that evolution is a word without meaning, for there is no development of new faculties out of primordial energy. The life-force contained all our powers

Pref to American ed of New Poems, 1920. The Personal Principle, 1944. in their full vigour from the very beginning, and if they now decay it is due to the desiccating blight of civilization. All should be convinced that our only hope is to explore 'the warm creative stir' at the basis of life, then follow the instincts, exercising our acquired intelligence, and thus find our way to the realization of the spirit,

to 'pure relationship and living truth'.

The first step towards self-rescue is made in Women in Love (written in 1916, published 1920) At first glance it reads like his pre-war work; and in the end the story brings two pairs of men and women together. But the upshot leaves a different impression. Gerald and Gudrun cannot escape their mental consciousness and fail to unite. Ursula and Birkin complete each other because their love is 'mindless sensuality'. Through sheer physical contact they realize 'the immemorial magnificence of mystic, palpable, real otherness'. The novel is not one more record of disappointment or frustration.

Meanwhile, like so many of his contemporaries, Lawrence was seeking inspiration outside England. His novels become wider in scope. He fills them with the manners and customs of foreigners, and his backgrounds are often chosen from the wildest, darkest, and most primitive landscapes. He was particularly impressed by Australia, its prehistoric gloom contrasting with the dreamlike, mechanical existence of its inhabitants; or Mexico, abounding in filth, sordid sensuality, and the cults of aboriginal gods, on a background of blazing colours. His characters also become more adventurous.

At the same time he writes like a prophet with a mission, exhorting us to new gestures, embraces, and emotions. In Aaron's Rod (1922) and The Kangaroo (1923) he preaches a society founded not on the family, but on what Whitman would call 'the love of comrades', and Lawrence calls 'mate-love' or 'mate-trust', the intercommunion between an heroic leader and an enthusiastic disciple. a bond of mutual dependence and aspiration without which the human being is not complete. The homosexual ideal, which he touched on and abandoned in The White Peacock, has at last found its proper setting. It is also implied in The Plumed Serpent (1926). This is one of his most remarkable novels. He pictures the ancient Mexican community, sullen, bitter, and apathetic because it cannot catch up with civilization, and still prolific in men who do not think through their brains, but through the impulses of their bodies— 'pure sensuality with a powerful purity of its own'-or as if the body were 'the flame of the soul'. There are some strikingly original portraits, and Lawrence tries to weave his story round them, so as to convince us that natural women and especially men will unite and co-operate, not so much for a 'reciprocity of power' as a 'reciprocity of tenderness'. He does not succeed; though his experience has widened, he is still burdened by his haunting indecision and sense of impotence.

In some respects his verse has become more effective, especially Birds, Beasts, and Flowers, published in 1923, though many poems were composed earlier. This volume consummates the tendency noticed in his pre-war poetry, the divorce from the classic tradition of 'significant complexity' (D. S. Savage). The author claims for it 'stark directness without a shadow of a lie, or a shadow of deflection anywhere'. As before, the rhythms are well tuned to the rise and fall of the emotions; and the unstudied urgency does somehow convey the impression of a somnambulist trying to wake up to the elemental significance of life, a lonely being in quest of his own thoughts and feelings. It is noticeable that the personal preoccupations of his earlier poetry have vanished. He is inspired only by fauna and flora. To find his place among his fellow-creatures, he resorts to novels.

The climax of this period is reached in Lady Chatterley's Lover (1928), followed by Pornography and Obscenity (1929), each a genuine attempt to convince the world that our phallic consciousness could and should be purged from even a hint of indecency because it is the source of all real beauty and all real gentleness', as he wrote to Harriet Monroe in 1928. This last significant effort betrays no decline in literary power. The characters are unusually clear-cut, and the plot is almost classic in its simplicity. Yet, undeniably, it misses its purpose, because conceived and executed on the wrong plane Literature can purify almost any subject—some of the noblest poetry has been created out of sexual passion—but not out of sexual contact. Our bodily functions, with their personal embarrassments and obtrusive terminology, are on another footing, beneath the level of literature which confers self-release.

Then in a flash we realize what is wrong with Lawrence's whole attitude. Despite his genius, his mind lacked an educated man's perspective. That is why he cannot conduct a convincing dialogue between a man and a woman, and rarely between two men; and why his characters behave with a coarseness out of keeping with their social position. This first authentic voice from 'the silent men', 'the Sons of Martha', 'the Nether World', is too disappointingly authentic. It is vitiated by vulgarity. When he was not losing his way among middle-class amenities, his mind worked as gracefully as one could wish. Witness the charming Etruscan Places (1932), a travel book which is a classic in its insight and sympathy, worthy to be ranked with Gautier's Voyage en Espagne.

HIS PLACE IN THE FUTURE

Posterity is not likely to value him as a companion for its lessure hours. His characters are too unlovely and unreal, their creator is too eccentric and unsympathetically narrow. Nor will he be valued as a social reformer, not at least until English society is completely absorbed into its own lower class; nor yet as a poet, for though

he writes verses with an amiable and sensitive awareness, there will always be many who excel in those qualities

Be that as it may, he ought to be remembered whenever students talk about the scope and method of the novel. He realized that we are most ourselves when most free to understand other people, and feel what they are feeling. 'It is the way our sympathy flows and recoils that really determines our lives', he asserts in Lady Chatterlev's Lover. That is why he could not help writing novels for which he had no special aptitude In addition, he tried to lure his contemporaries away from forms, formulas, and ideologies-from what was customary and therefore decayed—into the secret places of life, the sources of human vitality, of which the majority knew nothing. So he completed the inward subjective novel, constructed out of intuition and suggestiveness, without sacrificing the framework which has a beginning, a middle, and an end. Besides, he had a marked talent for short stories. Adolf, The Fox, and especially The Woman who Rode Away are as graphic and concentrated as any by Somerset Maugham. So he may well hold his place in the history of the novel.

He deserves to hold a yet more permanent place in the history of sentiment. He acquired a penetrating though often one-sided instinct for the processes of nature, and for their immemorial though now often unobserved influence, on human motives and moods. He endued his characters with these intuitions, and rendered them so sensitive to atmosphere and landscape that they seem to be not mere outgrowths of the countryside obscurely meditating on its lessons, but subconscious communicants, creatures admitted to the secrets of unanimism.

THOMAS STEARNS ELIOT (1888-

To be consulted: T. McGreevy, Thomas Stearns Eliot, 1931; H. R. Williamson, Poetry of T. S. Ehot, 1933; F. O. Matthiessen, The Achievement of T. S. Eliot, 1935; I. A. Richards, Science and Poetry, 2nd ed., 1935.

[Born at St. Louis (Missouri) of an old-established and cultivated family, connected with business. 1906–15, studied literature, and especially philosophy, at Harvard, Paris, and Oxford, and had the opportunity of first-hand contact with the French symbolistes and English Imagism, inaugurated by Hulme, Pound, and Amy Lowell, and himself contributed verse to periodicals Married in 1915; employed in Lloyd's Bank, 1916; assistant editor of The Egoist, 1917–19; editor of The Criterion, 1923, and soon after, a co-director of Faber and Faber. During this period a notable contributor to periodicals of prose and verse, reprinted in book form, of which Prufrock and Other Observations (1917) attracted attention, The Sacred Wood (1920) aroused discussion, and Waste Land (1922) established his reputation.

For about the next ten years his influence was at its height, and though both his fame and faculty seemed likely to decline in the middle and late 'thirties, he has entered upon a more mature and mellow phase, with East Coker (1940), Burnt Norton (1941), The Dry Salvages (1941), Little Gidding (1942).

Viewed in retrospect his apparently tentative progress is seen to be directed by a single purpose. He is a philosopher whose thoughts

must be expressed in poetry.]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Eliot is old-fashioned as far as he accepted the responsibilities of an academic education.

His intellect was trained at Harvard, Paris, and Oxford, almost entirely in the pre-war period (1906–15), when the spirit of tradition was stronger than now and Universities were more content to be trustees of knowledge. Moreover, he was chiefly concerned with literature and philosophy—that is, in prescientific learning, which we value because it belongs to every age, and relies on intuition, or on insight into the unchanging mysteries of human nature. He was also much influenced, then or later, by the prophetic books of the Bible and the esoteric teaching of Zoroaster, Buddha, and Lao-Tse. He was something of a mystic; by temperament and training dissatisfied at the 'ignorant knowledge' much favoured of his own generation—It is not surprising that he was also a student, almost a disciple, of I Babbitt and G Santayana.

At the same time he had a practical man's aptitudes and experience in business, and a poet's awareness of what was happening around him, and was fully alive to the contrast between nineteenth-century culture and twentieth-century civilization with its technics, mechanics, and especially the human types these seemed to produce.

Thus Eliot, like so many others, might have been 'wandering between two worlds', except that he did not wander. He stood between the opposite poles and set himself to bring them together. This fusion called for a peculiar point of view and literary technique.

While in London and Paris he had come into contact with the latest pre-war poetical movements, and fully appreciated imagism ² It seemed to be the best corrective for looseness of expression and sentiment; it favoured an attitude of detachment and impersonality; besides, it suited his photographic imagination. At the same time he was bound to cultivate an air of improvisation. The old-fashioned, rhetorical dialectic would savour of second-hand inspiration. It was desirable to write as if feelings and reminiscences arose of their own accord, one idea jumping to meet another, as in the freest of Free Verse So if Eliot was to combine imagist effectiveness with free-verse spontaneity, he would have to reform the reformers.

He reformed them by doing just what Lawrence did not do. Being something of a psychologist, he understood that the brain

worked by the association of words or ideas, one current flowing into another, the Subconscious blending or conflicting with the Conscious, and the Reason trying to hold the balance and fix the' attention Thus, even in his pre-war verses he hit upon a presentment very like the Internal Monologue 1 as expressed either in the musings of a character, or in the sorting of the poet's own impressions and reflections. But whereas other monologists of this school confined themselves to ordinary or rather ignoble phrases and associations, Eliot perceived that imaginative passages from great literature, the product of casual and scholarly reading, also played their part; and he constantly introduced classical allusions and reminiscences, as if self-explanatory, rising spontaneously into the text,... culture's comment on experience. He would point out that the greatest poets of the Renaissance and Augustan Ages allowed themselves this pleasure, and that the tradition should be continued among the seeming innovations of his own time. It is useless to pretend that he was always expert in the observance.

Nevertheless, this practice did much to strengthen his prosody and give point to his imagination. Like some others, he felt keenly that twentieth century verse was suffering from lack of standards. It was bound to benefit from the studied felicities of Elizabethan drama—the audacities of the spoken word—because Renaissance poetry had the emotional intensity and vivid phraseology which organize our impulses through the power of language. Jacobean and Caroline poets also count for much in his development, nor was he unmindful of the conceits and fancies of the Metaphysical school. It is noteworthy that he learnt as much or more from Dante, who symbolized a whole theology in human contacts and was so graphic and unconventional that he keeps his readers hanging on his words. Thus his many modernisms and innovations, which are sometimes perplexing, never quite get out of hand. One feels behind them a mind saturated with the best literature and mindful of its artistic. obligations.

He was also mindful of its moral obligations. Just as one feels the influence of Croce ² and Richards ³ in his literary theories, so in his judgements on his fellow creatures the reader must be prepared for a standard of conduct and criticism based on wide reading, both philosophical and religious, much of it inspired by older devotionalists—for instance, medieval mystics and Pascal—but also sensitive to modern ideas. He was much interested in twentieth century interpretations of folk-lore, and also the newer reasoning by which we can get a little closer to the paysieries of the physical world, especially the sense of Time, ⁴ which seems to obstruct our spiritual contact with Past and Future, and yet remind us of their elusive presence.

In art and ethics, he would say, the spirit of man moves forward with the generations, adapting itself to the continual variations on

¹ Post, p. 176. ² Ante, p. 124. ³ Ante, p. 138. ⁴ Ante, pp. 143 ff.

LEADING INTERPRETEPS OF THE INTERWAR PERIOD 163

the surface. Literary tradition means permanence in change. We have now to see how far this philosophy could justify itself in the light of poetry.

HE CLIMBS PUBLICITY HILL

His verse and prose had already begun to appear in periodicals when he first challenged the attention of the wider public with a reprint in book form, Prufrock and Other Observations (1917) volume of satires on subjects not worth satirizing—sordid episodes, and characters without character—was mostly conceived, if not composed, before he left America, about 1910. At any rate it applies to the pre-war world. Its apparent freakishness, inconsequence, and clipped visions would have been unforgivable except for the individuality of its style. There is something so compelling and concentrated in the succession of images that one reads on to see what it is all about. Besides, though the themes are unedifying, the author is not so. He writes with a cold contempt, utterly uncontaminated by his subject, worthy of the best traditions of satire; and if his manner is provocative, it is studied. Then it began to be noted that though his sketches reminded one of an impressionist picture, they had unity of tone; the details were in keeping with the composition. Very few perceived, despite the introductory quotation, that, like Dante, this seeming modernist was taking a preliminary trip into the colourless, ignoble Inferno of our days, and trying to reproduce his impressions as graphically and unconventionally; revealing without sharing the attitudes of his victims

At any rate it was soon to be realized that this new and challenging author had no intention of overwriting himself. His next slim volume, *Poems* (1920), was as carefully studied as the first and in much the same tone. *Gerontion* was accepted as an obscure and allusive monologue fanciful in its expressiveness but unmistakable in its intention; reproducing the confusion in the speaker's head—not the author's

HIS INTERWAR PERIOD

Verses which are easy to read may sometimes for that very reason be left unread. The public occasionally likes to be put on its mettle, and provoked into looking for significance hidden behind a sequence of images, 'the word within a word, unable to speak a word'. Moreover, Eliot had already shown that, even if satirizing vice and futility, he could nevertheless probe here and there among his dreary scenes for moments of true poetic feeling. Waste Land (1922) is the consummation of these principles. He no longer confines himself to the absurdaties and abuses of modern civilization, but strikes to its roots, or rather its lack of roots. In his judgement we have lost touch with the scheme of life. For instance, primitive people were wiser than we are They realized that human nature

was rooted in procreation, and kept before their eyes the powers of life and death, and symbolized these influences in fertility rites, folk-lore, and magic. Hence the myths and allegories rediscovered in Frazer's Golden Bough and Weston's From Ritual to Romance We have lost the discipline of such visions, partly because science has imposed a materialistic, hand-to-mouth civilization, partly because we have unlearnt the ancient oriental art of contemplation, and possibly because our older, saner culture has run its term and is dry, like a land wasting for want of water.

This theme is presented to our 'poetic sense' in a short series of graphic and startling examples, enriched with the symbolic expressiveness of Biblical, Classical, and Renaissance poets. The allusions are so frequent and recondite that the author feels compelled to add a commentary on his own text, after the manner of Todd on Milton. Many readers merely glance at the notes, but their neglect does not matter, for the poem, despite its erudition, is essentially superficial. Eliot not only overlooks the undercurrent of inventiveness and humanity, which is struggling against the curse of Adam, but he trifles with the symptoms of our alleged demoralization, adducing a few fornicators, unscrupulous financiers, pubcrawlers, workers before dawn, and urban uncleanliness; blemishes which always have and always will disgrace society even at its best, even in Elizabethan London or Periclean Athens. The moralist is still the unreclaimed satirist.

Nevertheless, his cinematographic fugue of impressions, with their abrupt transitions, disconcerting strangeness and puzzlements, all so skilfully blended and dramatically suggested, create an unforgettable idea of aridity and inconsequence. Here at last is a poet who makes you feel his intensity. The theme was fashionable in the 'twenties, and Waste Land was hailed as the most convincing

presentment of social aimlessness.

The versification is noticeable. In his previous poems he most often preferred a rhythmic rhetoric peculiarly his own, with rhymes, inter-rhymes, and assonances which hold attention and complete the music of the words. Sometimes he created a very effective parody of ballad metres. In and after Waste Land he makes more use of free verse in such a way as to conceal the economy of expression and convey the sense of unrestrained movement. The Hollow-Men (1925) is an excellent example of this technique, and the symbolism is more simple and astringent

The poem is also remarkable because of its change of mood. Its imagery suggests impotence, frustration, and the valley of the shadow of Death The figures remind one of the phrases a Hebrew prophet might have used, and the poet writes as if he were conscious of a decline in his own powers The suggestion of gloom and the sense of annihilation recur in Ash-Wednesday (1930), but the imagery is far from simple. Eliot seems to be calling in the help of medieval mysticism and allegory in order to produce the right.

atmosphere. The general import is unmistakable. It is the confession of a spirit which has looked too long on folly and futility; till it fears to become as unspiritual as they, blind to the higher influences just within reach—if the heart can be cleansed of hatred and disgust which are exhalations of the waste-land. Possibly the author feels that like Dante 'in the midway of our life', he had lost his way in a gloomy wood. At any rate, the poem, despite its obscurities, speaks clearly of repentance and the dimness of advancing years. But if he has lost his masterly self-confidence, he has also abandoned his vigorous, mordant phraseology and the vivacity which rendered his previous work unique.

HIS LATEST PHASE

So it was to be expected that there would be a pause in the poet's It lasted from 1930 to 1940. The only significant production is Murder in the Cathedral (1935), the drama of Becket's death retold on the theme that true martyrdom is the sacrifice of one's will, not one's life, to the will of God. Much of his criticism was published during this period, and though his best essays discuss older literature (notably Dante, Seneca, and Pascal), this body of prose-work is an indispensable introduction to his poetry. Quite in the manner of his epoch, he writes as if breaking ground for the first time, explaining what nobody had previously thought of, though in reality most of his views were commonplaces of nineteenth-century criticism. His pronouncement on the 'objective correlative' is an example. He delivers his views with the calm confidence of an authority whom only fools would dispute. As so many of his younger contemporaries knew nothing about nineteenth-century criticism (except that Arnold was supposed to be out of date), his doctrines and judgements have opened their minds; especially because, compared with his verse, his prose is lucidity itself. Few can say so much so simply in so few words.

Meanwhile his spiritual crisis must have resolved itself; for after confessing and evincing a decline of power he began the 'forties with a series of contemplative poems, to a certain degree foreshadowed in Ash-Wednesday but more mellow and much wiser: East Coker (1940), Burnt Norton (1941), The Dry Salvages (1941),

Little Gidding (1942).

This series reminds one of a man recapturing in retrospect some early impulse or inspiration which had been entangled and obscured among the pursuits of middle life, and must now be extricated and resumed in order to complete his development, thus making a fresh

start in life. 'In my end is my beginning.'

The rebirth was to be effected partly through suffering (as also suggested in C. S Lewis's *The Problem of Pain*), and partly through contemplation and self-discipline, somewhat after the model of oriental devotionalism. Its aim was to look beyond the instant, pressing moment and think of oneself as belonging to what was

best in the Past and may be prolonged into the Future. In this chastened and impersonal mood we might come to perceive what ancient sages had taught (and modern science was confirming) that possibly we have not broken with the Past, nor renounced our own future, because the spirit exists in one eternal Now, in which Past, Present, and Future are blended. We mortals can seldom recapture the exact semblance of a past experience, because we look into our own consciousness, seeking of set purpose some particular memory of our own. But if we can unlearn this preoccupation with self and relax into a mood of humble receptivity, we may absorb the fleeting moment in such a way as to feel around us the scheme of existence, now purged of our resentment, vanity, or prejudice. It may be while listening to music 'heard so deeply that it is not heard at all',, or sympathizing with another's pain without thinking of our own, or gazing on some ancient river transfigured to serve modern needs, yet still harbouring the earliest forms of life, or noting the overlapping seasons, or meditating on ancient fertility rites at nightfall in some out-of-the-way corner of rural England, or, best of all, letting one's thoughts go free amid the ruined chapel at Little Gidding from which all recollection of conflict and effort has vanished, but where the intensity of spiritual prayer can still be felt. Perhaps our fears or hopes for the future are like a lavender spray 'pressed between the yellow leaves of a book which has never been opened'

Such seems to be the import of these four poems. The ideas are not particularly original As before, Eliot has availed himself of what was floating in the atmosphere, and rendered it a personal expression of his own erudite self. No one, except perhaps Donne, Vaughan, Blake, Wordsworth, or Shelley, had tried to unite so many subtle and complex strains of feeling into four short elegies; and to shed over the combination an air of earnestness and intimacy—to be utterly unworldly and yet in touch with the world The poet himself, more than once, confesses to the difficulty of expressing what he feels; 'the intolerable wrestle with words and meanings'. Unfortunately the reader also has to wrestle; not, indeed, with the 'imprecision of feelings', for Eliot is always precise, but with the intrusion of images which baffle the sequence of ideas Moreover, his style has lost the sting and stab of his earlier manner, of the phrase which kindles the imagination.

IS HE RIPE FOR POSTERITY?

If Eliot writes no more he will be remembered, not very distinctly, as one of the very few interwar poets who knew what he wanted to say, and said it more pointedly than anybody else. So he exercised much influence on the interwar generation, especially from 1920 to 1930. He picked out the features of the shadowy landscape with unnatural clearness, according to his own lights. While impressing his artistic personality on every line he wrote,

he cultivated the impersonality which Croce 1 advocated—that is to say, he did not impose his views; his figures, images, symbols, and transitions were assumed to be self-explanatory; and though always vivid and startling, they sometimes leave the explanation to the reader. Like all great poets, he seemed to be making us thin for ourselves; hence his immediate ascendancy. But, unlike all great poets, he relied too much on puzzlement, and many of his admirers were betrayed into believing that they had found all they wanted, when they had found the explanation of this or that poem. They were satisfied to guess the riddle without making sure that the answer really was poetry. Consequently a reaction was bound to come.

He appears to have realized that the exposure of what is wrong, whether in one's epoch or oneself, is only the first and second steps towards spiritual integration, even though enlightened by the wisdom of the ages. As we have seen, the East Coker-Little Gidding series is a notable advance. There is a high seriousness worthy of the best traditions, a restrained emotionalism, and a call to religious meditation. It is as if the poet were on the borders of an unexplored consciousness; as if he was on the point of discovering his true bearings in Space and Time, and owed a responsibility more to God than to his publishers. Unfortunately, his verse is still almost as difficult to read as his prose is easy—unsettling but inconclusive

So much depends on his next development. If he can 'beat his music out', he will be the most conspicuous figure of the period. Our age is not ready to interpret the universe, it must first interpret itself—in other words, experiment in its own reactions. It needs, and therefore values a poet in prose or verse who seeks what he cannot find, and goes on seeking, his instability of outlook steaded by continuity of effort. That is why Yeats attracts more attention than de la Mare, and why Masefield attracts any attention at a'l. That is why Eliot will surpass them all if and when he works his way out of the maze.

The perfect masterpieces will be produced afterwards by some-

body else.

JAMES AUGUSTIN ALOYSIUS JOYCE (1882-1941)

To be consulted: Stuart Gilbert, James Joyce's Ulysses, 1930; E. Dujardin, Le Monologue intérieur Son Apparition, Ses Origines, Sa Place dans l'Oeuvre de James Joyce, 1931; H. Gormon, James Joyce, a Definitive Biography, 1941, H. Levin, James Joyce, a Critical Introduction, 1941 (U.S.A.), 1944 (England).

[Born in Dublin; his father a middle-class but otherwise unaccountable Irishman; the son marked out for the priesthood. Trained by the Jesuits, he resented their influence for the rest of his life, and after

a religious crisis, devoted himself to self-culture leading to self-expression. In 1904 left Ireland a blasphemous atheist, to become a European cosmopolitan Studied first medicine and then singing in Paris, then for ten years taught languages in Trieste and Switzerland. In 1907 published his first volume of poems (Chamber Music) and completed Dubliners (serialized by Ezra Pound in Egoist, 14 Feb.—15 Sept). In 1916 A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man (probably begun 1904 and rewritten till 1914) established his position as a new personality in the development of the subjective or introspective novel. In 1922 Ulysses (begun in Trieste 1914, serialized in The Little Review (N Y.) 18 March—20 Aug., then suppressed and subsequently banned in England and U.S.A.) rendered him a topic of conversation wherever the problems of contemporary literature are discussed. Work in Progress began to appear sectionally in 1927, and was finally incorporated in Finnigans Wake and published in 1939.

Though so astonishingly outspoken and aggressive on paper, he lived in retirement, mostly in Paris, devoting years of continuous labour to each MS., struggling against illness and overwork; the publication of his works accompanied by friction and obstruction, especially in

Ireland.

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

As an exile in Europe, Joyce changed his skies, but not his heart. Dublin followed him wherever he went.

Nature made him an artist, but mixed her gifts. He was acutely responsive to observed details—a gesture, tone, phrase, or outline but he could not make the best of them unless they gave sudden meaning to the thoughts he had acquired elsewhere through intel-12 lectual contacts. He must and could detect the whole in the otherwise negligible part. As H. Levin 1 explains, he was by tempera! ment both a realist and a symbolist These talents could not be satisfied unless he were conversant with sights and sounds that inspired him, and only then if his mind was busy with ideas and experiences which favoured the inspirations. Joyce was denied both These necessities. His Dublin gave him a religion and philosophy restricted to the Jesuistic discipline (mostly Aristotle as re-edited by Thomas Aquinas), and even the freer exercise of modern ideas imposed on artists the duty of living for Ireland, not for the world. When he turned from books to the daily impressions of his native town, whether in the streets or his own home, he met that atmosphere of frustration, aimlessness, and disintegration which seemed then to haunt Irish catholic cities.

Others outside Ireland were conscious of this blight. Everywhere the community was (or seemed to be) becoming an aggregation, not an organism. We have seen how some of the most independent writers—for instance, Yeats, George Moore, Maugham, Lawrence, and Eliot—were trying to work on a more fundamental idea of

humanity, each in his own way. Joyce needed a reorientation more than any, and while struggling in vain with the old materials and casting about for some new vista, he became aware of an unexplored approach to the elements of human nature. Remy de Gourmont had pointed out that language is not to be confused with the comparatively modern art of writing. It is of primitive antiquity, a fact of life like motility, pervading all the processes of conscious-'ness, a phenomenon of biological significance. These opinions were broached in 1908, in Prorunades Philosophicues (2º Série), and since then psychologists had supplemented biology by proving that the faculty of speech occupies the dominant 'association area' in the cerebrum. It is the centre in which our impulses and reflexes cross, serving as a telegraphic exchange, verbalizing what we experience and hope or fear to experience. Already a school of neo-linguists was springing up to study word formations and associations, convinced that the human voice is the broadest and most penetrating approach to the human psyche. Joyce was a born linguist, all the more because his eyes were weak and his hearing acute, and it gradually dawned on him that here was the artist's opportunity. Language was a sixth sense, the machinery through which the human organism revealed its inner processes, an instinctive and therefore truthful comment on experience; and like a machine it obeyed its own laws, and could be set working by the creative writer. This unconscious record of our psychic and psychological adjustments is equally the expression of our lower and higher nature, the one reliable link between our animal and super-animal functions, the one aspect through which the futilities, inconsistencies and aspirations of our species can be viewed in their proper perspective as necessary parts of an intelligible scheme. This scheme has nothing to do with literary traditions; it applies to all humanity, past, present and future; and yet its genuineness can be tested in any special nook and corner of our social existence, and become the object of the closest observation; even in dirty, disorderly Dublin which Toyce hated and could not forget. So here was a new world for the artist to conquer, leaving his contemporaries far behind, a challenge to his as yet unrecognized genius.

HIS APPRENTICESHIP

Before creating his medium he had to create his idea of himself, and externalize his reactions amid the life he led. This first step in self-mastery is accomplished in *The Dubliners* (1914) and *A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man* (1916), though both books were composed years before. The former is a tentative study in environment, the latter a searching study in autobiography. We watch Stephen Daedalus rescuing his artistic selfhood not only from the muddle and misrule of his father's household, but also, by contrast, from the austere discipline of the priesthood with its promise of

peaceful poverty and spiritual power. Then, while he wrestles with his adolescence, we watch his efforts at self-assertion, his rehandling of his intellectual resources so that he may look out on the world with his own eyes and master its significance by his own lights. As a youth, Joyce had been an enthusiastic student of Newman, Pater, and Ibsen, whom he imitated in Exiles (1918). Since then he had caught up with the ideas stirring in the twentieth century consciousness. Like Eliot, he understands that remembered passages in classical and scholastic lore jump into the mind and Like Edith Sitwell and I. A illustrate our casual impressions Richards, he appreciates the psychological value of sheer prosody— 'a soft liquid 10y flowed through words in which soft long yowels hurtled noiselessly and fell away'. Like Herbert Read, he notes the meaning of rhythm in aesthetic enjoyment. There are hints of surrealism in his daydreams and of the 'internal monologue' in his Above all, he penetrates below the ordinary indetermination and indecision of youth to an over-sensitized apprehension of difficulties—'a malevolent reality behind the things I say I fear's One would say that he was well equipped to assert his quality as an artist. Yet one thing he lacked: the sense of fellowship with the spirit of humanity Obviously there would have to be a sequel in which Stephen (that is, the author) had merged his egosim in the More-than-himself.

' ULYSSES'

The sequel was completed after six to eight years of unremitting labour and experience as a polyglot teacher of languages. The artist is now at one with humanity through insight into the psychology of speech, our most intimate faculty, in which all men share and have shared. In order to assert the timelessness and universality of his apparently modern and specialized work, he has the boldness to present it as an epic, and what is more, the counterpart to the Odyssey, the most ancient of epics. He expects us to bear in mind each successive adventure of the old Achaean hero, because they are paralleled by his episodes, and the correspondence makes his meaning more clear. This relativity, he would admit. may seem obscure, but only because the presentment is adapted to the modern mind Homer dwells on the adventures, and has very little to say about the reactions of the adventurer; generally a single line is enough. That is why his myth seems to be so localized and dated. Since then we are beginning to learn that speech, not action, is the token of humanity; our nature reveals itself in linguistic meanderings. Time and place do not matter: twenty-four hours are enough for the demonstration; and Dublin may serve quite well for the scene The mind moves on its own plane.

Such seems to be Joyce's design. The result gives free play to the academic critic, and very little to the unacademic reader. It

does not much matter that the parallelism with Greek epic breaks down, nor that the theme itself does not fulfil the promise of being a sequel The Stephen of A Portrait was an attractive character, and we should have enjoyed following his progress towards selffulfilment. Nevertheless the author may have found it more effective to present that consummation in his own perfected artistry and originality of view. But it does matter that the first place has been given to such a couple as Mr. and Mrs Bloom, and that they are allowed to ruminate with a most decidedly un-Greek prolixity. Iovce might reply that he could not truthfully do otherwise; Dublin, or any other community, is no place for an artist like Daedalus, or for the qualities in other people which an artist admires A city man was sure to be ignoble, even in his vices. Unhappily Leopold and his wife are something much worse than the degenerate descendants of the original Ulysses and Penelope. The artist devotes his genius to exposing two soulless souls The result is alternatively comic and devastating; an anatomy of evil. For the Blooms are hardly more than the villains of the lower middle class. There is nothing in them even sub-epic, only the fancies and self-indulgences of a primitive being born out of due time and transferred to the safe and sordid viciousness of twentieth century routine. Joyce hovers over the edge of the cesspool intent on the reptiles wriggling The other characters who stray into our ken are just as discouraging. This is not truth to life. In order to appreciate Chartres Cathedral we do not examine the beetles in the crypt.

Ulysses, then, is a magnificent and unmistakable failure; yet it deserves the fullest consideration. Though prolix, disconnected, often unintelligible or blank with stretches of sheer boredom, the author has succeeded in fascinating the reader who thinks. It is done by the technique. Apparently Joyce finally despaired of everything except craftsmanship, and staked his reputation on manner instead of matter; and thanks to this preoccupation has encouraged, in fact represented, a movement full of possibilities. He is essentially a novelist's novelist. He has shown his fellow-writers how to vivify what was formerly hidden and denumanized in scientific treatisesfor instance, aphasia, synaesthesia, paramnesia, and semasiology. By the sheer force of his apparently inexhaustible imagination he has created the impression of quivering, all-pervading restlessness, and kept up the tension through more than a thousand pages. By virtue of his philological and psychological intuition he has here and there created scenes which are both uncanny and disconcerting in their realism. He has helped to encourage artists, though Ulysses is a questionable work of art.

HIS PLACE IN THE FUTURE OF THE NOVEL

It depends on the future value of the internal dialogue which is discussed below. I Joyce has used this device with an almost reckless

originality, and it is quite conceivable that his accumulation of research and insight may eventually be welcomed by the neophilologists as a source-book. Nor is it unlikely that his most adventurous effusions—for instance, Mrs. Bloom's concluding ruminations—will always delight as an example of what the human brain can accomplish. Moreover, his virtuosity and technical dexterity ought to secure him, at the very least, an 'honourable mention' in the proper quarters. But he allowed himself to become an expert in sub-humanity, and was so obsessed by his technique that he sacrificed the principles of his art to its preciosity; a monomaniac like the hero of Balzac's Chef d'Oeuvre Inconnu. So humanists will value him as a whetstone which sharpens razors, itself unable to cut

VIRGINIA WOOLF (1882-1941)

To be consulted: M. Delattre, Le Roman Psychologique de Virginia Woolf, 1932; W. Holtby, Virginia Woolf, 1932; E. M. Forster, Virginia Woolf, Rede Lecture, 1942; Joan Bennett, Virginia Woolf, Her Art as a Novelist, 1945, D. Daiches, Virginia Woolf, 1945.

[Daughter of Sir Leslie Stephen. Born into one of the most distinguished literary circles of her time, and brought up partly in London, partly by the sea, in Cornwall. Engaged in higher journalism until marriage with Leonard Woolf in 1912 Thereafter plunged in politics, especially the feminist movement, and collaborated with her husband in the publication of controversial and modernist literature through the Hogarth Press. In 1922 made her reputation with Jacob's Room. Thereafter continued to experiment in the technique of the novel, as far as it can represent 'the stream of consciousness', producing Mrs. Dalloway (1925), To the Lighthouse (1927), Orlando (1928), The Waves (1931), Between the Acts (1941, posthumous), each rumination amounting to a tentative but studied research into the sensibilities of family life and society. Her characteristic essays on the less obvious aspects of culture include The Common Reader (1925 and 1932), A Room of One's Own (1929), Roger Fry (1940), The Death of the Moth (1942, posthumous).]

LITERARY AND IDEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Mrs. Woolf inherited as her birthright the artistic privileges for which most authors have to struggle. This environment directed but also circumscribed the growth of her mind, for she derived her ideas from upper-class people, as polished as jewelry. She had no taste for rough diamonds. On the other hand, innovation was in her blood and her environment. So within her limits, anything she wrote would have to be an adventure in style and thought. Those limits confined her to a class, often misnamed the Bloomsbury set, a community of restless intellectuals who changed their habits, ideas and acquaintances so often, and engaged in so many chattering

speculations, that they had little time to inquire into the sources of each other's identity, or their own. Their human contacts tended to evaporate after the exchange of opinions. Being one of those who never accept the world on its surface value, Mrs. Woolf was inquisitive enough to look through personality to the selfhood which ought to be found at its core. Such is her significance in the history of literature

This, the most intimate problem of her own age, was by no means unknown to Victorian moralists. Amongst others, Browning in Dramatic Monologues and Arnold in Empedocles might have authorized her curiosity. But since their epoch, people had begun to take interest in Time as an aspect of Duration, and Virginia Woolf must have been influenced (directly of indirectly) by Bergson's Essai sur les Données immédiates de la Conscience (1889), in which it is argued that past experiences keep pace with every progressive moment we call the present, a constant comparison which colours our accumulating perceptions and is coloured by them, always adding to the volume of our consciousness. The mind is a snowball

gathering weight as it rolls along.

The snowball is in danger of wearing thin through friction, and if so, we begin to lose our sense of ourselves; like railway passengers who have mislaid their luggage and tickets. So thought Proust, who must have exercised a more direct influence than Bergson. This valetudinarian, who despaired of his health and of his social caste, nevertheless retrieved his selfhood while searching for the early impressions which still dimly haunted his passing thoughts. Thereby he perfected, or elaborated the art of writing a novel backwards. He began with Du Côté de Chez Swann (1913) when Virginia Woolf had just entered on womanhood; and his last and twelfth volume of the series, A la Recherche du Temps Perdu, was published in 1922 (posthumous), when she had just begun to mature her talent and set out on a similar quest.

HER DEVELOPMENT

Her besetting difficulty was the creation of a plot which would concentrate but not confine her researches. She first saw daylight in Jacob's Room (1922), the biography of an impressionable, volatile young man of the pre-war type, his career a series of breezy, fleeting contacts, his actions mirrored in his own consciousness, his character mirrored in the consciousness of other people.

That book was the end of her apprenticeship. Within three years, she had invented the kind of setting she required with Mrs. Dalloway (1925). In this adventure she succeeds in distilling the essence of six lives into a cross-section of Time, a single day spent in the neighbourhood of Bond Street. The personages stray into our ken as if by accident, a queer junction of lives, each glimpsed by the process of instantaneous photography, and each trailing threads

of his or her history, whereby we divine how the Pas* survives into the Present. Such is reality in the Dalloway set; momentary contacts bringing their own atmosphere of tragedy, comedy and satire intermixed

The reader is intrigued, but not satisfied, because Mrs Dalloway is not her true self, but a shadow, flitting among the responsibilities of her social position, and the preoccupations of a day's shopping The next experiment, To the Lighthouse (1927), has a closer texture and more firmness. The occasion appears to be just as trifling—a seaside resort, the proposed visit to a lighthouse (weather permitting) —and the personages are no less futile than their pastime. But the group is now a family, and this groupment gives a more intimate opportunity to the play of temperaments, children as well as parents. There is a guest, a detached observer, and we see much through his eyes. As in Mrs. Dalloway, the reader gathers up stray threads of their separate though contiguous histories, thanks to their thoughts, spoken and unspoken. But in To the Lighthouse we have the stimulating illusion of looking forward, as well as backward. Ten years divide the discussion of this pleasure-trip in Part I and its accomplishment in Part II. Having jumped the interval, we again gather up the threads, and we are at first surprised at the changes, both outward and inward. Then we realize that we might have foreseen the outcome; it was all, or nearly all, implicit in the first of the two acts. We ought to be confirming and correcting our expectations, especially the void which Mrs. Ramsay has left by her death, and the progress of James and Cam, whose childishness has grown to adolescence with its burden of desires and aversions.

By now the authoress was appreciated, but not famous; almost the centre of a cult but still ignored by the general public because her characters seemed to be bloodless; the world-forgetting and therefore by the world forgot Partly for this reason, she tried to be less subjective, and to enrich her background with an historical pageant. Orlando, a Biography (1928) presents an androgynous protagonist, who begins life under Queen Elizabeth as a young man, and continues as a full-grown woman from the age of Addison to the age of motor-cars. But the book loses in depth what it gains in spaciousness. Her Orlando gradually becomes a stage character and his or her environments wear as thin as canvas scenery. The second object of the romance, her contention that there is more similarity than difference between the sexes, was more effectively expounded as an essay, in A Room of One's Own (1929)

So she inevitably returned to her proper theme: the groupment of temperaments which always offset and sometimes complete each other. The last of these experiments, *The Waves* (1931), is certainly her most ambitious adventure into ideas. The reader is not required to look backward or forward. He accompanies the characters through their careers, a privileged confidant of their secrets. Six children are gathered together, each burdened with a temperament

which spells destiny, their mutual differences all the more shirply defined because they begin life under the same roof. They grow up, pass out of their several schools into the world, and separate to face its favours or frowns, and to skirt its tragedies. Yet she touches on their external contacts only lightly, using them to emphasize the essential inwardness of existence, even in the busy routines of modern civilization. To enhance the contrast they are all reunited at four stages in their progress, which symbolically synchronize with the four stages of the year. This recurrence is suggested to us by the surge of the sea—spring, summer, autumn, and winter tides—suggesting that humanity is a part of nature's rhythm, and we contemplate the currents of life not through the crystal of the intellect, but through a submarine vision, apparently an attempt to suggest the dream-like mystery which encircles humanity.

That final touch (possibly inspired by *The Tempest*) is not effective, except as a triumph of sheer writing. But in the meantime, from *Mrs. Dalloway* onwards, she had gradually acquired the art of inducing her readers to remind themselves that death, like an impalpable shadow, haunts the drift of their being. Its presence may have fastened onto her own consciousness, and clouded her imagination At any rate she produced nothing more of note. *Between the Acts* (1941, posthumous), despite the guesses which have been made at a hidden meaning, can most safely be read as a piece of genial, local

satire.

WILL HER MEMORY SURVIVE?

For some generations at least Virginia Woolf ought to be remembered in histories of the novel, because she did so much to develop the Internal Monologue. Whereas Joyce's achievement is to be associated with word-psychology, hers is to be associated with clairvoyance. She looks into other people's souls, of the complex self-deceiving class, and sees more than they themselves can see. She reads their Past, Present, and sometimes Future from chance utterances and stray thoughts, which are the lines of character, much as a palmist tries to do from the lines of the hand. She should also command attention as one whose life-work was unified in the pursuit of an ideal. Though she never gave us a perfect work, she gave something as good or better: an object lesson in the quest of perfection, each experiment a further step towards a goal which continued to recede. Her career is a single study in creative continuity, and possibly that is the best that our age can offer.

But because she did not reach her goal she may never become a favourite author—an old friend. She has been compared to Miss Austen, and it is true that both women portray more or less the same kind of people: chiefly nonentities who have not kept pace with the times; and both authoresses are about equally on terms of intimacy with their subjects But we are not so. Miss Austen lets us know

them from the outside inward, as we know our acquaintances, with their oddities and insignificant poses, and we end by liking them. We try to know Mrs Woolf's characters from the inside outward, and rarely arrive at a satisfying impression of their vital, conscious presence, streaked with unconscious failings.

She may, for some time, survive as an original stylist. Her quality has been described as 'a delicate, flitting, feminine fancy of extraordinary sensitivity'. In this age, when so many were writing verse to be presented as emotional prose, or prose to convey the emotions of poetry, she has succeeded better than any in the art of writing prose-poetry

THE INTERNAL MONOLOGUE

This technical device has become one of the characteristic features of interwar literature. Its need must often have been felt before, since we find something very like its method in the first English novel, Lyly's Euphues, published in 1579. Yet it was not avowedly put into practice till 1887 by Edouard Dujardin in Les Lauriers sont; Coupés, and explained as late as 1931 by the same author in Le Monologue Intérieur as unspoken speech by which a character expresses his inmost thoughts as inconsequentially as they enter his mind. Dujardin's novel is negligible—merely the random moods of a young man who takes an actress out to dinner—nor did it attract much more attention. The average reader in that age had lost interest in his own Subconscious; he had too many other things to think about; and what does not interest us in ourselves, rarely interests us in a book. The experiment was made after and before its time.

Its opportunity came twenty or thirty years later with the decay of the old-fashioned objective novel of episode. The younger generation were beginning to wonder whether human nature could be simplified so artfully and artificially—whether you and I really acted and thought with such logical deliberation, always following motives of which we could render an account—and if not, then objective characterization was one-sided However, such considerations were only preparing the ground Any critic could see that subjective characterization ran an equal risk of one-sidedness, and that the two aspects should be combined

It was psycho-analysis 2 which confirmed the practice. This habit of mind created an interest not so much in the submerged self as in the suppressed self; and then the internal monologue came to hand, a new and searching instrument; not a monologue, but a one-party dialogue between two halves of the same person, each at variance with the other, the intelligence wrestling with circumstances, the impulses wrestling with the intelligence, the monodialoguist only aware that his mind has lost its thread. In fact, the speaker is not speaking; he is caught napping. The author and

reader are each enjoying the luxury of being a spy. Spies can discover a great deal; but it must not be forgotten that they are prying into secrets of their own making. Like a conjuror, they supply the objects they seem to produce out of the silk hat. We are not nearer the truth because we approve the avenue of approach. For instance, the modern man need not be so typically sub-moral as Joyce imagines, or so purposeless as Virginia Woolf would have us believe

For these reasons, the internal monologue might have met with a colder reception, except that it suited the humour of the age. Quite apart from Freud, Adler, Jung, and Ernest Jones, we like to remind ourselves that our psychic stability depends on movement. The cinema does so. Of course it presents an organized plot, but convevs it in a flux of impressions with the fade-out, the close-up, and the flash-back Impressionist paintings present a single composition, but the effect is not static; the colours and shapes have to arrange themselves in the schematic sense; often they suggest qualities which have no imitative function and merely arouse a corresponding sensation. Something similar has crept into poetry, reaching its consummation in surrealism, in which the apparent chaos of dreams are left to collect their outlines according to the morphology of art.

We may conclude, then, that the internal monologue is of value because it introduces us to an unfamiliar aspect of ourselves; at any rate for the time being. Even when we have all returned to the older ideal of man the master of his thoughts as well as of his destiny, it may still survive, for it provides the shortest and most intimate pathway to our irrepressible interest in other people's least interesting experiences. As examples one might quote Katherine Mansfield's 'The Tiredness of Isabel' (1908, reprinted in Something Childish, 1924) and D A. Richardson's The Tunnel (1919).

But it is more likely that when once the novelty has evaporated but not the difficulties—the story-teller will revert to the model and manners of Tristiam Shandy, in which Sterne holds his own internal monologue, ruminating inconsequentially on his own private prepossessions and prejudices, in order to interest his readers in himself while interesting them in 'My Father' and 'Uncle Toby'.

CHAPTER XI

THE LAST PHASE. ITS FAILURES AND BIDS FOR SUCCESS

IN the pre-war period both poets and prose-writers, in the main, kept as close as they could to tradition, and tried to restate in a contemporary guise the sympathies and interests common to humanity. Sometimes they invoked the diction and atmosphere of an early age The writers of the interwar period may or may not have intended to continue or begin in this spirit, but in either case the most noteworthy soon caught sight of a life philosophy, a religion, a principle. or a theory of conduct, and consistently pursued the vision or practised the method Whether or no they convinced the reader, they surprised him, claimed his attention, and were much discussed They seem to have acquired their disturbing point of view without much hesitation. But there were others less temperamentally adaptable, or more diffident, or more artistically conscientious; and these were too perplexed and disappointed to find the right way, and join those 'who never turned their backs, but marched breast forward'. They also claim attention because we must understand their difficulties and discouragements.

EZRA LOOMIS POUND (1885-

This American went to Europe as soon as he had left the University of Pennsylvania in 1908, and saturated himself in the culture of the old world. In 1909 his first two volumes of poetry, Personae and Exultations, were welcomed by discerning critics because their author seemed to be genuinely in search of freedom, and to be finding it among the traditions and aspirations of the Past. time went on, and he produced among other volumes Canzoni (1911), Ripostes (1912), and Cathay (1915), it became evident that he had won not to the genuine freedom of the artist, but the spurious freedom of the experimentalist, inventing every kind of novelty, but not achieving strangeness. At the same time he plunged into the leadership of literary movements (notably Imagism 1), which promised to give poetic form to modern consciousness; he cultivated imitations and paraphrases of Chinese, Latin, and Italian literatures He wrote with vigour and intensity, but he rarely caught and fixed the pregnant moment in himself; apparently bent on recapturing the magic of the storied Past, because he had none of his own.

The test came at the conclusion of the Four Years War Up till then there was still hope that culture would thrive on traditional sympathies and allegiances and leave the artist free to practise clarity of thought. Thereafter the spirit of continuity was temporarily lost in the confusion, and the writer had to grope till he extracted out of the chaos some value which he could serve. Pound was unequal to this discipline. It only intensified his sense of frustration. So he wasted his talents in regrets, protesting that the times were out of joint and could not be associated with any poetic principle. His disillusionment finds most forcible expression in Hugh Selwyn Mauberley (1920). Since then he has continued to make bricks without straw, free from a moral or social purpose, and therefore enslaved to his own aptitude for craftsmanship, casting images on the visual imagination, offering us not the adventures of the spirit among ideas, but the dance of the intellect among words. He has been compared to a ropemaker weaving strands of culture with strands of himself, but never finding the strands he needed.

It should not be forgotten that he is a writer of vigorous and inspiring prose, and that the best statement of his position is to be found in his series 'On Books' in the New York Herald, and reprinted in Polite Essays (1937).

THE SITWELLS. EDITH (1887-), SIR OSBERT (1892-), SACHEVERELL (1897-)

A similar burden of frustration and nostalgia seems to weight upon this remarkable family. The most remarkable, the eldest, has described herself as 'a spiritual adventurer, never a spiritual parvenu', and the future historian will agree. He will have to add that few have judged their epoch more bitterly and more vivaciously. She has dismissed it as 'a thin matchboard flooring spread over a shallow hell', and fears that we can escape from the pit only by a perpetual 'can-can', most appropriately represented by the Russian ballet, in which 'the music, harsh crackling rags of laughter, shrieks at us'.1

At first it seemed as if her own verses were vitiated with a no less brittle artificiality, for she began by apparently playing with the fanciful and often grotesque imaginings of childhood, presented in outlandish rhymes; for instance in Clown's House (1918), The Wooden Pegasus (1920), Bucolic Comedies (1923). Then it was realized that she was seeking a direct approach to our inner selves, trying to recreate not so much the nonsense-verses of children, as the moods of childhood, the only core of sanity left in this lightwitted world—life viewed as fairyland deepened by adult sensibilities. Thus she hoped to awaken our drugged perceptions.

Moreover, these nonsense verses were far from being nonsense. In *Poetry and Criticism* (1925) Miss Sitwell quotes Blake to the effect that in this modern age the five senses are the chief inlets to the soul, and she would agree with I. A. Richards ² that those inlets could

¹ Preface to Twentieth-Century Harlequinade, 1916

² Ante, p. 138.

best be entered by word-formations and combinations. In fact she went farther, and claimed to be addressing a sixth sense which interprets and controls the other five, so that when the speech accommodated to one sense is insufficient, the poet can use the language of another conveying a quality to that sense which can best receive it; for instance, he can speak of 'the nuisic of falling snow', 'the blunt rain', 'the puring fire', 'a pig-snouted breeze', Such combinations (termed sense confusion or transfusion) had, of course, often been practised by the greatest poets, as if on the inspiration of the moment, but Miss Sitwell established the practice as a consistent principle. She condensed imagism into single words

It sounds as if the technique might be immensely effective, producing again and again a shock of surprise and a sting to the imagina-The reader, thoroughly alert, waits for the echo of a deeper creative note; and waits in vain Often the picturesque manipulations amount to no more than dashes of colour and sound. Even when most effective, they convey only the impression of two or more streams of consciousness blending in a single current which does not reach the sea Yet the talented poetess has sincerity, a sense of beauty, and the love of children—qualities of great price in the twentieth century. Nevertheless, though she prepares the way, she cannot follow her own lead.

It seems that she lacks not self-reliance nor responsiveness, but depth of feeling. The surface of experience is too much for her personal creativeness; as if all life were as unsubstantial as it appears to be. Her later prose gives a similar impression. For instance, Edith Sitwell's Anthology (1940) is a treasury no less golden than the best. With the finest tact, she incorporates the passages which everybody knows, and also many which everybody ought to know but does not, including nursery rhymes and modern French. Yet in the lively introduction there is an almost desolating insistence on the virtues of open vowels, texture, rhythm, and vocables, as if poetry had nothing more to say. So with A Poet's Notebook (1943). the spirit of its selection it is European. In its concentration on 'the necessities of poetry' it is indispensable to the student and writer of modern poetry. Yet again that touch of perverse restrictiveness—for instance, the value placed on Cocteau, and the implication that music and poetry are different but contiguous aspects of the same art.

Her two brothers seem to be equally disheartened. Sir Osbert's verse, of which the bulk appeared in the 'twenties, is just as subtle and as conscious of the eighteenth-century picturesqueness and refinement which we have lost, but more superficially aggressive. everell is also a poet out of tune with his age, but much more notable for his prose, of which Sacred and Profane Love (1940) and Splendows and Miseries (1943) are perhaps the most characteristic. These original travel books breathe the spirit of interwar cosmopolitanism. The author seems to be searching the whole world for something on

which to expend and realize himself, picking up here, there, and everywhere the discoveries which are most significant, each experience a symbol of the best or worst that can befall human nature. The sequence of incongiuous impressions somehow weaves itself into a tapestry presenting the author's mind Yet, like Byron in *Childe Harold* and Heine in *Reisebilder*, he seems to be looking abroad for what he cannot find in himself.

The Sitwells are unable to focus their talents on some life-giving principle either within or without. The younger Huxley succeeded, and the result is even more disconcerting.

ALDOUS LEONARD HUXLEY (1894-)

He received his full share of literary and artistic culture acquired in an atmosphere tinged with science and its illuminating ideas, and more than his full share of suffering. Few have had a better chance

of reaching wisdom through knowledge.

He first appeared as a poet in The Burning Wheel (1916), The Defeat of Youth (1918), and Leda (1920), and his manner reminds one of the orthodox Georgian school, though now and then we meet touches of wistfulness, as if he were on the brink of a new development, a spiritual keenness and vision. At times he relapses into a mood of pure contemplation, almost Oriental, seeking contact with the Universal Intelligence, 'like a pure angel, thinking colour and form'. And then, unlike an Oriental mystic and very like a Western scientist, he tests both aesthetic enjoyment and mystic experience by what he sees in conduct and character, first in himself and then in the world around him. Both within and without he ends by finding the same half results: an aspiration, even an assurance, let slip by our recurring dullness or perversity. On one occasion he compares the human being to a mole tunnelling through solid blackness (Huxley had been threatened with blindness) till he strikes daylight and sees men as trees. Meanwhile life fades away, like pipe-smoke on top of a 'bus.

So this poet, gifted with fine perceptions and a sense of form, ends his first phase haunted by the horror of dualism, unless it were rather the power of Evil to resist Good. His subsequent development

followed the same bent.

Obviously he could not persist in the poetic tradition; it was too ready to celebrate the triumph of the Good; so he turned to prose, which in this age meant novel-writing. He was a humorist, a collector of human curiosities, as he liked to confess; interwar manners were a fashionable subject and earned money. So he seized his opportunity and rapidly rose to fame.

Crome Yellow (1921), Antic Hay (1923), Those Barren Leaves (1925) and Point Counter Point (1928) commanded immediate success because they were witty, accomplished, lucid, and topical. Few at once appreciated their significance. In the first place, Huxley succeeded

in fusing the objective and the subjective styles of novel-writing There are no internal monologues, the incidence of his narrative falls on externals; but only on those peculiarly intimate and unobtrusive mannerisms—conversation, appearance, gait, gesture. pose—which berray not the plans and prospects of the actors, but their inner life As in the new novel, the characters come and go as if by accident. As in the old novel, their vagaries are interwoven by surprising but credible coincidences; for instance, in Point Counter Point, of which the title exactly indicates the artistic purpose. In the next place, this clever technician perfected his method because he was also a moralist This was the way to expose the self-expression of the interwar intellectuals, those who believe they have brains because they have money, and flatter themselves that they belong to a tradition which no longer exists Once upon a time men like Mill. Arnold, and Pater had to work hard before they could talk, and their pronouncements were the fruit of their learning Nowadays pure science had provided an easy answer, at second hand, to our searchings of heart, and applied science had provided comfort and the illusion of power So these imitators could talk even though they could not think, or could indulge in pleasures, but not understand the meaning of life which these pleasures disguise. Huxley understood and hated the narrowness of this class, having suffered from its influence. The critic is sometimes tempted to complain of the narrowness of Huxley himself. He invites us to contemplate characters which do not matter, except so far as they illustrate Even in 'The Young Archimedes' (Little futility or frustration Mexican, 1924), his most pathetically human document, the father's stupidity stands out as an object-lesson. Even in the cleverly constructed 'The Giocunda Smile' (Mortal Coils, 1922) the story ends in a 'commédie macabre', so alarmingly and convincingly circumstantial that vice seems to be as dangerous as unobtrusive. All this skill and literary tact are dedicated to the evils of educated perversity. So ends his second phase.

Then in 1932 he published Brave New World, a work of cosmopolitan scope. To understand its significance, one must bear in mind the progress and applications of science. In Conditioned Reflexes (translation 1927) Pavlov demonstrated that dogs (and if so, why not humans?) can acquire almost any habits under the pressure of organized stimuli, 'subjecting voluntary behaviour to scientific law'. In The Nature of Living Matter (1930) Lancelot Hogben had reminded us that motives and actions may be so cleverly manipulated that new reflexes are brought into being Or Huxley may simply have glanced through Bertrand Russell's The Scientific Outlook (1931), which discusses the future of societies managed by a scientifically minded bureaucracy. Any of these and other such books would, at that time, be read on the background of the Soviet Five-Years Plan, which confined the constructive energies of a whole nation to the problem of economic balance and productive

efficiency, all, depending on the technique of propaganda, and coldblooded, calculating legislation. If such is the trend of civilization, the old-fashioned science of knowledge is yielding to the new science of power—power over nature, and especially over human nature and we may gradually accept a standard of utilitarian and machinelike efficiency, to the exclusion of human values

Such is the inspiration of *Brave New World*, which he styles a novel. As such, the book is poor Its plot is tedious, its characters uninteresting. They could not be otherwise under the social system he depicts with mordant and vivid sature. Few took the book seriously. It is a protest against the menace of evil as an active force in governments, evil made easy by the study of politics, psycho-

analysis, and biology.

In this mood he returned to his proper subject, the waywardness and obtusity of individuals as they now are He was over forty years of age, and had become more thoughtful though not less censorious. He brings in the verdict that if contemporary civilization has bestowed new comforts and conveniences, it has also beset us with new temptations which we are unwilling or unable to resist. We can do much more than our ancestors could, and for that reason we shall have to know much more, especially about the physiology of our bodies and their psychic reactions. The principle of evil must be raised and redirected, not by totalitarian administration, but by an enlightened code of self-discipline. This philosophy is explored over a wide field and expounded in essays, sketches, and stories, for instance, in Eyeless in Gaza (1936) and The Olive Tree (1036), and is implicit in his delightful travel books. Those who would know England must nowadays know the world; and Huxley, like Kipling, Forster, Maugham, Lawrence, Sir Osbert and Sacheverell Sitwell, was an inveterate globe-trotter. Release from England, in fact Europe, widens his sympathies and deepens his insight, and one realizes how much more he might have discovered in human nature In his visit to the ruins at Copan (Beyond the Mexique Bay, 1934) and in Ends and Means (1937) he ventures to wonder whether the flux of time, which we try to arrest, is not after all an illusion, due to preoccupation with our personal perishable affairs, and whether by force of contemplation, after the manner of Oriental mystics, we might merge our transitory selves in the timelessness of reality.1

It began to look as if he would outgrow his cynicism, and achieve some sort of reconciliation between scientific empiricism and philosophic intuition. Then came the Second Great War. Its effect was two-fold. It confirmed his worst fears concerning the psychology of human beings, and inspired his imagination with indirect but none the less startling symbolizations of his horror. He began with Grey Eminence (1941), an historical biography of a seventeenth-century Capuchin who began as a mystic devoted to the contemplation

¹ Ante, p. 143 ff.

of heavenly beatitudes, and ended, in all good faith, as Richelieu's accomplice in the destruction of Europe and the horrors of the Thirty Years War. Such is the outcome of ideologies working upon a one-pointed mind. The application is obvious, and its significance is staggering because presented in a remote story which happens to be true, then as now.

As the war progressed, it seemed more and more to revert to primitive brutality. Meanwhile observers began to recall the hypothesis that evolution may possibly overstep itself and reach a stage which is biologically insecure. This premature development may be further endangered by inventions, whether destructive or therapeutic, which spring up to gratify some perverse desire and are not in the course of nature. There are a hundred ways in which the novelist might exemplify this reversion. Huxley's parable is all the more devastating because indirect. The war is not mentioned: the scene is the corrupt and vitiated circle of a rather contemptible and pathetic millionaire who fears death. His private physician invents a disgusting treatment wherewith to prolong his employer's life. But while arresting the natural course of decay, he inadvertently arrests the course of evolution; the attributes of humanity die at their proper time; the patient reverts to the anthropoid ape from which his forbeais evolved The final scene is as powerful as nauseating. Such is After Many a Summer (1944). To appreciate its bitterness, the reader must remember the preceding cult of old age. Goethe, in 1828, told Eckermann that age brought its own revival of youth; in 1853 R. Parise's La Vieillesse argued that a man did not even reach full development till at least seventy years old; Browning believed that the spirit of progress passed from one worker to another, enlivening their faculties till their extreme end, if not beyond; even Tithonus, in Tennyson's poem (from which Huxley borrows his title), grew wiser as well as sadder with the endless procession of years In the twentieth century, histologists were suggesting the probability that human tissue, if kept under the proper conditions, need never die, and we have seen what Shaw 1 made of the hypothesis in Back to Methuselah And then Huxley, in a very graphic and well-constructed novel, invokes a counter-hypothesis to dash the dream, and bring us back to the Tenth Chapter of Ecclesiastes.

Huxley is a morbidly exacting critic of his age, and what most impresses the critic of Huxley is the ferret-like pertinacity and searchfulness of his strictures. He has developed an insatiable curiosity in the waywardness of his fellow-creatures Hugh Kingsmill described him as 'a lean and mournful Don Quixote without dreams'. But he had nightmares. That is the penalty of judging others by the rigorous and restricted standards one ought to apply to

oneself.

JÔHN BOYNTON PRIESTLEY (1894-)

This student of middle-class character and destiny is in one sense quite distinct from the restless and questioning authors we have just discussed. He first made a decided appearance as a literary critic and commentator (1924-9), and then suddenly became famous as a novelist with The Good Companions (1929) and Angel Pavement (1930), both parables of moral slavery, under our social system The first tells of those who have slipped their chain and in the fellowship of the open road are free to be good-natured and independent. The second presents the stuffiness of a business office, the inmates, each according to his or her degree, debased by the vice of systematized money-making which works like an evil spell on the prisoners in the cage. He stands aloof, half quizzical and half sympathetic. and tells his story in a manner reminiscent of Dickens. The spirit of the twentieth century left wing reformer is clearly to be felt. We realize what ought to be by realizing what ought not. Yet he lacks vision; there is no glimpse of the opportunities which our generation has missed; his common folk are so circumscribed and ordinary, and so convincingly portrayed, that they never seem likely to change, however much the world was changed for them. then, he seems to have been searching for the quality of strangeness through plays, sketches, poems, and a book of travels (1932-5). He has not tired of arguing and advocating social reform, and what is more significant, he has begun to show that real life may have its own spiritual revelations. In Time and the Conways (1937), I Have Been There Before (1937) and Music at Night (1938) he has ventured to suggest that our consciousness may become more mystic and metaphysical through contact with the supposedly dead. He sustains interest in his theme, and his characters are as much alive as ever. But nevertheless they do not draw us out of the circle of commonplace observation.

CHARLES LANGBRIDGE MORGAN (1894-)

Whereas Priestley seems to breathe the atmosphere of the Midlands wherever he goes, Morgan lives among the cosmopolitan or leisured classes who enjoy life's social privileges. He reminds one of Thackeray or Trollope without their humour and irony; but he writes in their old-world leisurely manner, though rather pedestrian in style. In each novel he gathers together a domestic circle of serious-minded, orderly people, living settled lives, such as most of us would be glad enough to live; and they are all interesting and seem real, though they take up much space in saying and doing very little to the point. Each of these quiet, self-contained fraternities produces or admits a man of genius, an irreconcilable, who influences the others and is influenced by them, an idealist, an enemy of routine, seeking escape through art, philosophy, or action, always impeded

by the network of his attachments, generally forced to choose between going his own way, or that of the woman he loves. Of these novels (1925-41) The Fountain (1932) is certainly the best, but all have delighted thousands because each reminds the reader that (for the privileged classes) existence is a matter of temperaments, ideals and adventures in experience, such as all should approve though few imitate. Like Galsworthy, he has also attracted many readers on the continent because he makes the English upper class seem eccentric and intelligible. Yet these brilliant eccentrics are not really convincing for the Englishman. Their thoughts are less dynamic than their words and actions. The author cannot get inside their skins, because they are too full of his own complexities.

HOWARD SPRING (1889-)

The spiritual hollowness of these two gifted writers will also be found in Spring, who has produced in his later middle-age two very successful novels which contain his verdict on life. He was well equipped for the task, having sympathy with the troubles and temperaments of his fellow-creatures, and an unusually wide range of social experience. Consequently he has no illusions concerning the alleged goodness of human nature. But he has an unmistakable gift for the portrayal of character and personality; and as he is a selfmade man who has devoted his strenuous manhood to journalism, he knows much about the intricate windings of this mortal life. Both his novels—My Son, My Son (1938), Fame is the Spur (1940) create pictures of working-class life which are all his own, and which the reader does not forget, because they live in his imagination and haunt his thoughts. These humble folk really do labour, aspire, and err. But when he leads us on to those who rise to the surface, and become 'gentlemen', they also become stage-figures, melodramatic or farcical, rightly objects of his pity, contempt, or sardonic amusement, examples of the perversity and freakishness of social So he ends by pointing a moral as old as the centuries.

So here is yet another talented author who tells us the truth, but not the whole truth, not that part of it we ought to ask for.

THE INSURGENCE OF THE 'THIRTIES

Pound, the Sitwells, Huxley, Priestley, Morgan, and Spring, seem to be masters of themselves and their art. They have established their attitude, and have done justice to their ideas, as far as they can see. If we are to summarize our disappointment in a phrase, we might borrow Jules de Gaultier's mot: le pouvoir départi à l'homme de se conçevoir autre qu'il n'est. The same is true of other less effective poets, for instance John Freeman (1880–1929) and Robert Nichols (1893–1944), who felt deeply, but could not speak out.

Meanwhile a younger generation fully aware of the disabilities under which their elders were labouring began to look elsewhere

for the power which makes all things new

The novelists look for it in a second hidden realism, suggesting what can be symbolized but not photographed They are far from ignoring facts; they follow the logic of events; and yet, unlike the Victorian and Georgian novel, their stories flicker or flare with touches of grace or grimness, to show that even prosaic experience has romance in store. De la Mare may have given them many One of the most outspoken is the American Frederic Prokosch (1908-). On the surface he is grimly realistic, reminding us of the impulses and agonies which may anywhere at this moment be active, but removing then to a safe distance, amid arid, Oriental scenery, cosmopolitan cities festering with intrigues, or a hobo's wanderings in America, so that stay-at-homes may savour the spiritual adventurousness of travel without its human risks, and learn the wider significance of life. Graham Greene (1904-) and E. R. Eddison (1882-) are less harsh and arresting, and both begin unpretentiously, and then lead their readers into an unreal, or unrealizable world which lies within reach of the imagination. Greene develops a rather unnerving forecast of what might await the unwary. Eddison rises to a peculiar quality of imaginative richness. Perhaps the best and most under-estimated is the eldest, Forrest Reid (1876-). At his best he keeps to nothing more abstruse than the domestic and perceptive experiences of boyhood, or the family and friendly contacts of youth and age, though in some of his happiest moments he has revived the glamour and glow of ancient mythical Hellas. But in either case he suggests the deepest sympathies, the most delightful surprises, or even dramatic suspense. As a contrast we might note the latest effort of the youngest of the group: Alex Comfort's The Power House (1944). Despite the novel's irritating prolixity, he succeeds in making the reader appreciate the awful helplessness of the individualist of our time, isolated and defeated by the machine, thrown back on his subconscious self.

The younger school of poets (if they can be so classified) have attracted more attention ¹ Cecil Day Lewis (1904–) has broken away from the culture to which he has been bred in order to multiply his personality and make himself a poet. He looks for his human contacts among the millions who bear the burden of life without sharing in its privileges (*The Magnetic Mountam*). The cult of the under-privileged may open the heart and broaden the sympathies, but is not enough to complete the man. The poet has himself to reckon with; and Lewis is trying to fulfil his personality in a somewhat mystic cult of sex and scenery, as he makes clear in *Transitional Poem*, and *Feathers from Iron*.

Stephen Spender (1909-) also aims at beating out his music amid the social and cultural tangle into which he feels that he is

¹ Vide J. G Southworth, Sowing the Spring, 1940.

born, and also seeks that other inward fulfilment in the cult of nature and love (not forgetting homosexuality, much less that wider impersonal affection for all God's creatures). He is on a surer but more ambitious path in his confidence that poetry can inspire the courage to reform the world—a poetry which should express only what the writer experiences and yet hints at a deeper truth beyond. Partly for this reason, he still sometimes fails to convey his meaning.

Louis Macneice (1907—) writes more simply, and is less absorbed in socialism. In Autumn Journal (1939) he is as ready as Joyce to abhor the vices and helplessness of city life, but he equally abhors the other's defectism; and, indeed, the apathy, resignation, and egocentricity of the 'thirties. It is a less hopeful sign that he is still involved in metrical experiments, of which one cannot yet see the issue

Wystan Hugh Auden ¹ (1907–) is perhaps the most prominent of this generation, because his interests are more varied, his view is wider, and his versification more incisive and penetrating, notably in his poem Spain. He, too, is a politician, even a Marxist, and also a Freudian. The drift of his inspiration is towards freedom from self-absorption, partly through socialism and partly through sexual freedom, all leading to the triumph over fear, desire, self-contemption and reticence. So he is not backward in meditating on his own youthful errors and frustrations, even homosexuality. He has tried his hand at drama, social and moral propaganda in prose, and destructive criticism (Letters from Iceland, 1937, with Macneice). But if he lives, it will be as a poet who returns to natural scenery for his images and symbols

If Auden voices the more complex difficulties which the individual has to face, Christopher Murray Grieve (Hugh Macdiarmid), an insatiable fighter, gives the most outspoken if not the best idea of contemporary restlessness and conflict, and the clash of opposing ideas in this disputatious age. He, too, is an inveterate and frequently unsuccessful experimenter in verse and prose styles, too often in the Scots dialect. So he is most likely to be remembered for his autobiography, Lucky Poet: A Self-Study in Literature and Ideas (1943), which gives an absorbing, though tiresomely verbose account of the personalities and preachments which are now making and unmaking public opinion

It is, of course, already well known that this younger generation, of which a few representatives have just been noted, are part of a reaction from the 'Ivory Tower' and 'Axel's Castle' of the 'twenties. These latter, so well discussed by Edmund Wilson,² finding the twentieth-century atmosphere too complex or too crude, contented themselves (so their juniors allege) with verbal technicalities or archaisms of thought and expression. Or else they discarded these

links with the storied Past, relied on their own untutored creative-

¹ For criticism see S. Spender, The Destructive Element, 1935.

² Axel's Castle A Study in the Imaginative Literature of 1870-1930 (1932)

ness, and satisfied that necessity by spinning, as it were, a web out of their own' as yet unexplored subconscious, convinced that they would awake a kindred spontaneity in their fellows. Their successors refuse to be resigned, they cultivate the poor and the lowly partly because they are determined to learn what the world has to teach and partly to hasten the reconstruction of society. In this communal atmosphere they feel more able to explore their intimate selves. These social and spiritual reformers, or rather, would-be reformers, distrust the traditional culture they have learnt at school and college, with good reason, as far as literature, art, and science are an academic, even pedantic imposition on one's own natural, impulse to create. Such is false culture. Many have therefore refused the true culture, which shows how the greatest minds of the Past have resisted these same impositions

The newcomers seem bent on denying themselves this aid without which they can hardly make the most of themselves. Perhaps the omens are more gloomy than the result may prove to be, for the most hopeful feature of the last twenty years is the courage, initiative and industry with which so many young men and women write. Much

can be hoped from an age which is not tongue-tied.

REORIENTATION

The rapidity of transition in the twentieth century is now a commonplace, nevertheless it has taken our literary leaders by surprise; and for that reason each may have quickly arrived at his or her opinion on the principles of composition, but they have not had time to study and compare the prevailing theories, and work towards a synthesis. But before the end of the 'thirties the tendencies began to emerge more clearly. Herbert Read (1893-) in The Politics of the Unpolitical (1943) divides art into realism, expressionism, constructivism, and superrealism, which Derek Stanford, looking back from 1944, in New Road defines as 'the art of the world as the eye sees it, as the heart feels it, as the mind knows it, as the being dreams it'. The last is the most important, because dreams are voyages of discovery into one's own unrealized self-'shapes of prophecy'intimations of what might become, whatever the means. R. H. Wilenski (1887-) in The Modern Movement in Art (1927) quotes Einstein on the need for 'a simplified synoptic view of the world conformable to our own nature, overcoming the world by replacing it with this picture'. Such seems to be the principle at which literature is at present aiming, and it is significant that direction is sought from two kindred though distinct lines of culture. These stages in the movement overlap, and we shall see in the next and final chapter that some progressives have begun to present a more precise and pointed terminology. However, the whole adventure is big with possibilities, but its advocates and practitioners, though surprisingly able, may forget that they are talking to others, as well as to themselves.

CHAPTER XII

THE TENDENCIES OF TWENTIETH CENTURY LITERATURE AND THEIR CHANCES IN THE FUTURE

A CRITICAL study in literature is generally expected to reveal lines of development, and the rise or fall of schools, and it may be objected that in this case no such attempt has been made. This objection is natural, because we are all accustomed to the study of earlier periods in which the circle has already been completed, whereas the twentieth century is so far only a segment, already thrice interrupted, in 1914, 1919, and 1939. So one cannot do more than emphasize the broken beginnings, and dwell on the impulses that have not yet been crystallized into their most effective forms.

But the impulses are certainly there; they can be felt though not formularized, and this tendentious undercurrent implies a distinct

development, a movement along an inward track

In order to appreciate its significance, the reader is invited to imagine himself back in the sixteenth century, somewhere between 1550 and 1590. He would find an atmosphere of conflicts, cross-currents and tentative experiments, as confusing and indecisive as our own, and a very similar tension between the Old and the New He would note that many people still enjoyed moralities, interludes, old-fashioned jest-books, and silly farces, all reminiscent of the Middle Ages. They were only just beginning to appreciate historical pageants, presented very much as their ancestors presented the Bible-story. On the other hand, he would find the young men about town, and the university wits already trying their hand at drama modelled on the newly revived Ancients, and scholars like Puttenham and Webbe arguing over the art and craft of literature, as they imagined it ought to be.

He would find that the younger generation were much intrigued by renaissance morals and manners, and were cultivating a fantastic and almost ludicrous prose style and he would observe that their euphuisms were a bid for self-discovery which more or less missed the mark. At the same time older and none the less able men were still writing in natural and incisive English, after the manner of Caxton, Latymer, Ascham, Stubbes, and Gosson, were genuinely alarmed at the spirit of irresponsible novelty around them, and were bent on reaffirming that what was good enough for their fathers was

good enough for them.

The nation was already divided over the superstitions and antisuperstitions of the witch-controversy (so ably discussed and illustrated by Reginald Scot), and it had good reason to believe that the Powers of Evil had broken loose. The country was distracted by heresies and schisms which might any day plunge the community into the savagery of a civil war The rift between the gentlemen and commoners, soon to be styled cavaliers and round-heads, was already wide enough for Robert Greene to write A Quaint Dispute between Velvet Breeches and Cloth Breeches; and the curse of unemployment was filling the town with thieves and impostors and the country with hordes of vagabonds The unrest was further complicated by the Tudor succession, which then seemed incapable of settlement. and by the ferment of conspiracies which it engendered. Foreign politics intensified the gloom at home. The massacre of St. Bartholomew was perpetrated in the same year in which the Dutch rose in revolt, apparently hopeless, against the tyranny of Spain, and the dread of a like fate did not leave England till after 1588

If we are to believe E. M. Tillyard's The Elizabethan World Picture, educated people still accepted the old cosmology and believed man to be the centre of creation round whom the sun and stars dutifully revolved, though Pythagoras had declared the earth to be a ball floating in space, five centuries before the birth of Christ; Nicolas Koppernik had demonstrated before Henry VIII came to the throne that the sun stood still, and the earth and stars

moved round it.

Altogether, that period bears some striking resemblance to our Yet no one doubts that in the sixteenth century a steady purpose ran through these conflicts and confusions and was gradually taking possession of the artistic and literary sense. This tendency might be described as the determination to make the best of life with the aid of classical intelligence and the sense of human personality-to observe facts inside and outside oneself, and reason about them on the background of ancient knowledge, using the inexhaustible resources of the Greek and especially Latin languages to clarify one's own indistinct thoughts and to raise vague intuitions to a vivid consciousness

Every critic and historian recognizes this purposiveness. Yet it did not culminate in the perfection of forms, as in Italy and France Its most immortal exponent is to be remembered by a collection of stage-plays, a mixture of medieval pageantry and superstitions, Senecan rhetoric, farce, fiction, and tragedy, jumbled together, with flashes of unstudied poetry, none the less technically inappro-

priate because mexpressibly beautiful and revealing. Something analogous may be going to happen to the present generation. At least we can point to a similar progress, equally tendentious and contentious, muddling along a similar path, though it may never end in so glorious a realization. In our case, the dominant motive power is not classical humanism—that influence has been discarded no less ungratefully than the Elizabethans discarded gothic art—but observation, clear-sightedness and curio-

sity inspired by modern science

This interpretation will be disputed, because when literary mentalk about science, they generally think of an edifice of knowledge built on nomenclatures and generalizations, achieved by induction and deduction, concerned only with facts which repeat themselves—or else they remember the dismal history of the liver-fluke and the tsetse fly. These misconceptions ought to have vanished with Haeckel and Huxley. As has been noted, scientists themselves now disclaim certitude. Sir Arthur Eddington, perhaps the most successful popularizer as well as savant, always insists that what we think we learn from phenomena is something we have ourselves put there.

But that something does not come out the same as it went in Whoever takes an interest, even at second hand, in scientific research, does not only sharpen his imagination on his external environment, he begins to realize that his internal environment has acquired a new meaning. One cannot study geology, the structure of society and of the body, or again epistemology and the prehistory of the human animal without meeting a challenge to self-experience. There come reminders that many aspects of one's personality, or place in the social economy, have been left unexplored, or unexemplified We recall memories, impulses, affinities, the stirring of fresh powers, spiritual as well as sensuous, which at the time passed unnoticed, because not picked out into relief by a terminology, or because their end and object were not visualized. Such is the nerve-centre of twentieth century culture, no less than classical-mindedness was that of Tudor times

But only the nerve-centre. Its significance would have been more clearly recognized, except that no imaginative writer has yet discovered the appropriate technique. the ait by which to humanize these and other such stark facts. The ideas have grown and progressed, but their spiritual organization and presentment have lagged behind. No one has yet taught himself how to blend the warmth and enlightenment of personal contacts with the revelations of the bio-chemist, the psycho-analyst, and the authorities on folklore and ethnology; to convince the world that scientific truth is any enlargement, not a restriction of the spirit. As Bergson says in his Introduction to Metaphysics. 'A true empiricism is that which proposes to get as near to the original Self as possible, to search deeply into its life, and so by a kind of intellectual auscultation, to feel the throbbings of its soul. . . . It is true that the task is an extremely difficult one, for none of the ready-made conceptions which thought employs in its daily operations can be of any use.'

Nevertheless the spirit of the age has moved unsteadily forward and has reached the point when authors, despite their irreconcilable idiosyncrasies, seem to be conscious of a common purpose. This unifying idea might be described as the interdependence and inter-

¹ Quoted from M. F. Cleugh's Time. Italics our own.

THE TENDENCIES OF TWENTIETH CENTURY LITERATURE 193

penetration of the social and the psychological man; each of the two aspects enlarged and deepened by the consideration of the other

In the previous chapters we have noted many unco-ordinated efforts towards some such synthesis, and recently the United States have produced two outspoken manifestoes. In 1943 N. E. Monroe in The Novel of Society complained that notable novelists, for instance Virginia Woolf, express nothing but themselves, a subject hardly worth expressing, whereas they would discover a much deeper illumination if they expressed themselves only as a part of essential humanity, an exemplification of Eternal Law, a revelation of man's ancient and unconquerable grandeur, even amid the disintegration of modern society In 1944, Louis Mumford, in The Condition of Man, reviews the whole history of Western culture and humanism to prove that civilization has now lost its way, frozen in fixed systems, mechanical adjustments, and non-human processes; a routine adapted to our external environment and the multiplication of wealth. but unelastic, tyrannous, uncongenial to the many-sidedness of human nature, constraining each of us to become functions not selfhoods. The future humanism must aim at 'a more perfectly harmonized, a more finely attuned, a more complexly balanced expression of both personality and community'.

England has produced nothing quite so provocative and dogmatic during the last few years, but we have seen that many poets and prose-writers are convinced that these dreams could and should become realities. It is now to be noted that some have given their hopes and faiths a name, thus transforming their ideals into a move-

ment.1

These publicists, often dismissed as 'neo-symbolists', or 'postsurrealist romantics', leaving T. S. Eliot, W. H. Auden, C. Day Lewis, L. Macneice, and S. Spender behind, claim to be Apocalyptics or Personalists. The former believe that man should be a revelation to himself, limited only by his own vision, certainly not by environment, mass-thinking, or conformity to collectivism. The Personalists likewise hold to the supreme duty of understanding and thereby healing oneself; 'the person [is] the key to the meaning of the universe'; but lest such inwardness should limit their aspiration, they widen it to embrace all human activities, especially social responsibility. They not only seek, but share the pursuit of beauty and truth in all its most accessible and communal forms; and also by sympathetic contacts with the humbler, less sophisticated members of society, because these live simpler lives, and do more honest work with less show. In Transformation (1944) the editors have gone so far as to devote most of the volume to a definite scheme of education through art, religion, and poetry, which should create a free environment for the adventures of the spirit.

¹ Vide New Road (A. Comfort and J. Bayliss). Transformation (S. Schernanski and H. Treece). Kingdom Come (A. Rook, S. Schernanski, and H. Treece). The Crown and the Sickle (J. F. Hendry, H, Treece).

So far, so good; a war-cry generally implies a united effort. But the reader will not be slow to observe that these aims are not new. They have been proclaimed again and again during the past centuries, sometimes by humanists too well known to be named; and one fears that these young reformers sincerely believe themselves to be original because they are not aware of the wisdom and experience which ought to complete their ideas and direct their speculations. When they look into their own hearts, they may, perhaps, find less than they expect. When they plan for their fellow-creatures, they may fail to learn from the success and failure of older, but not less enlightened experiments. We have had occasion to note this mushroom culture during the last thirty years. Will it again fail them in face of postwar difficulties?

They will certainly need all the help they can get, for they will be beset with problems more formidable than those which beset the generation which was entering on life in 1590. Our epoch has been styled the age of the common man, and even if his social sense and status are raised, his intellect may remain just as common. We are promised an immediate and satisfying reconstruction of the most ancient and essential institutions characteristic of humanity. It is all to be effected in a few years. Education, financial security, and recreation are to be supplied for all. Such benefits are indeed requisite. But they threaten to stop short at a managed culture, bureaucratically administered, and guaranteed by the lavish expenditure of the national resources. So there is a risk that the movement may again be hampered by rivalries, jealousies, and frustrated hopes, and may relapse into the competition for creature comforts and the acquiescence in routine instruction.

The war has certainly inspired an earnest desire for progress, a generous spirit of philanthropy, and a sense of mutual service, if not of human equality; and despite maladjustments and disappointments the nation may well create a better life. But will it create a better literature? An author is only half an author unless his ideas live in the heads of his fellow creatures. He must feel at home in the atmosphere of his time. There will certainly be a tension between those who want to give everybody the same chance, and those who want to communicate the incommunicable, to reveal to the imagination a new flexibility and freedom. If culture settles down into a uniform, preconceived level, the adventurers and irreconcilables will not meet the response which feeds their genius. In that case they will dwindle into a cult, consoling each other with the appreciation they cannot win from the reading public.

And yet the prospect may not be so bleak. The post-war generation may be like an instrument sharpened in the uses of adversity. The community must by now have realized that democracy cannot survive unless every member understands for himself the tendency of thoughts as well as of events, and while criticizing social and political ideologies, the majority may also have acquired, almost

THE TENDENCIES OF TWENTIETH CENTURY LITERATURE 195

unconsciously, an eye for human relationships, an at present undefined sense of the individual's spiritual needs, probably an aptitude for religious experience, certainly an inclination to discriminate between true and false values. In that case no man of genius will be

too great to collaborate with his public.

This renascence will undoubtedly come. We have seen all through this review that the materials for great literature are not lacking; the atmosphere is crowded with expansive ideas, waiting to be symbolized in art; but over-zealous and uninspired reorganization, together with the other disillusionments of Peace, may delay its advent for more years than I wish to contemplate.

INDEX

4

Aaron's Rod, 153, 158 Abbey Theatre, 63, 66, 98 Abbott, E. E., 108 Abercrombie, L., 82–4 Absolute, The, 112 Adam Cast Forth, 106 Admirable Crichton, The, 96 Adventure, An, 145 After Many a Summer, 184 African Coast, The, 91 Aldington, R , 113 Alexander, S, 110 Allgood, S., 93 Amatol, 100 Ambassador, The 86 Anabasis, 4 Androcles and the Lion, 32 Angel Pavement, 185 Anglo-Saxon, 105, 107 Annals of Innocence and Experience, 139 Anthology of War Poems, A, 126 (Biereton) War Poetry, Anthology 120 (R. Nichols) Anthropology, 120 (Sir E. Tylor)
Antic Hay, 181-2
Anti-God Sentiment, 128
'Anti-Mask', 67
'Anti-Self', 67, 68 Aphrodite in Aulis, 88 Apocalyptics, 193 Arabia Deserta, 105-6 Archer, W., 102 Armour for Aphrodite, 81 Arms and the Man, 32, 35 Art of Creation, The, 121 Art of the Theatre, The, 94 Ash Wednesday, 164, 165 'Asian Birds', 109
'Assault, The', 126 Atmosphere Play, 100 (G. Barker)
'Attitude', 138 (I. A Richards)
Attitude Towards History, 136 August Midnight, An', 77 Austen, J., 59, 175 Autumn of the Body, The, 64 Awkward Age, The, 86 Axel's Castle, 188 n

В

Back to Methuselah, 32, 37

Ballad of the White Horse, The, 71 Ballad of the White Horse, The Balzac, 39, 87, 156, 172
Banville, T. de, 80
'Barbellion', 130, 135
Barker, H. G., 99–101
Barrie, Sir J., 26, 95–7
Battle of the Somme, The, 56
Batson, W., 119
Baudelaire, C, 80
Beardsley, A, 70
Beerbohm, Sir M., 69–70 Beerbohm, Sir M., 69-70 Belloc, H., 71 Bells, The, 92 Ben Jonson, 35, 100 Benda, J., 125 Bennett, A, 39-42, 69, 137 Benson, R H., 50 Berceau, Le, 34 Berdyaev, N., 149 n. Bergson, H., 33, 129, 173, 192 Bienfaiteurs, Les, 34 Binyon, L, 126 Birds, Beasis, and Flowers, 153, 154 Birtliright, 93 Blackwood, A., 88-9 Blake, W., 33, 49, 64, 166, 179 Blanchette, 34 Blind, 83 Blunden, E, 127 Bolles, E, C, 91 n. Books and Characters, 132 Boswell, 3 n.
Bowra, C. W., 63, 65 n.
Boy David, The, 97 Boyle, W., 93 'Boys', 50 (ref. De la Mare) Brave New World, 182-3 Brereton, F, 126 Breviario di Estetica, 124 Bridges, R., 109–11, 121 Brontë, E., 59 Bronze Age, 17, 31, 158 Brook Kerith, The, 88 Brooke, R., 82 Browning, 70 (Chesterton) Browning, R., 8, 13 Bucke, R M, 120 Bucolic Comedies, 179 Buddha, 141, 161 Bunyan, 38 Bureau de Change, 98 Burnt Norton, 165-6 Butler, S., 3, 33, 64

197

CCaesar and Cleopatra, 32, 81 Cakes and Ale, 151 Calıban's Guide to Letters, 71 Calthrop, D. C., 100 Cambridge History of English Literature, The, 118 Candida, 32, 35, 36 'Cape Horn, Gospel II', 55 Captain Brassbound's Conversion, 32 Carlyle, 9, 31, 72 Carpenter, E., 64, 115, 120-2 Carroll, Lewis, 49 Cassilis Engagement, The, 101 Cathay, 178 Celestial Ommbus, The, 58 Chambers of Imagery, 81 Change in the Cabinet, A, 71 Charity that began at Home, The, 101 Chaucer, 54, 106 Chesterton, G. K., 54, 70-1, 95 'Children', 50 (ref De la Mare) Choice of Kipling's Verse, 12 Christmas Garland, A, 70 Christmas Holiday, A, 151-2 Christology in the Twentieth Century, 142 Christopher Sly, 84 Churchyard School, 52 Cinema, 177 Circle, The, 151 Civilization, Its Cause and Cure, 121 Classical v Romantic, 139-40 Classical culture, 4 'Classical Revival', 140 (ref. T. E. Hulme) Clayhanger, 39, 40 Cleugh, M. F.. 145 n., 192 n. Cliffs, 106 Clouds, 106 Clown's House, The, 179 Club of Queer Trades, The, 70 Cocteau, J, 7 Colenso, 3 Coleridge, 49 Comédie Humaine, 39 Comedy of Manners, 35, 148 Comfort, Alex, 187 'Commensalism', 73 (ref. Hudson) 29-40 (ref. 'Composition', Bennett) Condition of Man, The, 193 Congreve, 148 (ref. Maugham) Conrad, J, 19-24, 43, 45, 69, 91, 147

Cosmopolitanism (evidences of), 13, 19, 21, 23, 31, 40, 73, 149-50, 158,

168, 180-1, 183, 187

'Counterpoint Rhythm', 127
Countess Kathleen, The, 66, 93
Country House, The, 45-6
Coward, N., 133
Craig, G., 94
Croce, B., 67, 124-5, 163
Crown Yellow, 181-2
Crown and the Sickle, The, 193 n.
Cummings, B. F., 129-30, 155
Cunninghame Graham, 73-4
Curse of the Wise Woman, The, 98
'Curtain', 38, 93
Custom and Myth, 120
Cutting of an Agate, The, 67

D

Daffodıl Fields, 56 Daily Bread, 79 Dane, Clemence, 90 (ref. Walpole) Dark Flower, The, 47 Darwin, C., 5, 23, 118 Darwin among the Machines, 2 n Dauber, 55, 56 Daudet, A., 39 Davies, W. H., 79, 82 Dawn in Britain, 106 Dear Brutus, 97 Débâcle, le, 126 Deborah, 83 Defoe, 59 De la Mare, W, 48-53, 68, 105, 167 Demobilization Spirit, 131-4 De Quincey, 24 déracinés, les, 40 Des Imagistes, 112 Destructive Element, The, 188 n. Development of W. B. Yeats, The, 63 Diary of a Dead Officer, The, 128 Dickens, 70, 91 Dickens, C., 8, 13 Ding, Dong, Bell, 52 Discoveries, 67 Dissociation of a Personality, The, 50 Dixon, R. W., 158 Dobson, A., 104 Doctor's Dilemma, The, 32, 37 'Donkey, The', 71 Doolittle, H., 113 Dostoevsky, 21, 39 Dottin, P., 145 Doughty, C. M., 104, 105-6 Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde, 50 Dreamer's Tales, A, 98 Driesch, H., 119 Drinkwater, J., 81 Dry Salvages, The, 165-7 Dubliners, 168, 169

Dujardin, E , 176 Dunsany, Lord, 98–9 Dun Scotus, 107

E

E M Forster (E Trilling), 58 East Coker, 165-6 Economic Consequences of the Peace, Eddington, Sir A, 192 Eddison, E R, 187 Edith Sitwell's Anthology, 180 Education Act, The (1870), 8, 104 Education Through Art, 110 n, 122 Egoist, The, 112 Eldest Son, The, 43, 47, 102 Ehot, G, 3, 9, 72 Ehot, T. S, 12, 112, 113, 140, 160-7 Emergent Evolution, 110 Eminent Victorians, 132 Emma Bovary, 42 End of a War, The, 128 Engels, F., 4
England's Work in India, 14 English Prose Style, 113 English Review, The, 54 Enjoying Life, 130 'Enoch Soames', 70
Episodes before Thirty, 89 Ervine, St. John E, 102-3 Escape, 43 Essai sur les Données Immédiates de la Conscience, 173 Essay Towards a Theory of Art, An, 84 Esther Waters, 87 Etruscan Places, 159 Evelyn Innes, 87 Everlasting Mercy, The, 54, 55, 57 Evil, 5, 19, 23, 53, 90, 121, 141, 171, 181, 183, 191 Evolution, 26, 30, 110, 119 Evolutionary Naturalism, 110 Experiment in Autobiography, An, 25

F

Fabian Society, The, 33
Fairy Tales, 52
Faithful, The, 56
Fame is the Spur, 186
Fanny Hawthorn, 102
Father and Son, 72
Father Brown, 71
Fear, A, 83
'Felix Randal', 107
Ferrero, G, 10
Fielding, 148

First and Last Things, 28
First Men in the Moon, The, 27
First Principles, 121
Five Towns, The, 40
Flaubert, G, 21, 22
Fleur, 48
Food of the Gods, The, 27
'For the Fallen', 126
Forrest Reid, 148, 187
For Services Rendered, 147, 151
Forster, E M, 58-62, 69
Forsyte Saga, 43, 47
Fountam, The, 186
Four Yeais War, 113
Frazer, Sir J, 120, 164
Free Veise (Vers Libres), 113-15, 137-8 (post-war), 139, 157, 161
Freud, S, 122-3, 135, 136
From Ritual to Romance, 164
Froude, J. A., 14, 31, 64, 72
Funeral of Youth, The, 82

G

G M. Hopkins (W. H. Gardner), 108 G M. Hopkins, Priest and Poet (J Pick), 107 Galsworthy, J, 19, 43-8, 99, 137 Gamp, Mrs., 43 Garden of Survival, The, 89 Gardner, W H., 108 Garnett, E, 19, 21 Gautier, T, 80 Gentile, G, 67 Gentleman, ideal of, 4, 44, 47 George, H., 4 Gerontion, 163 Ghost Stories of an Antiquary, 89 Gibson, W. W, 79, 82 Gifts of Fortune, 91 Gil Blas, 43 'Giocunda Smile, The', 182 Gissing, G, 3
Ghttering Gate, The, 98 God the Known and Unknown, 64 'God's Grandeur', 107 Gods of the Mountain, The, 99 Goethe, W. von, 33, 40 Golden Bough, The, 120 Golden Bowl, The, 86 Golden Journey to Samarkand, The, 80 Golding Bright Agency, 146 Goncourts, de, 39 Good Companions, The, 185 Gordon, 14 Gosse, Sir E, 72 Gotama, 141 Gourmont, R de, 169

INDEX

Granmar of Science, The, 5 n, 119
Gray and Bell, 6
Great God Pan, The, 88
Great Lover, The, 82
Greater Love, 127
Greek Literature (Jebb), 4 n.
Green, J. R., 14
Green Helmet, The, 67
Green Mansions, 73
Green Tea, 30, 89
Greene, G, 187
Gregory, Lady, 63, 66
Grey Eminence, 183-4
Grieve, C M (Macdiarmid), 188
Gruach, 81

H

Haeckel, E., 25, 27, 119, 129 Hakluyt's Voyages, 106 Haldane, J. B., 111, 121 Hamilton, W. H., 53 Hampshire Days, 73 Hankin, St J, 101 'Hap', 77
Happy Hypocrite, The, 70
Hardy, T., 3, 5, 7, 56, 73, 76-7, 80, 82, 83, 119, 150, 151, 155 Harlequinade, 100 Hassan, 80 Hawthorne, N, 49 Heartbreak House, 32, 37 Hearthstone, 116 Héloise and Abelard, 87 Henry Brocken, 49 Her Privates We, 128-9 Heretics, 70 Heritage of Symbolism, The, 63 Heroic Age, The, 56 Herrick, 49 Herries Chronicle, 90 High-brow', 35, 136 Hılda Lessways, 39, 40 Hıll of Dreams, The, 88 Hindle Wakes, 102 Hinton, H. C, 25, 27 History of Criticism, A, 118 History of Mr. Polly, The, 29 History of Prosody, A, 118 Hollow Men, The, 164 Home Life at turn of Century, 4 Homosexual, 155, 158, 188 Hone, J H, 63 Hopkins, G M, 104, 106-8 Horniman, Miss, 66 Houghton, S., 101-2 Housman, A. E, 5, 77-8, 80, 82

Housman, L., 100

Howard's End, 58, 60-1

Hudson, W H, 72-3

Hueffer, F M, 113

Hugh Selwyn Mauberley, 179

Hulme, T. E, 111-12, 140, 141

Humanism and America, 140

Hunter, Sir W., 14

Hutchinson, A S. M, 131

Huxley, A., 5, 181-4

Huxley, J, 80

Huxley, J, 80

Huxley, T., 5, 25, 27, 129, 136

Huysmans, J. K, 39

Ι

Ibsen, H., 34, 92, 99 Idea of Great Poetry, The, 89 If, 99
If Winter Comes, 131 Illative sense, 5 Imagist Anthology, 112 Imagists, 112 Imperial Federal League, 14 Impressionist Paintings, 177 Inge, W. R., 131 'Inscape', 107 Intelligentzia, 117 Interludes and Poems, 83 Internal Monologue, 38, 123, 130, 162, 170, 175, 176-7 In the Mill, 54 In Retreat, 128 'Into Action', 126 Introductory Lectures on Psycho-Analysis, 135 Intuazione Pura, 124 Invisible Man, The, 50 Irish Easter Rebellion, 67 Irish Insurrection, 14 Irish Literary Theatre, 63
Island of Dr. Moreau, The, 27 Island Pharisees, 43

.7

Jacob's Room, 172, 173 Joyce, J. A., 167-72, 175

K

Kangaroo, The, 153, 158
King Argimenes and the Unknown
Warrior, 98
Kingdom Come, 193 n.
Kingsmill, H., 153
Kipling, R., 12-19, 21, 25, 43, 54,
69
Kipps, 29

Lady Chatterley's Lover, 153, 159, Lady of Belmont, The, 81 Lafourcade, G., 39, 113 Lahey, Father, 108
Lake, The, 87
Land of Heart's Desire, 66, 93
Landmarks in French Literature, 132 Land's End, 73 Lang, A., 64, 120 Lao-Tse, 141, 161 6 Last Diary, A, 130 Last of the De Mullins, 101, 102 Last Poems (Housman), 78 Later Life and Letters of Sir H Newbolt, 75 n Lauriers sont Coupés, Les, 176 Lavrın, J., 20 n Lawrence, D. H, 59, 87, 112, 113, 114, 121, 153-60 Lawrence, Mrs. (née Von Richthofen), 153 Le Fanu, J. S., 50 Lepanto, 71 Leskov, Nikolai, 20 Letter, The, 151 Levin, J., 168 Lewis, C. Day, 187 Lewis, C. S., 121, 141 Lewis, Wyndham, 140 Life and Habit, 64 Life's Handicap, 50 Lisle-Adam, de, 65, 69 Listeners, The, 51 Lister, J., 6, 25 Literature of Sea Travel, The, 91 n. 'Lıza of Lambeth, 146 Loisy, A, 11, 142 n Lollingdon Downs, 57 London Adventure, The, 88 'London Snow', 109 Longest Journey, The, 60 Look, We have Come Through, 157 Lord Jim, 23 Love and Mr. Lewisham, 29 Love Poems and Others, 157 Lowell, Amy, 112 Loyalties, 43 Lucky Poet (Grieve), 188

M

Macaulay, R, 58 Macaulay, T., 9 Machen, A., 88

Lyell, Sir C, 5

Macneice, L, 68, 188 Madras House, 100 Maeterlinck, M., 94 Magıc, 50 Magnanimous Lover, The, 102 Making of England, The, 188 Malay Archipelago, 22 Mallarmé, S, 65, 113 Man and Superman, 32, 35, 36 Manichee, 52
Manning F, 128-9
Mansfield, K, 21, 177
Man of Property, The, 43, 45
Man who was Thursday, The, 70
Man who would be King, The, 13 Maradick at Forty, 89 Mark of the Beast, The, 50 Mark Sabre, 131 Marrot, H. V., 43 Marrying of Ann Leete, 100 Marx, K., 4 Masefield, J , 53–8, 66, 69, 83, 105, 167 ' Mask', 67 Masters, E. L., 52 Maud, 3 Maugham, W. Somerset, 5, 146-53, 168 Maupassant, G. de, 21, 39, 43, 148, Médecine Experimentale, 6 Mègroz, R. L., 48 Memoirs of a Midget, The, 51, 85 Memoirs of My Dead Life, 87 Mendel, G., 119 Men without Art, 140 Meredith, G, 3 Midhurst Grammar School, 25 Milestones, 39, 40, 41 Mıll, J. S., 37 Milton, J., 24, 109, 111 Mineral Workers, The, 93 Mirror of the Sea, 20 ' Miss Vivie', 36 Mixed Marriage, 102 Modern Movement in Art, The, 189 Monro, H. E , 115–16 Monologue Intérieur, Le, 176 Montaigne, 121 Moon and Suxpence, The, 146, 149-Moore, G., 87-8, 168 Moore, T. Sturge, 81 Morale Humaine, La, 142 n. Morgan, C L, 110, 185-9 Mr. Micawber, 43
Mr. Perrin and Mr. Traill, 89 Mr. Polly, 29

INDEX 201

Mr. and Mrs. Bloom, 171
Mrs. Craddock, 148
Mrs. Dalloway, 172, 173–4, 175
Multitude and Solitude, 56
Mumford, L, 194
Mummer's Wife, A, 87
Murder in the Cathedral, 165
Murray, T. C., 93
Murry, J. M, 163
My Son, My Son!, 186
My World as in My Time, 75 n
Myth, Ritual and Religion, 120

N

Napoleon of Notting Hill, The, 70
Narayana Menon, V. K., 63
Narrow Corner, The, 151
National Observer, The, 65
Nature in Downland, 73
Necromancers, The, 50
Newbolt, Sir H., 74–5
New June, The, 74
New Paths on Helicon, 74
New Reading Public, 8–9
New Road, 193 n.
New Study of the English People, A, 74
New Testament, 3
Nietzsche, F., 33, 99
Nigger of the Narcissus, The, 23
Night at an Inn, A, 99
Nordau, M., 10
Novel of Society, The, 193

0

Oceana, 14
O'Donovan, F., 93
Odyssey, 170 (ref. J. Joyce)
Of Human Bondage, 146, 148-9
Old Age, 36, 184
Old Comedy, The, 35
Old Country, The, 74
Old Wives' Tale, The, 39, 40, 41
On Bailey's Strand, 66
'On Books', 179
Orientalism, 142, 183
Orlando, 172
Orthodoxy, 70
Outline of History, The, 30
Outspoken Essays, 131
Owen, W., 127

F

Pagan and Christian Creeds, 141 Pain, B., 9 Parnasse Contemporain, 80 'Parnassiens', 80

Passage to India, A, 58, 61-2 Pasteur, L, 6, 25 Patches of Sunlight, 98 Path to Rome, The, 71 Patmore, C, 108 Patrician, The, 45-6 Peacock Pie, 51 Pearson, K., 5, 119 Personae, 178 'Personalists', 193 Personal Principle, The, 157 Peter Pan, 96 Peter Paul Veronese, 88 (ref G. Moore) Philosophy of a Biologist, The, 111 Philosophy of Somerset Maugham, Physical Sciences, 142-3 Pick, J., 107 Player Queen, The, 68
Plays Unpleasant, 36
Plotinus, 67 (ref. W. R. Inge)
Plumed Serpent, The, 153, 158 Poems by W. Owen, 127 Poetry and Criticism, 179 Poetry and Drama, 115 Poetry Book Shop, The, 115 Poetry of W. B. Yeats, The, 63 Poetry Review, 115 Poet's Notebook, A, 180 Point Counter Point, 181, 182 Polite Essays, 179 Politics of the Unpolitical, 189 Pornography and Obscenity, 159 Portrait of a Lady, The, 86 Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man, 168, 169-70 Portraits in Miniature, 132 Pot of Broth, The, 66
Pound, Ezra, 112, 168, 178-9
Power House, The, 187
Pretty Lady, The, 40
Priestley, J. B., 185 Primitive Culture, 120 Principles of Literary Criticism, The, 65, 111, 138-9 Principles of Social Reconstruction, The, 122 Problemi di Estetica, 124 Problem of Pain, The, 121, 141, 165 Prokosh, F., 187 Promenades Philosophiques, 169 Proust, M, 21, 59, 156, 173 Prufrock, 163 Psycho-Analysis, 24, 31, 123, 135, 'Psychology of Scene', 22 Puck of Pook's Hill, 17

0

Quantitative Metres, 107

R

Rainbow, The, 153, 156-7 'Rambler, The', 77 Rappel à l'Ordre, 7 Rathenau, W., 10 Razor's Edge, The, 152 Read, H, 107, 112 n, 113, 128, 170, Red Badge of Courage, The, 126 Regionalism, 18 (ref. Kipling), 55, 56 (ref. Masefield) Reinhardt, M, 94 Renan, E., 3 Responsibilities, 67 Return of the Prodigal, The, 101 Return, The, 50-1, 52, 85 Return to Nature, 64 Rewards and Fairies, 17 Reynard the Fox, 57 Rhymers' Club, 63 Riceyman Steps, 39, 40 Richards, I. A., 65, 138-9, 162, 170, Richardson, D. A., 77 Richardson, S., 59 Robe Rouge, La, 34 Rolling English Road, The, 71 Romance and the Stage, 98 Romanes, G., Romanticism, 84 Room of One's Oven, A, 172 Room with a View, A, 60 Rossetti, Christina, 49 Royal College of Science, The, 25 Royalty Theatre, 41 Ruskin, J., 4, 72 Russell, B, 122 Russia, 14 (threat to India) Russian novel, 21, 24 (influence Rutherford, M., 5

S

Sacred and Profane Love, 180
Sacred Flame, The, 151
Saint Joan, 32
Sale of Saint Thomas, 83
Salt-water Ballads, 53, 55
St. Ignatius, Rule of, 106
St Wulfram, Life of, 14 (prose cadences)
Saintsbury, G., 118
Sassoon, S, 126

Satanism, 141 Savage, D. S, 157, 159 Scenes and Portraits, 128 'Schibboleth de l'Angleterre', 44 Schnitzler, A, 100 Schopenhauer, A., 147 Schweitzer, A, 3 Science, 5-8, 119, 134, 136, 137, Science and Criticism (J. H. Muller), 136 Science and Philosophy of the Organ-1sm, The, 119 Science and Poetry, 139 Science of Life, The, 30 Scottish Stories, 74 Sea and the Jungle, The, 91 Secret Agent, The, 24 Secret Life, The, 100 Seeley, Sir J., 14 'Self', 67, 68 (ref. Yeats) Sellar, R. W., 110 Sense Confusion (or transfusion), 180 Servile State, The, 71 Seven Men, 70 Shadowy Waters, The, 66 Shakespeare, 56, 81, 83, 94, 95, 106 Shakespeare, 56 (Masefield) Shanks, E, 12 Shavianism, 36 Shaw, G. B, 16, 32-8, 45, 48, 69, 70, 81, 99, 101, 121, 184 Shelley, P. B., 33, 36 Shepherd's Life, A, 73 Sheppey, 151 Sherlock Holmes, 71 Shropshire Lad, A, 78 Sicilian Idyll, A, 81 Silent Men, The, 71 Silver Box, The, 46 Sinclair, A., 93 Sister Theresa, 87 Sitwell, E., 170, 179-81 Sitwell, S., 180-1 Sitwell, Sir O., 180 Skaz', 20 Skin Game, 43 Some Imagist Poets, 112 Son of Woman, 153 Song of the Dog Quoodle, The, 71 Songs of Childhood, 49 Sons and Lovers, 155, 156 Sorley, C., 126, 127 Soul's Destroyer, The, 79 Space, Time, and Deity, 110 Speculations, 112 Spencer, H., 9, 121 Spender, S , 187-8

INDEX 203

Spengler, O., 10, 157 Spiritualism, 185 (ref. Priestley) Splendours and Miseries, 180 Spoils of Poynton, The, 86Spoken word (v. written), 95 Spoon River Anthology, 52 Spring, H., 186 'Sprung rhythm', 107 Stalky and Co., 13, 17 Stanford, D, 189 Stephen Daedalus, 169-70, 171 Sterne, L., 59 Stonefolds, 79 Strachey, G. Lytton, 132 'Strange House, The', 77 'Strange Meeting', 127 Strauss, D F., 3, 142 Strife, 46 Summing Up, The, 147 n., 148 Superman, 36 Surrealism, 177 Swift, J., 49, 148 Symbolic scenery, 94-5 Symbolism, 65 Synge, J. M, 54, 63, 66, 92

T

Tailhade, L., 127 Tales of Wonder, 99 Teilhard de Chardın, 11 Tennyson, A., 8, 14
Tents of the Arabs, 99
Testament of Beauty, The, 109-11, 121
Thackeray, W. M., 8 Theatre, 33-4, 46, 48, 92-5, 150-1 Theatre Advancing, The, 94 Theatre Regulation Act, 91 These Twain, 40 Third Ypres, 127 Thurteen Stories, 74
Thurty Tales and Sketches, 74
Thomas, G. O., 53, 82
Thompson, F, 49
Those Barren Leaves, 181-2 Thoughts of Religion, 5 n. Three Mulla-Mulgars, 49 Tidemarks, 91 Time, 7, 74, 143–5, 166, 167, 173, Time Machine, The, 26 Tolstoi, Count L., 59 Tom Jones, 42 Tomlinson, H. M., 90–1 Tono-Bungay, 29 To the Lighthouse, 172, 174 Towards a New Theatre, 94 Tower, The, 68

'Tragedy of Blood', 81
Tragedy of Nan, The, 55
Tragic Generation, The, 66
Tragic Mothers, 81
Tragic Muse, The, 86, 93 n
'Trahison des Clercs', 125
'Tramp Woman's Tragedy', 76
Transformation, 193 n.
Traumdeutung, 123
Traveller in Little Things, A, 73
Trembling of a Leaf, 146, 150
Trilling, E., 58
Tristram Shandy, 177
Trollope, A, 8e
Turgenev, I. S, 21, 22, 39, 43
Turn of the Screw, The, 50
Tyler, Sir E, 120
Typhoon, 23

U

Ulster, 102
Ulysses, 170-1
Universities, their influence on literature, 116-18
Unknown Country, 116
Unknown Known, 81
Unquiet Grave, The, 145
'Unrealized', 77
Untergang des Abendlandes, 10
Upanishads, 141

V

'Value', 138 (ref. I. A. Richards) Values, Disintegration of, 4 Vecchia Europa e la Nuova, La, 10 Veil and other Poems, The, 51 Verlaine, P., 65 Vitalism, 37 Voltaire, 148, 152 Vries, de, 119

W

Walkley, A. B., 94 n.

Walls of Jericho, 96

Walpole, Sir H., 89-91

War in the Air, The, 26

War of the Worlds, 26

Ward, R. H., 146

Waste Land, 100, 163-4

Wave, The, 89

Waves, The, 172, 174-5

Way of All Flesh, The, 72

Wellesley, Lady D, 68

Wells, H G., 16, 25-32, 45, 101, 137

Weygandt, A. M., 12

What Every Woman Knows, 96

What Masy Knew, 86

204 ENGLISH LITERATURE IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

When a Man's Single, 26
Where Angels Fear to Tread, 59-60
White Melville, 59, 91
Winte Peacock, The, 153, 155-6
Whitehead, A. N, 110, 129, 141
Whitman, Walt, 120
Widow of Bye-Street, The, 56
Wild Swans at Coole, 68
Wilde, O., 3, 6, 10, 67, 69, 106
Wilenski, R. H, 189
Wilson, E., 188
Wilson, R. A., 145
Wind Among the Reeds, The, 66
'Windhover', 108
Wind is Rising, The, 91
Winding Star, The, 68
'Wireless', 50
Wolves of God, The, 89
Woman Who Rode Away, The, 160
Women in Love, 158

Wooden Horse, The, 89
Wooden Pegasus, The, 179
Woolf, V, 172-6, 177
Work, Wealth, and Happiness of
Mankind, The, 30
Wrights (Wilbur and Orville), 26
Writings of E M Forster, The, 58
Wyndham Lewis, 140

V

Yeats, W. B., 54, 63-8, 81 Yellow Book, The, 65, 70 Younger Generation, The, 101

Z

Zola, E , 39, 87, 126 Zuleika Dobson, 70 Zur Kritike der Zeit, 10